

1 Quality indicators for post-resuscitation care after out-of-hospital
 2 cardiac arrest: A Joint statement from the Association for Acute
 3 CardioVascular Care (ACVC) of the European Society of Cardiology,
 4 the European Resuscitation Council (ERC), the European Society of
 5 Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM), and the European Society for
 6 Emergency Medicine (EUSEM)

7 Johannes Grand ^{1,3}, Francois Schiele ², Christian Hassager ³, Jerry P. Nolan ^{4,5}, Abdo Khoury ^{6,7}, Alessandro Sionis
 8 ^{8,9}, Nikolaos Nikolaou ¹⁰, Katia Donadello ¹¹, Wilhelm Behringer ¹², Bernd W. Böttiger ¹³, Alain Combes ^{14,15}, Tom
 9 Quinn ¹⁶, Susanna Price ^{17,18}, Pablo Jorge-Perez ¹⁹

10 1 Department of Cardiology, Amager-Hvidovre Hospital, University Hospital of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

11 2 Cardiology Department, Besançon University Hospital, Besançon France

12 3 Department of Cardiology, University Hospital of Copenhagen, Rigshospitalet, the heart center, Copenhagen, Denmark

13 4 Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK.

14 5 Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Royal United Hospital, Bath, UK.

15 6 Department of Emergency Medicine and Critical Care, Besançon University Hospital, Besançon, France.

16 7 INSERM CIC 1431, Besançon University Hospital, Besançon, France.

17 8 Intensive Cardiac Care Unit, Cardiology Department, Hospital de Sant Pau, IIB-Sant Pau, Universitat Autònoma de
 18 Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

19 9 Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Cardiovasculares (CIBER-CV), Madrid, Spain

20 10 Intensive Cardiac Care Unit, Cardiology Department, Konstantopouleio General Hospital, Athens, Greece

21 11 Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine B, Department of Surgery, Dentistry, Gynaecology and
 22 Paediatrics, University of Verona, AOUI-University Hospital Integrated Trust of Verona, Policlinico G.B. Rossi, P.le L. Scuro,
 23 Verona, Italy.

24 12 Department of Emergency Medicine, Medical University Vienna, Vienna, Austria.

25 13 Medical Faculty and University Hospital, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; European Resuscitation Council
 26 (ERC), Niel, Belgium; German Resuscitation Council (GRC), Ulm, Germany

27 14 Sorbonne Université INSERM Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMRS) 1166, Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition,
 28 Paris, France.

29 15 Service de Médecine Intensive-Réanimation, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Sorbonne
 30 Université, INSERM, UMRS_1166-ICAN, Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition.

31 16 Kingston University and St. Georges, University of London, London, United Kingdom.

1 17 Departments of Cardiology and Critical Care, Royal Brompton & Harefield Hospitals, London, UK.

2 18 National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK

3 19 Department of Cardiology, Canary Islands University Hospital, La Laguna, 38320 Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain.

4

5 Reviewers:

6 Review coordinator: Prof Eric Bonnefoy Cudraz - Service Urgences et Soins Critiques Cardiologiques
7 Hospices Civils de Lyon - Hôpital Cardiovasculaire et Pneumologique

8 Guido Tavazzi MD PhD Assistant Professor in Intensive care, University of Pavia

9 Giuseppe Ristagno Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Emergency Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale
10 Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy and Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Italy

11 Prof Alain Cariou - Faculté de Santé - Université de Paris (UFR de Médecine) - Directeur Médical - Dpt
12 Médico-Universitaire "Urgences et Réanimations" - APHP Centre

13

14 Running header

15 Quality of care in management of cardiac arrest survivors

16

17 Keywords: Quality of care, acute cardiovascular care, quality indicators, cardiac arrest, resuscitation, intensive
18 care, post-resuscitation care

19

20 Conflicts of Interest:

21 - Bernd W. Böttiger is treasurer of the European Resuscitation Council (ERC), Founder of the ERC Research NET,
22 Chairman of the German Resuscitation Council (GRC), Member of the „Advanced Life Support (ALS) Task Force
23 of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR), Member of the Executive Committee of the
24 German Interdisciplinary Association for Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine (DIVI), Founder of the
25 “Deutsche Stiftung Wiederbelebung”, Federal Medical Advisor of the German Red Cross (DRK), Member of the
26 Advisory Board of the “Deutsche Herzstiftung”, Co-Editor of “Resuscitation”, Editor of the Journal “Notfall +
27 Rettungsmedizin”, Co-Editor of the Brazilian Journal of Anesthesiology. He received fees for lectures from the
28 following companies: Forum für medizinische Fortbildung (FomF), Baxalta Deutschland GmbH, ZOLL Medical
29 Deutschland GmbH, C.R. Bard GmbH, GS Elektromedizinische Geräte G. Stemple GmbH, Novartis Pharma
30 GmbH, Philips GmbH Market DACH, Bioscience Valuation BSV GmbH.

31 - Abdo Khoury: Archeon (shareholder and medical consultant) and Ethypharm (Medical consultant) Zoll ,
32 Fisher Paykel, Baxter speakers fees.

33 - Wilhelm Behringer: Speakers fees from Zoll and BD

1 - Johannes Grands salary is supported by a research grant from the Danish Cardiovascular Academy, which is
2 funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF20SA0067242) and The Danish Heart Foundation.

3 Corresponding author

4 Johannes Grand MD, PhD

5 Department of Cardiology,

6 Copenhagen University Hospital, Amager-Hvidovre

7 Kettegård Alle 30, 2650 Hvidovre

8 Mobile phone: +45 38 62 25 52

9 E-mail: johannes.grand@regionh.dk

10

11

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

1

2 **Abstract**

3 Quality of care (QoC) is a fundamental tenet of modern healthcare and has become an important
4 assessment-tool for healthcare authorities, stakeholders and the public. However, QoC is difficult to
5 measure and quantify because it is a multifactorial and multidimensional concept. Comparison of
6 clinical institutions can be challenging when QoC is estimated solely based on clinical outcomes. Thus,
7 measuring quality through quality indicators (QIs) can provide a foundation for quality assessment and
8 has become widely used in this context. QIs for the evaluation of QoC in acute myocardial infarction
9 are now well-established, but no such indicators exist for the process from resuscitation of cardiac
10 arrest and post-resuscitation care in Europe. In this context, the Association of Acute Cardiovascular
11 Care of the European Society Cardiology, the European Resuscitation Council, European Society of
12 Intensive Care Medicine and the European Society for Emergency Medicine, have reflected on the
13 measurement of QoC in cardiac arrest. A set of QIs have been proposed, with the scope to unify and
14 evolve QoC for the management of cardiac arrest across Europe. We present here the list of QIs (6
15 primary QIs and 12 secondary QIs), with descriptions of the methodology used, scientific justification
16 and motives for the choice for each measure with the aim that this set of QIs will enable assessment of
17 the quality of post-out-of-hospital cardiac arrest management across Europe.

18

1 **Introduction**

2 Evaluation of the quality of care (QoC) is an important tenet of modern healthcare.¹ Health authorities, the
3 public, and patients rightly demand high quality healthcare.^{1,2} However, measuring the QoC is challenging, as
4 opinions regarding best practice may differ between institutions according to various factors.³ Further, quality
5 cannot be estimated exclusively based on clinical outcomes of patients, because baseline characteristics may
6 confound inter- and intra-institutional comparisons.^{4,5}

7 Patient outcomes can be improved through medical research; however, new medical interventions must be
8 implemented at medical institutions to impact patient care and outcome. Thus, quality indicators (QIs) have been
9 used in this context.^{2,4,6} QIs are used in an 'if-then' format, meaning that 'if' a patient fulfils specific criteria,
10 'then' they should - or should not - be offered a given intervention.^{5,7} The American College of Cardiology
11 (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) have published several documents on the optimal
12 methodology for defining and reporting Qis.⁸⁻¹⁰ The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) has published a
13 four-step process for the development of the ESC Qis.⁵ The ESC and the ACC/AHA have published QIs for
14 treatment of myocardial infarction.^{2,6,11} To our knowledge, no QIs for the acute and intensive post-cardiac arrest
15 management have been proposed, however QIs for preventing cardiac arrest¹² and for identifying futile
16 resuscitation attempts do exist.¹³ Furthermore, The European Heart Rhythm Association have proposed QIs for
17 ventricular arrhythmias. In contrast to myocardial infarction, which is primarily treated within the field of
18 cardiology, a multi-disciplinary/multi-professional approach is needed when managing cardiac arrest patients.
19 Therefore, the ESC Association for Acute Cardiovascular Care (ACVVC), the European Resuscitation Council
20 (ERC), the European Society for Emergency Medicine (EUSEM) and the European Society of Intensive Care
21 Medicine (ESICM) have formed a task force for QoC assessment for post-cardiac arrest care, aimed at creating
22 QIs for the management of cardiac arrest across Europe. QoC is a difficult notion to define,³ and the aim of this
23 paper is to propose a definition for several specific QIs, for quality-assessment of post-cardiac arrest
24 management in Europe.

1

2 **Objectives**

3 The objective of the post-cardiac arrest management (post-CAM) task force was to define suitable QIs for the
4 post-resuscitation care of patients after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) with the aim of improving the
5 quality of care. The target population for this set of QIs is adult patients, who have regained return of
6 spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and remain comatose after an OHCA of presumed cardiac cause or unknown
7 cause. The QIs will aim to allow measurement and thereby reduce unwarranted variation within and between
8 countries and centres, and to set a standard of care that will ultimately improve clinical outcome and quality of
9 life for patients.

10

11 **Methods**

12 Membership of the post-CAM Working Group.

13 Under the supervision of the board of the ACVVC, the post-CAM task force was created as a scientific
14 collaboration with ERC, EUSEM and ESICM. The task force was formed and comprised of international experts
15 selected for their expertise in patients resuscitated from cardiac arrest considering diversity (gender, physician,
16 and non-physician), and geography. All members were invited to participate in the selection and definition of the
17 QIs. The full list of the task force members with their respective area of expertise, is displayed in Table s1.

18 Selection of domains and candidate QIs

19 This document has been commissioned by the Association for Acute Cardiovascular Care and the process of the
20 ESC for the development of QIs⁵ was used to guide the process including identification of key domains of care,
21 construction of candidate QIs, the selection of a final set of QIs by obtaining expert opinions using the modified
22 Delphi method⁵.

23 Conducting literature reviews is needed to ensure that QIs are both clinically meaningful and evidence-based⁵.

24 Initially, a scoping search was performed to identify existing QIs from the ESC or other organizations.

1 Furthermore, newly updated American and European guidelines ^{14,15} contributed to identifying clinically
2 meaningful and evidence-based candidate QIs. Members of the post-CAM task force were involved in the
3 newest guidelines and the Advanced Life Support section of the 2020 Consensus on Science and Treatment
4 Recommendations (CoSTR) document.¹⁶ These documents ¹⁶ are based on series of systematic reviews using
5 search criteria to identify population, intervention, comparator, outcome, study design, and time frame (PICOST)
6 ¹⁷. The methodology used to identify the evidence was based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
7 Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). The post-CAM task force updated the literature review (June 2021) to
8 identify additional randomized clinical trials since the publication of the guidelines. Identical Medical Subject
9 Headings, inclusion and exclusion criteria was used as the in the initial searches within the topics: Oxygen Dose
10 After ROSC in Adults, Post-resuscitation Hemodynamic Support, Targeted Temperature Management, and
11 Prognostication in Comatose Patients After Resuscitation From Cardiac Arrest. ¹⁷ This literature included
12 published, peer-reviewed randomized controlled trials investigating treatment, management, and prognostication
13 of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. This was subsequently used as a background for the selection of domains and
14 candidate QIs.

15 Based on consensus obtained through meetings in the task force, eight domains of care with clinical relevance
16 were selected. The aim of the selected domains was to include measures of quality beyond simply patient-
17 outcomes, but instead incorporating the full system that extends from prehospital resuscitation through in-
18 hospital care to post-hospital rehabilitation and follow-up.

19 When reviewing the literature and international guidelines ¹⁴, care was taken to ensure that candidate QIs were
20 directly associated with improving outcomes. Specifically, the task force considered:

- 21 • The applicability of the data to the target population for which the indicator is being developed.
- 22 • The strength of evidence supporting the indicator based on the assigned level of evidence in newest guidelines.

23 In the ERC-ESICM-guidelines, treatment recommendation were given by the authors indicating the strength of
24 the recommendation (recommends = strong, suggests = weak) and the certainty of the evidence ¹⁴.

- 25 • The degree to which adherence to the indicator is associated with clinically meaningful benefit (or harm).

1 • The clinical significance of the outcome based on its likelihood to be achieved by adherence to the indicator.⁵

2 A total of 39 candidate QIs were identified covering all eight domains (supplementary table 2). The 39 candidate

3 QIs were derived primarily from the ERC-ESICM guidelines for post resuscitation care.¹⁴ Subsequently, all

4 candidate QIs were evaluated by the Post-CAM task force through a modified Delphi-process which has been

5 evaluated and found suitable for this purpose^{18,19} as proposed by the ESC methodology.⁵ The modified Delphi

6 technique involves conducting structured, anonymous surveys, with interposed meetings to reach consensus. The

7 task force used an online survey circulated to the whole group and all questions was answered by 13/14 (92%) of

8 members. Each candidate QI was graded on a scale of 1–5 with 5 being the best score. A score of at least 2.5 was

9 needed to go through for the final set. When all QIs were selected, the task force once again voted whether QIs

10 within the same domain should be regarded as primary or secondary. For each domain, one ‘primary QI’, as well

11 as one or more ‘secondary’ QIs were selected. The primary QIs were selected for being an essential element and

12 “need to have” within a given domain. The secondary QIs were considered as complementary measures that may

13 be suitable for use in certain centres. Additionally, the task force held two online workshops, to which all

14 members of all participating societies were invited. The purpose of the workshops was to evaluate the QIs in a

15 broader audience resulting in numerous comments and opinions, which sparked further discussion in the task

16 force resulting in further modification and selection of the QIs.

17 In the process of synthesizing candidate QIs, the numerator and denominator for each candidate QI were defined.

18 The numerator of the QIs was defined as the group of patients fulfilling the QI. Patients within the target

19 population formed the denominator. Potential exclusions of patients for assessment for each candidate QI are

20 also specified in table 2. Using exclusions enables a fairer assessment, particularly when the QI is intended for

21 public reporting or pay-for-performance.^{20,21}

22

1 **Results: QIs for post-cardiac arrest management**

2 Eight domains of care where quality should be assessed were defined. The selected domains are relevant to the
3 clinical situation of cardiac arrest, namely: (1) centre organisation; (2) initial in-hospital examination after
4 cardiac arrest; (3) intensive care treatment; (4) haemodynamic management during hospitalisation; (5)
5 neurological prognostication; (6) patient discharge and follow-up; (7) outcomes and (8) composite QIs (CQIs).

6 **Domain 1: Prehospital organisation and cardiac arrest centres.**

7 Survival and recovery following OHCA depend on the different components of a system working together to
8 secure the best outcome.²² The system should encompass early recognition, high quality cardiopulmonary
9 resuscitation (CPR), defibrillation of shockable rhythms, and high-quality post-ROSC in-hospital-care. The
10 chain of survival encompasses these different links²³ and recognizes that most sudden cardiac arrests in adults
11 are of cardiac cause.²³ After ROSC (and in some cases during cardiac arrest) pre-hospital transportation to a
12 cardiac arrest centre²⁴ with advanced diagnostics and quick access to revascularization facilities available 24/7
13 have been shown to reduce time to reperfusion in ST-elevation myocardial infarction, which in turn is associated
14 with lower mortality.²⁵

15 *Network organisation with written protocols*

16 Organised systems of care are needed to determine the optimal pathways of care to reduce times to reperfusion,
17 diagnosis, and intensive care management including safe transfer²⁶. The main QI (table 1) for centre
18 organisations was based on a single important point: written protocols for rapid and efficient triage and
19 management. Although non-written teamwork can be used in practice, the post-CAM task force considers that
20 only a centre with a written protocol signed by both the centre and the pre-hospital organisation should be
21 viewed as participating in a network regarding QoC.

22

1 *Network organisation*

2 The secondary QI was based on three organisational points deemed to be the important in addition to being
3 relatively easy to implement: 1) pre-hospital interpretation of post-ROSC ECG for diagnosis, 2) possibility for
4 immediate transfer to a centre with catheterisation laboratory facilities, and 3) pre-hospital activation of the
5 catheterisation laboratory-team. Organisation of care has an important impact on the times to reperfusion,²⁷
6 which can be improved by bringing the patient to the centre faster or bringing the treatment to the patient
7 through advanced life support¹⁷. A well-organised system should provide appropriate treatment with the shortest
8 delay^{26,28}. Shorter delay has been shown to reduce mortality in acute coronary syndrome (ACS)-patients and is
9 thus strongly recommended by the ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients
10 presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation²⁹. A single phone number is recommended for lay people to
11 call the ambulance dispatch centre. International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) recommends that
12 the dispatch centre is able to provide CPR guidance to bystanders over the phone. Ambulance crews should be
13 directly connected to the dispatch centre and have the possibility for a direct admission to the percutaneous
14 coronary intervention (PCI) facility centre where experienced cardiologists, intensivists and emergency
15 physicians are on duty 24/7³⁰. This QI is identical with the recommendations for acute myocardial infarction².

16 Domain 2: Initial in-hospital examination after cardiac arrest

17 To triage the patient for transport, in addition to initiating the correct treatment, the cause of the arrest must be
18 quickly determined. Prompt recognition of the cause of the arrest is a key factor in ensuring fast triage and
19 optimal patient allocation. Invasive treatment with acute revascularization is fundamental for patients with acute
20 myocardial infarction. This is especially the case in patients with a transmural myocardial infarction indicated by
21 a prehospital ECG showing ST-elevation. In patients without STEMI, there is no benefit of routine early
22 coronary angiography demonstrated in recent large trials³¹⁻³³, however, these trials excluded STEMI and those
23 with haemodynamic and electrical instability. Since acute myocardial infarction is a frequent cause of OHCA, a

1 patient with ROSC should have STEMI excluded as a cause of the arrest, since the time to revascularisation in
2 these patients has an impact on clinical outcomes ^{34,35}.

3 *Timely angiography*

4 The use of revascularisation and its timely implementation have previously been used as indicators of quality ^{2,36}.
5 Transporting the resuscitated patient from the prehospital setting to the cardiac catheterisation laboratory
6 requires active participation by individuals from several disciplines along the management pathway and includes
7 high-quality organisation of care within a network organisation as described in Domain 1. The primary QI is
8 “timely angiography” of STEMI patients with “timely” being <90 minutes from ROSC to wire insertion in the
9 patient.

10 *Timely echocardiography*

11 Another important tool for differential diagnosis is the transthoracic echocardiography, which is recommended
12 by the 2021 ERC guidelines to be performed as soon as possible to detect underlying cardiac pathology and
13 quantify the degree of myocardial dysfunction ¹⁴. Serial echocardiography quantifies trends in myocardial
14 dysfunction in addition to assessment of preload and potential mechanical complications ^{37,38}. Impaired cardiac
15 function is frequent during the first 24-48 hours post-arrest, after which it often resolves if no underlying
16 additional primary cardiac pathology is present ³⁹. Low cardiac output is likely not associated with poor outcome
17 or prognosis in otherwise haemodynamic stable patients ⁴⁰, but in cases of hemodynamic instability myocardial
18 function and cardiac output are vital in guiding treatment. The secondary QI is “timely echocardiography”.
19 Timely is defined as: <2 hours from ROSC based on a consensus of the task force in addition to comments from
20 participants in the workshops. Monitoring with echocardiography is commonly used in intensive care and its use
21 to guide treatment in cardiac arrest patients is recommended by the ERC ¹⁴.

22

1 Domain 3: Intensive care

2 Resuscitated OHCA patients remaining comatose need intensive care for several reasons including inability to
3 protect their airway, the need for mechanical ventilation, and to receive guideline-recommended temperature
4 control. Furthermore, this patient group has a high risk of organ dysfunction secondary to the post-cardiac arrest
5 syndrome⁴¹. Most intensive care guidelines in this area are based on expert consensus because there are few
6 randomised controlled trials⁴², however one intervention that has been studied extensively in the last two
7 decades is temperature control.

8 *Temperature control.*

9 This primary QI is based on the number of patients remaining comatose after OHCA, who undergo temperature
10 control defined as continuous monitoring of core temperature and actively preventing fever (defined as a
11 temperature > 37.7 °C) for at least 72 h⁴³ or actively targeting mild hypothermia in a temperature range of 32-
12 36°C⁴⁴.

13 *Tracheal intubation*

14 Another essential part of post-resuscitation care is tracheal intubation of comatose patients unable to protect their
15 own airway. This secondary QI is based on tracheal intubation, and if the QI is fulfilled, tracheal intubation
16 should occur before ICU-admission. A comatose post-cardiac arrest patient should preferably be intubated
17 immediately after hospital admission or prehospital. Patients should be intubated before, during or following
18 cardiac arrest depending on the specific circumstances⁴⁵ and local resources and organization. Most often,
19 intubation occurs during CPR, but should alternatively be undertaken if the patient remains comatose after
20 ROSC⁴⁶. This will enable adequate post-resuscitation care including controlled oxygenation, protection from
21 aspiration of stomach contents, control of seizures, and temperature control.⁴²

22

1 *Early arterial blood gas sample*

2 A systematic review on oxygenation and ventilation targets after cardiac arrest, found seven RCTs⁴⁷. Based on
3 these trials, the ILCOR recommends the use of 100% inspired oxygen until the arterial oxygen saturation, or the
4 partial pressure of arterial oxygen can be measured reliably in adults with ROSC after cardiac arrest in any
5 setting (weak recommendation, very low-certainty evidence). A recent large trial found no benefit in targeting
6 higher oxygen-targets and the focus should probably be on avoiding hypoxemia.⁴⁸ The recently published
7 EXACT randomised clinical trial showed no benefit in targeting an oxygen saturation of 90% to 94% compared
8 with 98% to 100% immediately after ROSC and there was a higher incidence of hypoxaemia in the group with
9 the lower target.⁴⁹ Furthermore, in addition to risk of hypoxemia, post-ROSC patients are often
10 haemodynamically unstable, and monitoring lactate may be needed. After ROSC, oxygenation can be monitored
11 either with a pulse oximeter or preferably with an early arterial blood gas sample. Blood carbon dioxide values
12 (PaCO_2) are sometimes above normal values because of hypoventilation and hemodynamic disturbances
13 resulting in a mixed respiratory and metabolic acidosis. PaCO_2 can be adjusted in a mechanically ventilated
14 patient through ventilation. Therefore, the QI of this domain relates to examination with arterial blood gas
15 analysis within the first two hours after hospital-admission.

16 *Organ donation*

17 Comatose patients who have had a cardiac arrest and do not survive, can potentially become organ donors. In
18 many healthcare systems, cardiac arrest patients form an increasing proportion of solid organ donors,⁵⁰ which is
19 vital for modern health care where demand for solid organs exceeds supply.⁵¹ If brain death occurs or a
20 withdrawal of life sustaining therapy (WLST) decision is made, international guidelines for post-resuscitation
21 care support organ donation.⁴² Therefore, this QI related to the evaluation for organ donation of patients
22 declared brain dead, and the QI is fulfilled when a such patient is evaluated for organ donation. The task force
23 recognizes that cultural, religious and ethical practices regarding organ donation may vary between countries.

1 Domain 4: Haemodynamic management

2 A major clinical challenge in the management of post-OHCA patients is haemodynamic instability. As part of
3 the post-cardiac arrest syndrome, post-resuscitation myocardial dysfunction and low cardiac output may occur in
4 the majority of patients.³⁹ Further, vasodilation and vasoplegia due to systemic ischemia/reperfusion and
5 inflammation further lowers blood pressure.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ Myocardial dysfunction and vasoplegia peaks during the first
6 24-48 h after which hemodynamics improves in most cases.^{55,56} Where cardiac output is likely not associated
7 with poor outcome^{40,57}, hypotension has been associated with poor outcomes in most studies⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ and
8 hypotension should be avoided through preload optimization, inotropes and vasopressors.⁴²

9 *Mechanical circulatory support*

10 If conventional resuscitation with iv fluids, inotropes and vasopressors is insufficient to maintain tissue
11 perfusion, the acute mechanical circulatory support (such as intra-aortic balloon pump, veno-arterial
12 extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO), IMPELLA, Abiomed USA) may be advised for selected
13 patients.⁶¹ Retrospective data suggest that 15% of patients developing cardiogenic shock after OHCA may
14 require mechanical circulatory support.⁶² Limited data support the use of mechanical circulatory support, and
15 very few prospective trials have been undertaken in this patient population⁴². IMPELLA has been compared
16 with IABP in a small pilot trial and this trial found no clinical difference in patients with myocardial infarction
17 and cardiogenic shock⁶³. Post-resuscitation care ERC Guidelines⁴² include the recommendation for use of
18 mechanical circulatory support stating that left-ventricular assist devices or VA-ECMO should be considered in
19 haemodynamically unstable patients with acute coronary syndromes (ACS) and recurrent ventricular
20 arrhythmias despite optimal therapy.⁶⁴ The primary QI of this domain relates to the evaluation for mechanical
21 circulatory support in patients resuscitated after OHCA and develops persistent cardiogenic shock. The
22 denominator includes all patients developing cardiogenic shock in-hospital after OHCA; however, the task force
23 recognizes that a large proportion of patients may be deemed unfit for mechanical circulatory support. However,

1 to fulfil this QI a statement in the patient record, that the patient has been evaluated for mechanical circulatory
2 support, must be given.

3 *Vasopressor therapy for hypotension*

4 Post OHCA-hypotension has been associated with poor outcome in several studies^{58,65-69}. Three pilot-studies of
5 higher mean arterial pressure (MAP)-targets did not show benefit on surrogate outcomes⁷⁰⁻⁷², but international
6 guidelines still recommend vasopressor-treatment to avoid hypotension¹⁴. One large trial did not find benefit
7 from targeting of a higher blood pressure target⁷³ and focus should likely be on avoiding hypoperfusion. ERC
8 guidelines for 2021, define hypotension as a MAP<65 mmHg and suggest targeting a MAP higher than this to
9 achieve adequate urine output (>0.5mL/kg/h) and normal or decreasing lactate⁴². This QI relates to the patients
10 in whom treatment with vasopressors is used to correct hypotension according to the above definition of
11 MAP<65 mmHg.

12 Domain 5: Neurological prognostication.

13 In patients with ROSC after OHCA, who are admitted to hospital comatose, the mortality is reported to be
14 around 50% with wide variations and most deaths are from hypoxic-ischemic brain injury⁷⁴. Active WLST is
15 undertaken in patients diagnosed with severe irreversible brain injury, however it can be challenging to
16 distinguish this patient-group from patients with a potential for late recovery⁷⁵. Accurate prognostication is
17 extremely important to avoid prolongation of suffering in a patient without potential for recovery and to avoid
18 inappropriate WLST.

19 *Multimodal prognostication*

20 The primary QI in this domain is using multimodal prognostication to help clinicians to determine when a
21 WLST decision may be indicated. The 2015 ERC-ESICM Guidelines on Post-Resuscitation Care proposed a
22 model for the prediction of poor neurological outcome for comatose patients after cardiac arrest⁴². Retrospective
23 studies have validated this model⁷⁶. The prognostication model is based on a combination of tests including

1 results of clinical/neurological examination (absent or extensor motor response, absent pupillary and corneal
2 reflexes, status myoclonus), electrophysiology (bilaterally absent N20 SSEP wave, unreactive burst-suppression
3 or status epilepticus on EEG), biomarkers (high blood NSE values and trends), and imaging (signs of anoxic
4 brain injury on CT or MRI). To fulfil this QI, patients remaining comatose for longer than 72 hours should
5 receive multimodal prognostication including: clinical examination (absent or extensor motor response, absent
6 pupillary and corneal reflexes, status myoclonus), biomarkers (elevated levels above cut-offs of NSE or other
7 relevant biomarker), imaging (signs of diffuse anoxic brain injury on CT or MRI) and electrophysiology (EEG or
8 SSEP). (Table 2)

9 *Head CT scan as part of neurological prognostication*

10 Head CT is advised to exclude potential intracranial hemorrhage as a cause of the arrest. Furthermore, some
11 OHCA-patients may experience a fall or trauma related to a sudden arrest, thus traumatic brain injury should be
12 diagnosed early. For neuroprognostication, the reduction of the grey matter/white matter ratio on brain CT
13 within 72 h after ROSC is useful when combined with other prognosticators of poor neurologic outcome in
14 comatose patients after OHCA (ERC-ESICM guidelines: weak recommendation, very-low-quality evidence).
15 Measurement of the grey matter/white matter ratio), expressed in Hounsfield units is a method to assess the
16 degree of cerebral oedema. This ratio is normally higher than 1, meaning that grey matter has the highest
17 density. A lower ratio is associated with more severe brain injury⁷⁷. This QI is fulfilled if comatose patients have
18 had a head CT no later than 72 hours after admission.

19 *EEG as part of neurological prognostication*

20 Electroencephalography (EEG) is used widely to assess the extent of brain injury after OHCA⁷⁸. EEG is also
21 essential for diagnosing seizures and status epilepticus in comatose patients. The background activity,
22 superimposed discharges, and reactivity are the primary indices of prognoses. The EEG is suppressed in many
23 OHCA-patients, but in patients without severe brain injury, recordings return to normal within the first 24 hours

1 ⁷⁹. The EEG-background activity is often of low frequency initially ⁷⁹. An important source of bias in the OHCA-
2 population is the use of sedative drugs, which affects background activity and has potential to induce
3 discontinuous or burst-suppression background ⁸⁰. ERC-ESICM guidelines recommend performing an EEG in
4 patients who are unconscious after the arrest. Furthermore, specific EEG-patterns including unequivocal seizures
5 and absence of background reactivity should be used for prognostication when the patient is normothermic and
6 after sedation has been cleared. EEG-results should be interpreted in the context of clinical examination. This QI
7 is fulfilled if an EEG has been performed and interpreted within 72 hours (or when sedation has been tapered)
8 after admission in comatose patients.

9 Domain 6: Patient discharge and Follow-up

10 OHCA survivors often have cognitive impairment. Most impairments are mild or moderate and many patients
11 recover cognitively during the first three months after the cardiac arrest ^{58,81,82}. However, almost half of OHCA-
12 survivors show signs of long-term cognitive impairments ⁸¹. Emotional complications, such as anxiety,
13 depression, and fatigue are also common ⁸³. The ERC 2021 guidelines recommend assessments of physical and
14 non-physical impairments before discharge from the hospital to identify early rehabilitation needs and refer to
15 rehabilitation ⁴². Systematic follow-up for all cardiac arrest survivors is also encouraged within 3 months after
16 hospital discharge, including screening for cognitive problems, screening for emotional problems and fatigue ⁴².
17 Risk-stratification for future cardiac arrest is not included in this document.

18 *Functional assessments of physical and non-physical impairments before discharge from the hospital*

19 Individualised rehabilitation plans can be necessary before discharge from the hospital in the patient group with
20 moderate to severe functional and/or cognitive impairments. To catch these patients, assessments of physical and
21 non-physical impairments should be performed before discharge from hospital. The task force recognizes that
22 there are few high-quality studies to support the assessment for impairments. However, while urging researchers
23 to investigate this area, the task force relies on the recommendations from ERC-ESICM and AHA on performing

1 functional assessments of physical and non-physical impairments including cognitive function to identify
2 potential rehabilitation-needs ^{15,42}. To fulfil this QI, patients surviving to hospital discharge should have an
3 assessment of physical and non-physical impairments stated in the patient record before discharge from hospital.

4 *Systematic follow-up and screening for cognitive problems after discharge.*

5 Cognitive impairments and emotional challenges are not always recognized by healthcare professionals. A
6 structured follow-up could be organized, so these challenges are found early enabling appropriate care or
7 rehabilitation. One clinical study showed that early intervention for cardiac arrest survivors had a positive impact
8 on quality of life ⁸⁴.

9 To fulfil this QI, a systematic follow-up of all OHCA-survivors should be implemented including screening for
10 cognitive and emotional problems within three months after hospital discharge. Formal cognitive screening
11 should be used since patients are not always aware of their cognitive impairments. The Montreal Cognitive
12 Assessment (MoCA) tool takes approximately 10 minutes to complete and is easy to use. For emotional
13 problems, short questionnaires, such as the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), may be useful. If
14 signs of cognitive or emotional impairment are present, referral to more extensive neuropsychological
15 assessment can be considered.

16 Domain 7 (outcome quality indicators): Survival with good functional outcome

17 Improved clinical outcome for patients is the overall aim of medical treatment and of quality of care. However,
18 the use of outcome measures as a QI is controversial since the outcomes of patients only partially depend on the
19 quality of care. Also, reporting outcomes can have adverse consequences, such as restriction of admission for the
20 most ill patients. Previous QIs from the ESC have used outcomes QIs and since outcome measures are easily
21 interpretable and also possibly important for patients, the outcome QIs is an important part of the whole
22 evaluation of a given institutions care.

1 This QI includes all patients admitted to hospital alive with spontaneous circulation. To fulfil this QI patients
2 should be alive with a good functional outcome defined as “able to walk without assistance and attend own
3 bodily needs” corresponding to a score of 3 or lower on the Modified Rankin Scale.

4 Domain 8. Composite QIs

5 A composite QI (CQI) is a combination of two or more QIs into a single QI to summarize multiple dimensions.
6 This will make able a simple comparison between institutions. To contain the information in a single summary
7 QI, the presentation of the CQI as a single number reduces the size of a set of indicators, allowing evaluation and
8 categorisation of the centres, and it can be used to assess timely progress. The AHA/ACC Task Force on
9 Performance Measures has published a statement for the creation and interpretation of CQIs in healthcare
10 assessment. This QI could be perceived as having limited clinical usefulness but is mandatory to summarise the
11 management of the patients and enables centre benchmarking or categorization.

12 The Task force has included two composite QIs. The first composite QI (“All or None” design) requires the
13 fulfilment of QI 2.2, (echo within 4 hours), 3.1 (temperature control) and 6.2 (follow-up). These three QIs were
14 chosen based on a consensus of the task force. A patient has fulfilled this QI if all three QIs have been fulfilled
15 (all or nothing).

16 The second is based on the fulfilment of main QIs from the 7 domains (“Opportunity based” composite
17 indicator). In assessing this QI, a patient receives 1 point for each main QI fulfilled, which equals a maximum of
18 7 points per patient; the value of this composite QI is the number of points achieved divided by 7. The best
19 possible score is therefore 1. If a patient is not eligible to receive one or more of the 7 points, the total will be
20 divided by the number of indicators applicable. This type of composite allows to consider more items in a single
21 score.

22

23

1 Discussion

2 Using a modified Delphi process through meetings, workshops and surveys of QIs, a task force of 13 experts in
3 post-resuscitation care from ACVC, ERC, ESICM and EUSEM developed 8 domains and 18 QIs for post-
4 OHCA care. All QIs were further discussed in two online workshops that included a broad audience of members
5 of the four societies. The domains have a foundation in the ERC-ESICM guidelines for post-resuscitation care ⁴².
6 In this paper, the task force proposes primary QIs and secondary QIs. A total of 6 primary QIs are proposed,
7 representing criteria considered to be of major importance, requiring preferential measurement. The secondary
8 QIs are supplementary measures of quality.

9 The aim of the task force was to improve the quality of post-resuscitation care across Europe. The initiative was
10 started by ACVC of the ESC. The multidisciplinary approach required to manage the OHCA-patient, was
11 recognized and a scientific collaboration with ERC, EUSEM, and ESICM was organized. The task force
12 consisted of experts from all four societies and can be seen in table s1. The QIs are based on existing scientific
13 evidence and expert consensus and aim to improve post-resuscitation care of comatose, resuscitated out-of-
14 hospital cardiac arrest patients, reduce variation within and between countries and centres based on adherence to
15 the defined QI and ultimately, increase survival and improve neurological and quality of life outcomes of
16 patients. Additionally, awareness Europe-wide could be improved within the areas covered by the QIs. This is
17 the first collaborative initiative undertaken to set QIs for post-OHCA care. The QIs were developed according to
18 the ESC guidelines for QIs ⁵. While the QIs defined in this paper are in line with the ERC-ESICM guidelines,
19 they are not simply a reflection of high-grade recommendations, but also incorporate measures that have a lower
20 recommendation or even no recommendation at all. The reason, therefore, is that the task force incorporated
21 considerations of importance, evidence base, specification, validity, reliability, feasibility, interpretability and
22 actionability ^{5,20}.

23 Compared with the ESC-QI for myocardial infarction, the task force identified fewer QIs reflecting, the fewer
24 evidence-based treatments in comparison with myocardial infarction ^{2,4}. The current QIs are based on current

1 evidence, however, the field of post-OHCA care is rapidly developing⁴³. Therefore, it should be emphasized that
2 the development of QIs is a dynamic process and the QIs should be updated regularly to represent the newest
3 evidence. For example, the recommendation of temperature control has undergone rapid changes in guidelines
4 during the taskforces work⁴³.

5 Eight domains were selected to define one primary QI as well as secondary QIs for each domain. One domain is
6 related to the organisation at the level of the centre, as opposed to individual-patient management. In particular,
7 domain 1 emphasizes the importance of the system including both prehospital and in-hospital management in
8 each centre, which has been highlighted in a recent position paper²⁴. Domain 6 included the aspect of post-
9 hospital management with a focus on identification of a need for specialized rehabilitation. In domains 2-5, the
10 QIs selected by the task force represent different aspects of in-hospital management. The composite criterion
11 proposed in this paper is computed using an ‘all or none’ composite QI, with a selected number of QIs. The other
12 composite QI uses the ‘opportunity-based’ method, based on all the main QIs from all the domains⁸⁵. The QIs
13 defined here by the task force are not intended for benchmarking, ranking or pay-for-performance, but simply
14 contributing to improving quality of care through meaningful surveillance.

15 The list of selected QIs has several limitations. First, even though we used standardized methods including the
16 Delphi process and anonymized scoring of each candidate QI, the QIs are selected based on a subjective
17 valuation by the task force members. Alternative members may have resulted in different QIs. Furthermore,
18 quality of care is much more than evidence-based interventions. For example, the care of relatives and families is
19 an important aspect of care but is difficult to appreciate in QIs since very limited research has been done in this
20 area.

21 Conclusions

22 Through a modified Delphi process, 6 primary QIs and 12 secondary QIs have been constructed. Despite its
23 limitations, this set of QIs will enable assessment of the quality of post-OHCA management in Europe and will
24 help to identify the domains of care where improvements are most needed.

1 Funding

2 This research is exclusively supported by the ACVC of the ESC. The programme has not been influenced in any
3 way by its sponsor. No member of the task force received any funding for carrying out this work from funding
4 agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. This document is part of the ACVC Postcam
5 programme (European Initiative to optimize post-resuscitation care following cardiac arrest) and the programme
6 is supported by Becton Dickinson (BD) in the form of an educational grant.

7

1. 1. Medicine I of. *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*. 2001.
2. 2. Schiele F, Gale CP, Bonnefoy E, Capuano F, Claeys MJ, Danchin N, Fox KA, Huber K, Iakobishvili Z, Lettino M, Quinn T, Rubini Gimenez M, Bøtker HE, Swahn E, Timmis A, Tubaro M, Vrints C, Walker D, Zahger D, Zeymer U, Bueno H. Quality indicators for acute myocardial infarction: A position paper of the Acute Cardiovascular Care Association. *European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care* 2017;**6**:34–59.
3. 3. Donabedian A. Evaluating the Quality of Medical Care. *Milbank Q* 2005;**83**:691–729.
4. 4. Schiele F, Gale CP, Simon T, Fox KAA, Bueno H, Lettino M, Tubaro M, Puymirat E, Ferrières J, Ecarnot F, Danchin N, Meneveau N. The 2020 ESC-ACVC quality indicators for the management of acute myocardial infarction applied to the FAST-MI registries. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2021;**10**:207–215.
5. 5. Aktaa S, Batra G, Wallentin L, Baigent C, Erlinge D, James S, Ludman P, Maggioni AP, Price S, Weston C, Casadei B, Gale CP. European Society of Cardiology methodology for the development of quality indicators for the quantification of cardiovascular care and outcomes. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2022;**8**:4–13.
6. 6. Schiele F, Aktaa S, Rossello X, Ahrens I, Claeys MJ, Collet J-P, Fox KAA, Gale CP, Huber K, Iakobishvili Z, Keys A, Lambrinou E, Leonardi S, Lettino M, Masoudi FA, Price S, Quinn T, Swahn E, Thiele H, Timmis A, Tubaro M, Vrints CJM, Walker D, Bueno H, ESC Scientific Document Group, Halvorsen S, Jernberg T, Jortveit J, Blöndal M, Ibanez B, Hassager C. 2020 Update of the quality indicators for acute myocardial infarction: a position paper of the Association for Acute Cardiovascular Care: the study group for quality indicators from the ACVC and the NSTEMI-ACS guideline group. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2021;**10**:224–233.
7. 7. Shekelle PG. Quality indicators and performance measures: methods for development need more standardization. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013;**66**:1338–1339.
8. 8. Spertus JA, Bonow RO, Chan P, Diamond GA, Drozda JP, Kaul S, Krumholz HM, Masoudi FA, Normand S-LT, Peterson ED, Radford MJ, Rumsfeld JS, ACCF/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures. ACCF/AHA new insights into the methodology of performance measurement: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on performance measures. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**56**:1767–1782.
9. 9. Spertus JA, Eagle KA, Krumholz HM, Mitchell KR, Normand S-LT, American College of Cardiology, American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures. American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association methodology for the selection and creation of performance measures for quantifying the quality of cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2005;**111**:1703–1712.
10. 10. Krumholz HM, Keenan PS, Brush JE, Bufalino VJ, Chernew ME, Epstein AJ, Heidenreich PA, Ho V, Masoudi FA, Matchar DB, Normand S-LT, Rumsfeld JS, Schuur JD, Smith SC, Spertus JA, Walsh MN, American Heart Association Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research, American College of Cardiology Foundation. Standards for measures used for public reporting of efficiency in health care: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research and the American College of Cardiology Foundation. *Circulation* 2008;**118**:1885–1893.

- 1 11. Jneid H, Addison D, Bhatt DL, Fonarow GC, Gokak S, Grady KL, Green LA, Heidenreich PA, Ho PM, Jurgens
2 CY, King ML, Kumbhani DJ, Pancholy S. 2017 AHA/ACC Clinical Performance and Quality Measures for
3 Adults With ST-Elevation and Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Report of the American College
4 of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures. *J Am Coll Cardiol*
5 2017;**70**:2048–2090.
- 6 12. Al-Khatib SM, Yancy CW, Solis P, Becker L, Benjamin EJ, Carrillo RG, Ezekowitz JA, Fonarow GC, Kantharia
7 BK, Kleinman M, Nichol G, Varosy PD. 2016 AHA/ACC Clinical Performance and Quality Measures for
8 Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart
9 Association Task Force on Performance Measures. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*
10 2017;**10**:e000022.
- 11 13. Grudzen CR, Liddicoat R, Hoffman JR, Koenig W, Lorenz KA, Asch SM. Developing quality indicators for the
12 appropriateness of resuscitation in prehospital atraumatic cardiac arrest. *Prehosp Emerg Care*
13 2007;**11**:434–442.
- 14 14. Nolan JP, Sandroni C, Böttiger BW, Cariou A, Cronberg T, Friberg H, Genbrugge C, Haywood K, Lilja G,
15 Moulart VRM, Nikolaou N, Mariero Olasveengen T, Skrifvars MB, Taccone F, Soar J. European
16 Resuscitation Council and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Guidelines 2021: Post-
17 resuscitation care. *Resuscitation* 2021;**161**:220–269.
- 18 15. Panchal AR, Bartos JA, Cabañas JG, Donnino MW, Drennan IR, Hirsch KG, Kudenchuk PJ, Kurz MC, Lavonas
19 EJ, Morley PT, O’Neil BJ, Peberdy MA, Rittenberger JC, Rodriguez AJ, Sawyer KN, Berg KM, Arafah J, Benoit
20 JL, Chase M, Fernandez A, Paiva EF de, Fischberg BL, Flores GE, Fromm P, Gazmuri R, Gibson BC, Hoadley
21 T, Hsu CH, Issa M, Kessler A, Link MS, Magid DJ, Marrill K, Nicholson T, Ornato JP, Pacheco G, Parr M,
22 Pawar R, Jaxton J, Perman SM, Pribble J, Robinett D, Rolston D, Sasson C, Satyapriya SV, Sharkey T, Soar J,
23 Torman D, Von Schweinitz B, Uzendu A, Zelop CM, Magid DJ. Part 3: Adult Basic and Advanced Life
24 Support: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency
25 Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation* 2020;**142**:S366–S468.
- 26 16. Soar J, Berg KM, Andersen LW, Böttiger BW, Cacciola S, Callaway CW, Couper K, Cronberg T, D’Arrigo S,
27 Deakin CD, Donnino MW, Drennan IR, Granfeldt A, Hoedemaekers CWE, Holmberg MJ, Hsu CH, Kamps M,
28 Musiol S, Nation KJ, Neumar RW, Nicholson T, O’Neil BJ, Otto Q, Paiva EF de, Parr MJA, Reynolds JC,
29 Sandroni C, Scholefield BR, Skrifvars MB, Wang T-L, Wetsch WA, Yeung J, Morley PT, Morrison LJ,
30 Welsford M, Hazinski MF, Nolan JP, Mahmoud I, Kleinman ME, Ristagno G, Arafah J, Benoit JL, Chase M,
31 Fischberg BL, Flores GE, Link MS, Ornato JP, Perman SM, Sasson C, Zelop CM. Adult Advanced Life
32 Support: 2020 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular
33 Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation* 2020;**156**:A80–A119.
- 34 17. Berg Katherine M., Soar Jasmeet, Andersen Lars W., Böttiger Bernd W., Cacciola Sofia, Callaway Clifton
35 W., Couper Keith, Cronberg Tobias, D’Arrigo Sonia, Deakin Charles D., Donnino Michael W., Drennan Ian
36 R., Granfeldt Asger, Hoedemaekers Cornelia W.E., Holmberg Mathias J., Hsu Cindy H., Kamps Marlijn,
37 Musiol Szymon, Nation Kevin J., Neumar Robert W., Nicholson Tonia, O’Neil Brian J., Otto Quentin, de
38 Paiva Edison Ferreira, Parr Michael J.A., Reynolds Joshua C., Sandroni Claudio, Scholefield Barnaby R.,
39 Skrifvars Markus B., Wang Tzong-Luen, Wetsch Wolfgang A., Yeung Joyce, Morley Peter T., Morrison
40 Laurie J., Welsford Michelle, Hazinski Mary Fran, Nolan Jerry P., Issa Mahmoud, Kleinman Monica E.,

- 1 Ristagno Giuseppe, Arafeh Julie, Benoit Justin L., Chase Maureen, Fischberg Bryan L., Flores Gustavo E.,
2 Link Mark S., Ornato Joseph P., Perman Sarah M., Sasson Comilla, Zelop Carolyn M. Adult Advanced Life
3 Support: 2020 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular
4 Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation* 2020;**142**:S92–S139.
- 5 18. Mangione-Smith R, DeCristofaro AH, Setodji CM, Keeseey J, Klein DJ, Adams JL, Schuster MA, McGlynn EA.
6 The quality of ambulatory care delivered to children in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2007;**357**:1515–
7 1523.
- 8 19. Brook RH, McGlynn EA, Cleary PD. Quality of health care. Part 2: measuring quality of care. *N Engl J Med*
9 1996;**335**:966–970.
- 10 20. Walter LC, Davidowitz NP, Heineken PA, Covinsky KE. Pitfalls of converting practice guidelines into quality
11 measures: lessons learned from a VA performance measure. *JAMA* 2004;**291**:2466–2470.
- 12 21. Werner RM, Asch DA. The unintended consequences of publicly reporting quality information. *JAMA*
13 2005;**293**:1239–1244.
- 14 22. Jakisch B, Wnent J, Brenner S, Jantzen T, Bohn A, Fischer M, Graesner J-T, Seewald S. “It takes a system to
15 save a life” - An analysis of selected EMS areas with emphasis to the implementation of the Eisenberg’s
16 steps and the out-of-hospital cardiac arrest outcome. *Resuscitation* 2019;**142**:e107.
- 17 23. Nolan J, Soar J, Eikeland H. The chain of survival. *Resuscitation* 2006;**71**:270–271.
- 18 24. Sinning C, Ahrens I, Cariou A, Beygui F, Lamhaut L, Halvorsen S, Nikolaou N, Nolan JP, Price S, Monsieurs
19 K, Behringer W, Cecconi M, Van Belle E, Jouven X, Hassager C. The cardiac arrest centre for the treatment
20 of sudden cardiac arrest due to presumed cardiac cause - aims, function and structure: Position paper of
21 the Association for Acute CardioVascular Care of the European Society of Cardiology (AVCV), European
22 Association of Percutaneous Coronary Interventions (EAPCI), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA),
23 European Resuscitation Council (ERC), European Society for Emergency Medicine (EUSEM) and European
24 Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2020;**9**:S193–S202.
- 25 25. Armstrong PW, Westerhout CM, Welsh RC. Duration of symptoms is the key modulator of the choice of
26 reperfusion for ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2009;**119**:1293–1303.
- 27 26. Danchin N. Systems of care for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: impact of different models on
28 clinical outcomes. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2009;**2**:901–908.
- 29 27. Huber K, Gersh BJ, Goldstein P, Granger CB, Armstrong PW. The organization, function, and outcomes of
30 ST-elevation myocardial infarction networks worldwide: current state, unmet needs and future
31 directions. *Eur Heart J* 2014;**35**:1526–1532.
- 32 28. Semeraro F, Greif R, Böttiger BW, Burkart R, Cimpoesu D, Georgiou M, Yeung J, Lippert F, S Lockey A,
33 Olasveengen TM, Ristagno G, Schlieber J, Schnaubelt S, Scapigliati A, G Monsieurs K. European
34 Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Systems saving lives. *Resuscitation* 2021;**161**:80–97.

- 1 29. Collet J-P, Thiele H, Barbato E, Barthélémy O, Bauersachs J, Bhatt DL, Dendale P, Dorobantu M, Edvardsen
2 T, Folliguet T, Gale CP, Gilard M, Jobs A, Jüni P, Lambrinou E, Lewis BS, Mehilli J, Meliga E, Merkely B,
3 Mueller C, Roffi M, Rutten FH, Sibbing D, Siontis GCM, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2020 ESC
4 Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent
5 ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:1289–1367.
- 6 30. Adult Basic Life Support: 2020 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency
7 Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations |
8 Circulation <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000892> (10 April 2022)
- 9 31. Desch S, Freund A, Akin I, Behnes M, Preusch MR, Zelniker TA, Skurk C, Landmesser U, Graf T, Eitel I,
10 Fuernau G, Haake H, Nordbeck P, Hammer F, Felix SB, Hassager C, Engstrøm T, Fichtlscherer S, Ledwoch J,
11 Lenk K, Joner M, Steiner S, Liebetau C, Voigt I, Zeymer U, Brand M, Schmitz R, Horstkotte J, Jacobshagen
12 C, Pöss J, Abdel-Wahab M, Lurz P, Jobs A, Waha-Thiele S de, Olbrich D, Sandig F, König IR, Brett S, Vens M,
13 Klinge K, Thiele H, TOMAHAWK Investigators. Angiography after Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest without
14 ST-Segment Elevation. *N Engl J Med* 2021.
- 15 32. Lemkes JS, Janssens GN, Hoeven NW van der, Jewbali LSD, Dubois EA, Meuwissen M, Rijpstra TA, Bosker
16 HA, Blans MJ, Bleeker GB, Baak R, Vlachoannis GJ, Eikemans BJW, Harst P van der, Horst ICC van der,
17 Voskuil M, Heijden JJ van der, Beishuizen A, Stoel M, Camaro C, Hoeven H van der, Henriques JP, Vlaar
18 APJ, Vink MA, Bogaard B van den, Heestermans TACM, Ruijter W de, Delnoij TSR, Crijns HJGM, Jessurun
19 GAJ, Oemrawsingh PV, Gosselink MTM, Plomp K, Magro M, Elbers PWG, Ven PM van de, Oudemans-van
20 Straaten HM, Royen N van. Coronary Angiography after Cardiac Arrest without ST-Segment Elevation. *N*
21 *Engl J Med* 2019;**380**:1397–1407.
- 22 33. Hauw-Berlemont C, Lamhaut L, Diehl J-L, Andreotti C, Varenne O, Leroux P, Lascarrou J-B, Guerin P, Loeb
23 T, Roupie E, Daubin C, Beygui F, Vilfaillot A, Glippla S, Djadi-Prat J, Chatellier G, Cariou A, Spaulding C,
24 EMERGE investigators. EMERGENCY versus delayed coronary angiogram in survivors of out-of-hospital
25 cardiac arrest with no obvious non-cardiac cause of arrest: Design of the EMERGE trial. *Am Heart J*
26 2020;**222**:131–138.
- 27 34. Kalla K, Christ G, Karnik R, Malzer R, Norman G, Prachar H, Schreiber W, Unger G, Glogar HD, Kaff A,
28 Laggner AN, Maurer G, Mlczoch J, Slany J, Weber HS, Huber K, Vienna STEMI Registry Group.
29 Implementation of guidelines improves the standard of care: the Viennese registry on reperfusion
30 strategies in ST-elevation myocardial infarction (Vienna STEMI registry). *Circulation* 2006;**113**:2398–2405.
- 31 35. Danchin N, Coste P, Ferrières J, Steg P-G, Cottin Y, Blanchard D, Belle L, Ritz B, Kirkorian G, Angioi M, Sans
32 P, Charbonnier B, Eltchaninoff H, Guéret P, Khalife K, Asseman P, Puel J, Goldstein P, Cambou J-P, Simon
33 T, FAST-MI Investigators. Comparison of thrombolysis followed by broad use of percutaneous coronary
34 intervention with primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-segment-elevation acute
35 myocardial infarction: data from the french registry on acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction (FAST-
36 MI). *Circulation* 2008;**118**:268–276.
- 37 36. Masoudi FA, Bonow RO, Brindis RG, Cannon CP, Debuhr J, Fitzgerald S, Heidenreich PA, Ho KKL, Krumholz
38 HM, Leber C, Magid DJ, Nilasena DS, Rumsfeld JS, Smith SC, Wharton TP, ACC/AHA Task Force on
39 Performance Measures. ACC/AHA 2008 statement on Performance Measurement and Reperfusion
40 Therapy: a report of the ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures (Work Group to address the

- 1 challenges of Performance Measurement and Reperfusion Therapy). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;**52**:2100–
2 2112.
- 3 37. Cha K-C, Kim HI, Kim OH, Cha YS, Kim H, Lee KH, Hwang SO. Echocardiographic patterns of
4 postresuscitation myocardial dysfunction. *Resuscitation* 2018;**124**:90–95.
- 5 38. Jentzer JC, Anavekar NS, Mankad SV, White RD, Kashani KB, Barsness GW, Rabinstein AA, Pislaru SV.
6 Changes in left ventricular systolic and diastolic function on serial echocardiography after out-of-hospital
7 cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2018;**126**:1–6.
- 8 39. Laurent I, Monchi M, Chiche J-D, Joly L-M, Spaulding C, Bourgeois B, Cariou A, Rozenberg A, Carli P,
9 Weber S, Dhainaut J-F. Reversible myocardial dysfunction in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *J*
10 *Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;**40**:2110–2116.
- 11 40. Grand J, Kjaergaard J, Bro-Jeppesen J, Wanscher M, Nielsen N, Lindholm MG, Thomsen JH, Boesgaard S,
12 Hassager C. Cardiac output, heart rate and stroke volume during targeted temperature management
13 after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Association with mortality and cause of death. *Resuscitation*
14 2019;**142**:136–143.
- 15 41. Nolan JP, Neumar RW, Adrie C, Aibiki M, Berg RA, Böttiger BW, Callaway C, Clark RSB, Geocadin RG, Jauch
16 EC, Kern KB, Laurent I, Longstreth WT, Merchant RM, Morley P, Morrison LJ, Nadkarni V, Peberdy MA,
17 Rivers EP, Rodriguez-Nunez A, Sellke FW, Spaulding C, Sunde K, Hoek TV. Post-cardiac arrest syndrome:
18 epidemiology, pathophysiology, treatment, and prognostication. A Scientific Statement from the
19 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation; the American Heart Association Emergency
20 Cardiovascular Care Committee; the Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia; the Council on
21 Cardiopulmonary, Perioperative, and Critical Care; the Council on Clinical Cardiology; the Council on
22 Stroke. *Resuscitation* 2008;**79**:350–379.
- 23 42. Nolan JP, Sandroni C, Böttiger BW, Cariou A, Cronberg T, Friberg H, Genbrugge C, Haywood K, Lilja G,
24 Moulaert VRM, Nikolaou N, Mariero Olasveengen T, Skrifvars MB, Taccone F, Soar J. European
25 Resuscitation Council and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Guidelines 2021: Post-
26 resuscitation care. *Resuscitation* 2021;**161**:220–269.
- 27 43. Sandroni C, Nolan JP, Andersen LW, Böttiger BW, Cariou A, Cronberg T, Friberg H, Genbrugge C, Lilja G,
28 Morley PT, Nikolaou N, Olasveengen TM, Skrifvars MB, Taccone FS, Soar J. ERC-ESICM guidelines on
29 temperature control after cardiac arrest in adults. *Intensive Care Med* 2022;**48**:261–269.
- 30 44. Dankiewicz J, Cronberg T, Lilja G, Jakobsen JC, Levin H, Ullén S, Rylander C, Wise MP, Oddo M, Cariou A,
31 Bělohávek J, Hovdenes J, Saxena M, Kirkegaard H, Young PJ, Pelosi P, Storm C, Taccone FS, Joannidis M,
32 Callaway C, Eastwood GM, Morgan MPG, Nordberg P, Erlinge D, Nichol AD, Chew MS, Hollenberg J,
33 Thomas M, Bewley J, Sweet K, Grejs AM, Christensen S, Haenggi M, Levis A, Lundin A, Düring J,
34 Schmidbauer S, Keeble TR, Karamasis GV, Schrag C, Faessler E, Smid O, Otáhal M, Maggiorini M, Wendel
35 Garcia PD, Jaubert P, Cole JM, Solar M, Borgquist O, Leithner C, Abed-Maillard S, Navarra L, Annborn M,
36 Undén J, Brunetti I, Awad A, McGuigan P, Bjørkholt Olsen R, Cassina T, Vignon P, Langeland H, Lange T,
37 Friberg H, Nielsen N, TTM2 Trial Investigators. Hypothermia versus Normothermia after Out-of-Hospital
38 Cardiac Arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2021;**384**:2283–2294.

- 1 45. Berg KM, Grossestreuer AV, Uber A, Patel PV, Donnino MW. Intubation is not a marker for coma after in-
2 hospital cardiac arrest: A retrospective study. *Resuscitation* 2017;**119**:18–20.
- 3 46. Bengert JR, Kirby K, Black S, Brett SJ, Clout M, Lazaroo MJ, Nolan JP, Reeves BC, Robinson M, Scott LJ,
4 Smartt H, South A, Stokes EA, Taylor J, Thomas M, Voss S, Wordsworth S, Rogers CA. Effect of a Strategy
5 of a Supraglottic Airway Device vs Tracheal Intubation During Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest on
6 Functional Outcome: The AIRWAYS-2 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2018;**320**:779–791.
- 7 47. Holmberg MJ, Nicholson T, Nolan JP, Schexnayder S, Reynolds J, Nation K, Welsford M, Morley P, Soar J,
8 Berg KM, Adult Pediatric Advanced Life Support Task Forces at the International Liaison Committee on
9 Resuscitation (ILCOR). Oxygenation and ventilation targets after cardiac arrest: A systematic review and
10 meta-analysis. *Resuscitation* 2020;**152**:107–115.
- 11 48. Schmidt H, Kjaergaard J, Hassager C, Mølstrøm S, Grand J, Borregaard B, Roelsgaard Obling LE, Venø S,
12 Sarkisian L, Mamaev D, Jensen LO, Nyholm B, Høfsten DE, Josiassen J, Thomsen JH, Thune JJ, Lindholm
13 MG, Stengaard Meyer MA, Winther-Jensen M, Sørensen M, Frydland M, Beske RP, Frikke-Schmidt R,
14 Wiberg S, Boesgaard S, Lind Jørgensen V, Møller JE. Oxygen Targets in Comatose Survivors of Cardiac
15 Arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2022.
- 16 49. Bernard SA, Bray JE, Smith K, Stephenson M, Finn J, Grantham H, Hein C, Masters S, Stub D, Perkins GD,
17 Dodge N, Martin C, Hopkins S, Cameron P, EXACT Investigators. Effect of Lower vs Higher Oxygen
18 Saturation Targets on Survival to Hospital Discharge Among Patients Resuscitated After Out-of-Hospital
19 Cardiac Arrest: The EXACT Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2022;**328**:1818–1826.
- 20 50. Nolan JP, Ferrando P, Soar J, Bengert J, Thomas M, Harrison DA, Perkins GD. Increasing survival after
21 admission to UK critical care units following cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Crit Care* 2016;**20**:219.
- 22 51. Bendorf A, Kelly PJ, Kerridge IH, McCaughan GW, Myerson B, Stewart C, Pussell BA. An international
23 comparison of the effect of policy shifts to organ donation following cardiocirculatory death (DCD) on
24 donation rates after brain death (DBD) and transplantation rates. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:e62010.
- 25 52. Bro-Jeppesen J, Annborn M, Hassager C, Wise MP, Pelosi P, Nielsen N, Erlinge D, Wanscher M, Friberg H,
26 Kjaergaard J, TTM Investigators. Hemodynamics and vasopressor support during targeted temperature
27 management at 33°C Versus 36°C after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a post hoc study of the target
28 temperature management trial*. *Crit Care Med* 2015;**43**:318–327.
- 29 53. Bro-Jeppesen J, Kjaergaard J, Wanscher M, Nielsen N, Friberg H, Bjerre M, Hassager C. Systemic
30 Inflammatory Response and Potential Prognostic Implications After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A
31 Substudy of the Target Temperature Management Trial. *Crit Care Med* 2015;**43**:1223–1232.
- 32 54. Bro-Jeppesen J, Johansson PI, Hassager C, Wanscher M, Ostrowski SR, Bjerre M, Kjaergaard J. Endothelial
33 activation/injury and associations with severity of post-cardiac arrest syndrome and mortality after out-
34 of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2016;**107**:71–79.
- 35 55. Oksanen T, Skrifvars M, Wilkman E, Tierala I, Pettilä V, Varpula T. Postresuscitation hemodynamics during
36 therapeutic hypothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with ventricular fibrillation: a retrospective
37 study. *Resuscitation* 2014;**85**:1018–1024.

- 1 56. Bro-Jeppesen J, Hassager C, Wanscher M, Østergaard M, Nielsen N, Erlinge D, Friberg H, Køber L,
2 Kjaergaard J. Targeted temperature management at 33°C versus 36°C and impact on systemic vascular
3 resistance and myocardial function after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a sub-study of the Target
4 Temperature Management Trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2014;**7**:663–672.
- 5 57. Grand J, Bro-Jeppesen J, Hassager C, Rundgren M, Winther-Jensen M, Thomsen JH, Nielsen N, Wanscher
6 M, Kjærgaard J. Cardiac output during targeted temperature management and renal function after out-
7 of-hospital cardiac arrest. *J Crit Care* 2019;**54**:65–73.
- 8 58. Grand J, Lilja G, Kjaergaard J, Bro-Jeppesen J, Friberg H, Wanscher M, Cronberg T, Nielsen N, Hassager C.
9 Arterial blood pressure during targeted temperature management after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and
10 association with brain injury and long-term cognitive function. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*
11 2019:2048872619860804.
- 12 59. Kilgannon JH, Roberts BW, Jones AE, Mittal N, Cohen E, Mitchell J, Chansky ME, Trzeciak S. Arterial blood
13 pressure and neurologic outcome after resuscitation from cardiac arrest*. *Crit Care Med* 2014;**42**:2083–
14 2091.
- 15 60. Roberts BW, Kilgannon JH, Hunter BR, Puskarich MA, Shea L, Donnino M, Jones C, Fuller BM, Kline JA,
16 Jones AE, Shapiro NI, Abella BS, Trzeciak S. Association Between Elevated Mean Arterial Blood Pressure
17 and Neurologic Outcome After Resuscitation From Cardiac Arrest: Results From a Multicenter Prospective
18 Cohort Study. *Crit Care Med* 2019;**47**:93–100.
- 19 61. Manzo-Silberman S, Fichet J, Mathonnet A, Varenne O, Ricome S, Chaib A, Zuber B, Spaulding C, Cariou A.
20 Percutaneous left ventricular assistance in post cardiac arrest shock: comparison of intra aortic blood
21 pump and IMPELLA Recover LP2.5. *Resuscitation* 2013;**84**:609–615.
- 22 62. Ostenfeld S, Lindholm MG, Kjaergaard J, Bro-Jeppesen J, Møller JE, Wanscher M, Hassager C. Prognostic
23 implication of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in patients with cardiogenic shock and acute myocardial
24 infarction. *Resuscitation* 2015;**87**:57–62.
- 25 63. Ouweneel DM, Eriksen E, Sjauw KD, Dongen IM van, Hirsch A, Packer EJS, Vis MM, Wykrzykowska JJ, Koch
26 KT, Baan J, Winter RJ de, Piek JJ, Lagrand WK, Mol BAJM de, Tijssen JGP, Henriques JPS. Percutaneous
27 Mechanical Circulatory Support Versus Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump in Cardiogenic Shock After
28 Acute Myocardial Infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;**69**:278–287.
- 29 64. Priori SG, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Mazzanti A, Blom N, Borggrefe M, Camm J, Elliott PM, Fitzsimons D,
30 Hatala R, Hindricks G, Kirchhof P, Kjeldsen K, Kuck K-H, Hernandez-Madrid A, Nikolaou N, Norekvål TM,
31 Spaulding C, Van Veldhuisen DJ, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the
32 management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: The
33 Task Force for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden
34 Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: Association for European
35 Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC). *Eur Heart J* 2015;**36**:2793–2867.
- 36 65. Russo JJ, Di Santo P, Simard T, James TE, Hibbert B, Couture E, Marbach J, Osborne C, Ramirez FD, Wells
37 GA, Labinaz M, Le May MR, from the CAPITAL study group. Optimal mean arterial pressure in comatose

- 1 survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: An analysis of area below blood pressure thresholds.
2 *Resuscitation* 2018;**128**:175–180.
- 3 66. Grand J, Hassager C, Winther-Jensen M, Rundgren M, Friberg H, Horn J, Wise MP, Nielsen N, Kuiper M,
4 Wiberg S, Thomsen JH, Jaeger Wanscher MC, Frydland M, Kjaergaard J. Mean arterial pressure during
5 targeted temperature management and renal function after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *J Crit Care*
6 2019;**50**:234–241.
- 7 67. Grand J, Hassager C, Skrifvars MB, Tiainen M, Grejs AM, Jeppesen AN, Duez CHV, Rasmussen BS, Laitio T,
8 Nee J, Taccone F, Søreide E, Kirkegaard H. Haemodynamics and vasopressor support during prolonged
9 targeted temperature management for 48 hours after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a post hoc substudy
10 of a randomised clinical trial. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2020:2048872620934305.
- 11 68. Bhate TD, McDonald B, Sekhon MS, Griesdale DEG. Association between blood pressure and outcomes in
12 patients after cardiac arrest: A systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2015;**97**:1–6.
- 13 69. Laurikkala J, Wilkman E, Pettilä V, Kurola J, Reinikainen M, Hoppu S, Ala-Kokko T, Tallgren M, Tiainen M,
14 Vaahersalo J, Varpula T, Skrifvars MB, FINNRESUSCI Study Group. Mean arterial pressure and vasopressor
15 load after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Associations with one-year neurologic outcome. *Resuscitation*
16 2016;**105**:116–122.
- 17 70. Ameloot K, De Deyne C, Ferdinande B, Dupont M, Palmers P-J, Petit T, Eertmans W, Moonen C, Belmans
18 A, Lemmens R, Dens J, Janssens S. Mean arterial pressure of 65 mm Hg versus 85-100 mm Hg in comatose
19 survivors after cardiac arrest: Rationale and study design of the Neuroprotect post-cardiac arrest trial. *Am*
20 *Heart J* 2017;**191**:91–98.
- 21 71. Jakkula P, Pettilä V, Skrifvars MB, Hästbacka J, Loisa P, Tiainen M, Wilkman E, Toppila J, Koskue T, Bendel
22 S, Birkelund T, Laru-Sompa R, Valkonen M, Reinikainen M, COMACARE study group. Targeting low-normal
23 or high-normal mean arterial pressure after cardiac arrest and resuscitation: a randomised pilot trial.
24 *Intensive Care Med* 2018;**44**:2091–2101.
- 25 72. Grand J, Meyer AS, Kjaergaard J, Wiberg S, Thomsen JH, Frydland M, Ostrowski SR, Johansson PI,
26 Hassager C. A randomised double-blind pilot trial comparing a mean arterial pressure target of 65 mm Hg
27 versus 72 mm Hg after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*
28 2020:2048872619900095.
- 29 73. Kjaergaard J, Møller JE, Schmidt H, Grand J, Mølstrøm S, Borregaard B, Venø S, Sarkisian L, Mamaev D,
30 Jensen LO, Nyholm B, Høfsten DE, Josiassen J, Thomsen JH, Thune JJ, Obling LER, Lindholm MG, Frydland
31 M, Meyer MAS, Winther-Jensen M, Beske RP, Frikke-Schmidt R, Wiberg S, Boesgaard S, Madsen SA,
32 Jørgensen VL, Hassager C. Blood-Pressure Targets in Comatose Survivors of Cardiac Arrest. *N Engl J Med*
33 2022.
- 34 74. Laver S, Farrow C, Turner D, Nolan J. Mode of death after admission to an intensive care unit following
35 cardiac arrest. *Intensive Care Med* 2004;**30**:2126–2128.
- 36 75. Dragancea I, Rundgren M, Englund E, Friberg H, Cronberg T. The influence of induced hypothermia and
37 delayed prognostication on the mode of death after cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2013;**84**:337–342.

- 1 76. Zhou SE, Maciel CB, Ormseth CH, Beekman R, Gilmore EJ, Greer DM. Distinct predictive values of current
2 neuroprognostic guidelines in post-cardiac arrest patients. *Resuscitation* 2019;**139**:343–350.
- 3 77. Moseby-Knappe M, Pellis T, Dragancea I, Friberg H, Nielsen N, Horn J, Kuiper M, Roncarati A, Siemund R,
4 Undén J, Cronberg T, TTM-trial investigators. Head computed tomography for prognostication of poor
5 outcome in comatose patients after cardiac arrest and targeted temperature management. *Resuscitation*
6 2017;**119**:89–94.
- 7 78. Friberg H, Cronberg T, Dünser MW, Duranteau J, Horn J, Oddo M. Survey on current practices for
8 neurological prognostication after cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2015;**90**:158–162.
- 9 79. Cloostermans MC, Meulen FB van, Eertman CJ, Hom HW, Putten MJAM van. Continuous
10 electroencephalography monitoring for early prediction of neurological outcome in postanoxic patients
11 after cardiac arrest: a prospective cohort study. *Crit Care Med* 2012;**40**:2867–2875.
- 12 80. Drohan CM, Cardi AI, Rittenberger JC, Popescu A, Callaway CW, Baldwin ME, Elmer J. Effect of sedation on
13 quantitative electroencephalography after cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2018;**124**:132–137.
- 14 81. Lilja G, Nielsen N, Friberg H, Horn J, Kjaergaard J, Nilsson F, Pellis T, Wetterslev J, Wise MP, Bosch F, Bro-
15 Jeppesen J, Brunetti I, Buratti AF, Hassager C, Hofgren C, Insorsi A, Kuiper M, Martini A, Palmer N,
16 Rundgren M, Rylander C, Veen A van der, Wanscher M, Watkins H, Cronberg T. Cognitive function in
17 survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest after target temperature management at 33°C versus 36°C.
18 *Circulation* 2015;**131**:1340–1349.
- 19 82. Cronberg T, Lilja G, Horn J, Kjaergaard J, Wise MP, Pellis T, Hovdenes J, Gasche Y, Åneman A, Stammet P,
20 Erlinge D, Friberg H, Hassager C, Kuiper M, Wanscher M, Bosch F, Cranshaw J, Kleger G-R, Persson S,
21 Undén J, Walden A, Winkel P, Wetterslev J, Nielsen N, TTM Trial Investigators. Neurologic Function and
22 Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients Following Targeted Temperature Management at 33°C vs 36°C
23 After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Neurol* 2015;**72**:634–641.
- 24 83. Israelsson J, Bremer A, Herlitz J, Axelsson ÅB, Cronberg T, Djärv T, Kristofferzon M-L, Larsson I-M, Lilja G,
25 Sunnerhagen KS, Wallin E, Ågren S, Åkerman E, Årestedt K. Health status and psychological distress
26 among in-hospital cardiac arrest survivors in relation to gender. *Resuscitation* 2017;**114**:27–33.
- 27 84. Moolaert VRM, Heugten CM van, Winkens B, Bakx WGM, Krom MCFTM de, Gorgels TPM, Wade DT,
28 Verbunt JA. Early neurologically-focused follow-up after cardiac arrest improves quality of life at one
29 year: A randomised controlled trial. *Int J Cardiol* 2015;**193**:8–16.
- 30 85. Simms AD, Batin PD, Weston CF, Fox K a. A, Timmis A, Long WR, Hall AS, Gale CP. An evaluation of
31 composite indicators of hospital acute myocardial infarction care: a study of 136,392 patients from the
32 Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;**170**:81–87.

Domain 1 (Structural quality indicators): Prehospital organization and cardiac arrest centres			Assessment	GL class
1	The centre should be part of a network organisation with written protocols for rapid and efficient triage and management	Primary	Numerator: Centres participating in a network for management of OHCA patients with written protocols. (centre level)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Network organization: ESC STEMI GL class I, level B. • Written protocol: ESC STEMI GL class I, level C. • Single phone call: no recommendation.
2	The centre should be part of a network organization, with pre-hospital interpretation of ECG for 1) diagnosis, 2) decision for immediate transfer to a centre with catheterization laboratory facilities, and 3) pre-hospital activation of the catheterization laboratory	Secondary	Numerator: Centres with a system for pre-hospital ECG interpretation and transfer decisions. (centre level)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Network organization: ESC STEMI GL class I, level B. • Prehospital interpretation of ECG: ESC STEMI GL class I, level B. • Prehospital activation of the catheterization laboratory: ESC STEMI GL class IIa, level B.
Domain 2: Initial in-hospital examination after cardiac arrest				
1	Timely angiography - Timely is defined as: <90 minutes from ROSC to wire is inserted in the patient	Primary	Numerator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, with a prehospital ECG showing STEMI and undergo angiography within 90 minutes from ROSC Denominator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, with a prehospital ECG showing STEMI.	Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence
2	Timely echocardiography. Timely is defined as: <2 hours from ROSC	Secondary	Numerator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA admitted alive to the hospital, who undergo timely echocardiography. Denominator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA admitted alive to the hospital.	Best practice recommendation
Domain 3: Intensive care treatment				
1	Temperature control	Primary	Numerator: Patients with return of spontaneous circulation remaining comatose at hospital admission undergoing temperature control Denominator: Patients remaining comatose at hospital admission where contraindications to temperature control have been excluded.	Best practice statement
2	Tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation before admission to the ICU.	secondary	Numerator: Patients remaining comatose at hospital admission, who are tracheal	Best practice recommendation

			intubated. Denominator: Patients remaining comatose at hospital admission	
3	Examination with arterial blood gas analysis in the first hospital evaluation after ROSC within 2 hours	secondary	Numerator: Patients admitted to hospital after OHCA, who are examined with ABG during the first 2 hours Denominator: Patients admitted to hospital after OHCA	Weak recommendation, very low-certainty evidence
4	Evaluation for organ donation of brain-dead patients	secondary	Numerator: Patients declared brain dead who are evaluated by the treating physician for organ donation Denominator: Patients declared brain death.	Best practice statement
Domain 4: Hemodynamic management.				
1	Mechanical circulatory support (such as intra-aortic balloon pump, left-ventricular assist device or arterio-venous extra corporal membrane oxygenation) for persisting cardiogenic shock from left ventricular failure.	Primary	Numerator: Patients with persistent cardiogenic shock from left ventricular failure patients, who are evaluated for mechanical circulatory support. Denominator: Patients with persistent cardiogenic shock from left ventricular failure.	Not Reviewed in 2020
2	Vasopressor therapy for hypotension. Hypotension is defined as either receiving vasopressors or a mean arterial blood pressure <65 mmHg or a systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg	selected	Numerator: Hypotensive patients in the ICU post-OHCA receiving continuous vasopressors therapy. Denominator: Hypotensive patients in the ICU post-OHCA	Weak recommendation, low-certainty evidence
Domain 5: Neurological prognostication.				
1	Multimodal prognostication	Primary	Numerator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours in who a multimodal prognostication is used. Denominator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours	Strong recommendation, very low-certainty evidence
2	Head CT scan as part of neurological prognostication	Selected	Numerator: Patients remaining comatose without sedation above 72 hours who is examined by a CT of cerebrum	Very low-certainty evidence (Gray Matter-to-White Matter Ratio)

			Denominator: Patients remaining comatose without sedation above 72 hours	
3	EEG as part of neurological prognostication	selected	Numerator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours who is examined with an EEG Denominator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours	Weak recommendation, very low-certainty evidence (in case of myoclonic jerks)
Domain 6: Patient discharge and Follow-up				
1	Functional assessments of physical and non-physical impairments before discharge from the hospital	Primary	Numerator: Patients surviving to hospital-discharge with an assessment of physical and non-physical impairments before discharge Denominator: Patients surviving to hospital-discharge	Best practice statement
2	Systematic follow-up and screening for cognitive problems after discharge.	selected	Numerator: Patients alive after 3 months who are invited to a follow-up session including screening for cognitive problems. Denominator: Patients alive after 3 months	Best practice statement
Domain 7 (outcome quality indicators): Survival with good functional outcome				
1	Alive with a good functional outcome (able to walk without assistance and attend own bodily needs) 3 months after the arrest	Primary	Numerator: Patients alive after 3 months with a good neurological outcome Denominator: number of patients admitted to hospital alive (alive being spontaneous circulation)	Best practice statement
Domain 8. Composite QI				
1	Fulfillment of QI 2. (echo within 4 hours), 3.1 (temperature control) and 6.2 (follow-up)	Primary	Numerator: Patients surviving to discharge fulfilling QI 2c, 3c and 6a. Denominator: Patients surviving to discharge	
2	Main QI of each domain.		Assign 1 point for each main QI fulfilled = a maximal of 7 points per patient; the value of the composite is the number of points divided by 7. If a patient is not eligible to one or more of the 7 points, the total will be divided by the	

			number of indicators applicable. This type of composite allows to consider more items in a single score.	1
				2
				3

4

5

6 Explanatory text: The numerator is the value placed above the horizontal line in a fraction. It signifies the number of patients taken out of the whole. The numeric value below the
7 horizontal line in a fraction is called the denominator. It represents the total number of patients. For each QI, the total number of eligible patients denotes the denominator, whereas
8 the number of patients fulfilling the QI due to high quality of care denotes the numerator. A fraction close to 1 indicates high quality of care, whereas a fraction close to 0 indicates
9 low quality of care.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

1 **Table 2. Summary of the quality indicators (QIs): definition, target population and method of reporting.**

2 **1.1 The centre should be part of a network organization with written protocols for rapid and efficient triage and**
3 **management including: A single emergency phone number for lay people and a direct way of communication**
4 **between prehospital unit and centre.**

5 Domain: Centre organization.

6 Clinical rationale: to improve speed and efficiency of pre-hospital care, reperfusion for STEMI patients and eCPR for
7 refractory cardiac arrest.

8 Target population: Centres managing OHCA-patients.

9
10 **1.2 The centre should be part of a network organization, with pre-hospital interpretation of ECG for 1) diagnosis, 2)**
11 **decision for immediate transfer to a centre with catheterization laboratory facilities, and 3) pre-hospital**
12 **activation of the catheterization laboratory.**

13 Domain: Centre organization.

14 Clinical rationale: to improve speed and efficiency of pre-hospital care, reperfusion for STEMI patients and eCPR for
15 refractory cardiac arrest.

16 Target population: Centres managing OHCA-patients.

17
18 **2.1 Timely angiography - Timely is defined as: <90 minutes from ROSC to wire is inserted in the patient**

19 Domain: Initial examination of cause of cardiac arrest.

20 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients with STEMI before admission to hospital.

21 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

22 Numerator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, with a prehospital ECG showing STEMI and undergo angiography within 90
23 minutes from ROSC.

24 Denominator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, with a prehospital ECG showing STEMI.

25 Exclusion: Patients with contraindications to angiography such as allergy, intolerance or renal failure, or who die within 90
26 minutes from ROSC. Patients with persistent cardiogenic shock or cardiac arrest and may require mechanical circulatory
27 support prior to primary PCI

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

2.2 Timely echocardiography. Timely is defined as: <2 hours from ROSC

Domain: Initial examination of cause of cardiac arrest.

Target population: Patients with ROSC after OHCA admitted alive to the hospital.

Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge.

Numerator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA admitted alive to the hospital, who undergo timely echocardiography (timely defined as before 2 hours after admission). The task force does not specify the kind of cardiac ultrasound used, but the examination should as a minimum include left ventricular ejection fraction, a measure of right ventricular function, pericardial effusion and valve disease.

Denominator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA admitted alive to the hospital.

Exclusion: none

3.1 Temperature control

Domain: 3. Intensive care

Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at admission to hospital.

Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

Numerator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, remaining comatose (GCS<9) at hospital arrival who undergo temperature control.

Denominator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, remaining comatose (GCS<9) at hospital arrival.

Exclusion: Patients with contraindications to temperature control, temperature on admission <30°C, pregnancy, intracranial bleeding.

3.2 Tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation before admission to the ICU.

Domain: 3. Intensive care

Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at admission to ICU.

Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

1 Numerator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, remaining comatose (GCS<9) at hospital arrival who undergo tracheal
2 intubation.

3 Denominator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, remaining comatose (GCS<9) at hospital arrival.

4 Exclusion: Patients with contraindications to intubation such as severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD).
5

6 **3.3 Examination with arterial blood gas analysis in the first hospital evaluation after ROSC.**

7 Domain: 3. Intensive care

8 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at admission to ICU.

9 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

10 Numerator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA, who are examined with ABG during the first hour after hospital arrival.

11 Denominator: Patients with ROSC after OHCA.

12 Exclusion: no exclusion

13 **3.4 Evaluation for organ donation of brain death patients.**

14 Domain: 3. Intensive care

15 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients who progress to brain death.

16 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge.

17 Numerator: Patients declared brain death who are evaluated for organ donation. Evaluated meaning a decision should be
18 made whether a given patient qualifies for organ donation. This decision should be stated in the patient record.

19 Denominator: Patients declared brain death.

20 Exclusion: no exclusion
21

22 **4.1. Mechanical circulatory support (such as intra-aortic balloon pump, left-ventricular assist device or arterio- 23 venous extra corporal membrane oxygenation) for persisting cardiogenic shock from left ventricular failure.**

24 Domain: 4. Intensive care

25 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at admission to ICU, who are in persisting (where fluid
26 resuscitation, inotropes, and vasoactive drugs is insufficient) cardiogenic shock.

27 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

1 Numerator: Patients with persistent cardiogenic shock from left ventricular failure, who are evaluated for mechanical
2 circulatory support. Evaluated meaning a decision should be made whether this patient qualifies for mechanical circulatory
3 support. This decision should be stated in the patient record.

4 Denominator: Patients with persistent cardiogenic shock from left ventricular failure.

5 Exclusion: Patients with contraindications for mechanical support.

6 **4.2. Vasopressor therapy for hypotension.**

7 Domain: 4. Intensive care

8 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at admission to ICU, who have hypotension (mean arterial
9 blood pressure <65 mmHg) despite adequate filling pressures.

10 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

11 Numerator: Hypotensive patients in the ICU post-OHCA receiving continuous vasopressors therapy.

12 Denominator: Hypotensive patients in the ICU post-OHCA

13 Exclusion: Patients with contraindications for vasopressor therapy.

14

15 **5.1. Multimodal neurological prognostication**

16 Domain: 5. Neurological prognostication

17 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at 72 hours after hospital-admission.

18 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

19 Numerator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours and with no sedatives in who a multimodal prognostication is
20 used. Multimodal defined as: use of biomarkers (ie NSE), use of neurological imaging (signs of diffuse anoxic brain injury
21 on CT or MRI), use of electrophysiology (unreactive burst-suppression or status epilepticus in EEG, bilaterally absent N20
22 SSEP wave) and use of neurological examination (absent or extensor motor response, absent pupillary and corneal reflexes,
23 status myoclonus).

24 Denominator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours after stop sedatives.

25 Exclusion: Patients dying from non-neurological causes or receiving sedatives or neuromuscular blockade drugs.

26

27

1 **5.2. Head CT scan as part of neurological prognostication**

2 Domain: 5. Neurological prognostication

3 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at 72 hours after hospital-admission.

4 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

5 Numerator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours who have had a head CT performed prior to 72 hours after
6 hospital-admission.

7 Denominator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours.

8 Exclusion: Patients dying from non-neurological causes.

9 **5.3. EEG as part of neurological prognostication**

10 Domain: 5. Neurological prognostication

11 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at 72 hours after hospital-admission.

12 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

13 Numerator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours who have had an EEG performed prior to 72 hours after hospital-
14 admission.

15 Denominator: Patients remaining comatose above 72 hours after stop sedatives.

16 Exclusion: Patients dying from non-neurological causes or receiving sedatives or neuromuscular blockade drugs..

17

18 **6.1. Functional assessments of physical and non-physical impairments before discharge from the hospital**

19 Domain 6: Patient discharge and Follow-up

20 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at 72 hours after hospital-admission.

21 Measurement period: At the time of hospital discharge

22 Numerator: Patients surviving to hospital-discharge with an assessment of physical and non-physical impairments before
23 discharge.

24 Denominator: Patients surviving to hospital-discharge.

25 Exclusion: none

26 **6.2. Systematic follow-up and screening for cognitive problems after discharge.**

27 Domain 6: Patient discharge and Follow-up

1 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at 72 hours after hospital-admission.

2 Measurement period: 3 months after hospital discharge

3 Numerator: Patients alive after 3 months who are invited to a follow-up session including screening for cognitive problems.

4 Denominator: Patients alive after 3 months.

5 Exclusion: Patients having impairments, where contact is unfeasible.

6

7 **7.1. Alive with a good functional outcome (able to walk without assistance and attend own bodily needs) 3 months**
8 **after the arrest**

9 Domain 7 (outcome quality indicators): Survival and functional outcome

10 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at hospital hospital-admission.

11 Measurement period: 3 months after hospital discharge

12 Numerator: Patients alive after 3 months with a good neurological outcome

13 Denominator: number of patients admitted to hospital alive (alive being spontaneous circulation).

14 Exclusion: none

15

16 **8.1. Main QI of each domain.**

17 Domain 8. Composite QI

18 Target population: Adult OHCA-patients remaining comatose at hospital hospital-admission.

19 Measurement period: 3 months after hospital discharge


20 Assign 1 point for each main QI fulfilled = a maximal of 7 points per patient; the value of the composite is the number of
21 points divided by 7. If a patient is not eligible to one or more of the 7 points, the total will be divided by the number of
22 indicators applicable. This type of composite allows to consider more items in in a single score.

23

24

1 Figure 1: Graphic overview of the 8 domains

2



3

Quality indicators for post-resuscitation care after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A Joint statement from the Association for Acute CardioVascular Care (ACVC) of the European Society of Cardiology, the European Resuscitation Council (ERC), the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM), and the European Society for Emergency Medicine (EUSEM)

Objective

To define suitable quality indicators for the post-resuscitation care

Collaboration among four scientific societies in Europe:



Consensus of 6 primary quality indicators for



Hospital organisation



ICU-management



Rehabilitation and follow-up

Target population

Adult patients, who have regained return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and remain comatose after an OHCA of presumed cardiac cause or unknown cause.

Methods

Delphi-rounds, workshops and meetings among experts, researchers and clinicians.

Graphical Abstract
219x145 mm (.23 x DPI)