



This is the accepted version of this paper. The version of record is available at
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149598>

1 Aquatic ecotoxicology of anticancer drugs: a systematic review

2 Carla Nassour^{1*}, Shereen Nabhani-Gebara¹, Stephen J. Barton¹, James Barker¹

3 ¹ School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, Penrhyn Road,
4 Kingston Upon Thames, KT1 2EE, UK

5 *Corresponding author: k1801084@kingston.ac.uk

6 Abstract:

7 Anticancer drugs in the aquatic environment have drawn a lot of attention in the last decade.
8 Since wastewater treatment plants proved to be inefficient to fully eliminate trace
9 concentrations of anticancer drugs, these compounds are continuously discharged into the
10 aquatic environment. Subsequently, non-target organisms such as the aquatic biota are
11 directly exposed to a variety of anticancer drugs. To understand the potential impact on the
12 aquatic organisms, a systematic review was conducted in compliance with the PRISMA
13 guidelines. The results acquired from the 152 included studies were analysed and sorted into
14 four categories: the impact of each included anticancer drug, the effect of metabolites, the
15 effect of a mixture of drugs, and risk assessment. Findings showed that risk on the aquatic
16 biota was unlikely to occur as the concentrations needed to induce effects were much higher
17 than those detected in the environment. However, these data were based on acute toxicity
18 and included only basic toxicity endpoints. The concentrations that produced significant
19 effects were much lower when tested in the long-term or in multi-generational studies.
20 Variabilities in results were also observed, these depended on the organism tested, the
21 assessment adopted, and the endpoints selected. In this systematic review, an overall view

22 of the research studies was generated by which all the variability factors to be considered
23 were reported and recommendations to guide future studies were proposed.

24 Keywords: Anticancer drugs; Aquatic ecotoxicology; Systematic review; Water pollution

25

26 **Funding:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
27 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

28

29 1. Introduction

30 Pharmaceuticals, including anticancer drugs, are considered an environmental threat due to
31 their constant release into the aquatic environment (Jureczko and Kalka, 2020). With
32 anticancer drugs being administered mainly in outpatient departments, households are
33 currently regarded as one of the critical discharge sources along with hospitals,
34 pharmaceutical industries and solid waste disposal (Johnson et al., 2008; Jureczko and Kalka,
35 2020; Toolaram et al., 2014). Also, since parent compounds and metabolites of anticancer
36 drugs are discharged in domestic sewage, it would be useful to pre-treat the water before it
37 enters the wastewater treatment plants (Balcerzak and Rezka, 2014). The removal rate of
38 anticancer drugs in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) could range from less than 20% to
39 approximately 90% depending on the compound and the type of treatment applied. Hence,
40 the detection of these compounds and their metabolites in water resources is demonstrated
41 in numerous studies (Zhang et al., 2013).

42 A recent systematic review demonstrates that the most detected anticancer drugs in the
43 aquatic environment are cyclophosphamide (0.05-22100 ng/L), tamoxifen (0.01-740 ng/L),
44 ifosfamide (0.14-86200 ng/L), and methotrexate (1.6-4756 ng/L) (Nassour et al., 2019). The
45 concentrations observed could be explained by the high stability of some anticancer drugs, as
46 reported in Negreira et al. (2014) study, which could be problematic and detrimental to the
47 aquatic biota (Negreira et al., 2014).

48 Anticancer drugs are different classes of chemotherapy agents with the primary aim to
49 disrupt, at various points, the cancer cells life cycle. Therefore, they can be classified
50 according to their cell cycle effects or their biochemical properties (Dickens and Ahmed,
51 2018). Besides treating tumour sites, anticancer drugs can cause considerable toxicity as side

52 effect since their mode of action is not specific to cancer cells, and they can alter normal cells'
53 function (Gajski et al., 2018). Hence, environmental and occupational exposure to anticancer
54 drugs could potentially harm humans and non-target organisms, including the aquatic biota
55 (Fabbri, 2015; Ladeira et al., 2014).

56

57 In this systematic review, the impact of anticancer drugs and their metabolites are assessed
58 exclusively on the aquatic biota. The results are divided into four categories: exposure to one
59 anticancer drug, exposure to metabolites, exposure to a mixture of drugs and risk assessment.
60 Apart from reporting the included studies' outcomes, this review discusses several points
61 such as the reasons for research findings' heterogeneity, the different issues to be considered
62 when evaluating the risk of anticancer drugs in the aquatic environment and proposes several
63 recommendations for future research. Finally, this report is conducted in compliance with the
64 PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic and Meta-Analysis) checklist, and to the
65 best of the authors' knowledge, such study has not been previously reported in the literature.

66

67 2. Search strategy and inclusion criteria

68 A search strategy (PROSPERO registration: CRD42020191754) was formulated to collect as
69 many significant publications as possible. The key search terms were defined and combined
70 using Boolean operators and wildcards: (Anticancer\$ OR Cytotoxic\$ OR Cytostatic\$ OR
71 Chemotherapeutic\$) AND (Ecological Risk Assessment OR Ecotox* OR Acute OR Chronic OR
72 Toxic) AND (*Water* OR Environment* OR Aquatic*). A search was then conducted on two
73 initial databases: PubMed and OpenGrey, on April 3, 2020. The search was refined by

74 Languages = English (on PubMed and OpenGrey) and Sort by = Best Match (on PubMed). In
75 parallel, the same research was conducted on ScienceDirect to confirm that no additional
76 studies were available.

77 All original studies written in English, peer-reviewed and published or not (Grey literature)
78 that assess the effect of anticancer drugs on any aquatic biota *in vivo* were included in this
79 review with no restriction on the study year. The final outcome of the screening and selection
80 process was the inclusion of 108 studies. In addition to that, 44 studies were added to the
81 included studies from bibliography searches. More details about the selection process are
82 presented in **Supplementary Information**.

83 All types of endpoints and findings were reported, such as survival and growth rates,
84 behavioural and physiological changes, physical malformations, etc. The data extracted from
85 the included studies were arranged in four different tables (**Supplementary Information**).
86 **Table S2** shows the effect of a single exposure of aquatic organisms to anticancer drugs; **Table**
87 **S3** shows the impact of a combination of drugs; **Table S4** shows the effect of anticancer drug
88 metabolites on non-target organisms. Finally, **Table S5** presents the calculated/predicted risk
89 of anticancer drugs on various aquatic organisms. Outcomes of the different tests conducted
90 were presented as reported in the studies, including the concentrations which induced the
91 stated effects.

92

93 The risk to the aquatic biota resulting from exposure to anticancer drugs in the aquatic
94 environment will be discussed in the below sections, considering the different species tested
95 and the endpoints evaluated.

97 3. Exposure to one anticancer drug (Table S1)

98 3.1 Antineoplastic agents:

99 3.1.1 Alkylating agents:

100 Alkylating agents target the cancer cells at any point of the cycle by binding to the DNA and
101 preventing the cell replication (Yan and Gulbis, 2019). In the included studies,
102 cyclophosphamide and cisplatin were the most studied alkylating agents compared to
103 ifosfamide. In Zounková *et al.* (2007) study, no effect was observed by cyclophosphamide on
104 the growth and bioluminescence of all the organisms tested for up to 1000 and 100 mg/L,
105 respectively, except *Pseudokirchneriella sucapitata*, where EC₅₀ was found to be 930 mg/L
106 (Zounková *et al.*, 2007). However, in another study by Russo *et al.* (2018), cyclophosphamide
107 proved to inhibit crustacea and rotifera's reproduction in chronic tests with EC₅₀ ranging
108 between 58.03 and 89.84 mg/L (Russo *et al.*, 2018c). In addition to that, perturbation of the
109 burrowing behaviour and the activity of antioxidant and biotransformation enzymes was
110 observed in *Nereis diversicolor* at concentrations ranging between 10 and 1000 ng/L (Fonseca
111 *et al.*, 2018). And as expected, cyclophosphamide-induced significant micronucleus formation
112 in mollusca (18-180 mg/L), echinodermata (32-56 mg/L) and fish (20 mg/kg) (Canty *et al.*,
113 2009; Grisolia and Cordeiro, 2000) in addition to other nuclear abnormalities and mutagenic
114 damages. Ifosfamide, which was less studied, has only been shown to induce mortality in
115 crustacea and rotifera with LC₅₀ values ranging between 986.6 and 1924 mg/L, and
116 reproduction inhibition with EC₅₀ ranging between 15.84 and 76.05 mg/L (Russo *et al.*, 2018c).
117 Cisplatin inhibited the growth of bacteria, cyanobacteria, algae, rotifera and aquatic plant
118 with EC₅₀ varying between 440 µg/L and 1.52 mg/L (Brezovšek *et al.*, 2014; Parrella *et al.*,

119 2014b; Supalkova et al., 2008; Zounková et al., 2007). It has also affected the reproduction of
120 crustacea by reducing the offspring percentage, the number of eggs and the population
121 growth rate at low concentrations (Grzesiuk et al., 2019; Parrella et al., 2014c, 2014a; Russo
122 et al., 2018b). As demonstrated by Parrella et al. (2015) study, significant DNA damage was
123 produced at concentrations starting from 30 ng/L (Parrella et al., 2015). Moreover, cisplatin
124 perturbed the activity of enzymes such as AChE, SOD, CAT and GST at 100 ng/L and increased
125 the oxidative damage demonstrated by high levels of lipid peroxidation (Fonseca et al., 2017;
126 Trombini et al., 2016).

127 3.1.2 Antimetabolites:

128 Antimetabolites are specific to the cell's cycle phase S. They act by inhibiting the DNA
129 synthesis's key enzymes or by causing strand breaks in DNA and RNA or premature chain
130 termination (Lind, 2011). Most of the studies were conducted for the antimetabolites 5-
131 fluorouracil, followed by methotrexate and capecitabine.

132 Methotrexate which is the most administered antifolate has shown to inhibit
133 bioluminescence, growth and reproduction of aquatic organisms with EC_{50} between 0.08
134 mg/L for *Lemna minor* (Białk-Bielińska et al., 2017) and 1220 mg/L in *Vibrio fischeri* (Henschel
135 et al., 1997). It has also significantly increased the activities of detoxification enzymes such as
136 EROD (phase I) at concentrations starting from 100 ng/g and 0.2 mM, and GST (phase II) at
137 1000 ng/g and 0.08-10 mM in *Ampelisca brevicornis* and *Elliptio complanata*, respectively
138 (Martín-Díaz et al., 2009; Moreira et al., 2016).

139 5-Fluorouracil has been shown to suppress the bioluminescence and growth of different
140 species at lowest concentrations compared to methotrexate with EC_{50} between 0.016 and 48
141 mg/L (Zańska-Radziwiłł et al., 2014; Zounkova et al., 2010). It also inhibited the reproduction

142 of the algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* and the crustaceans *Daphnia magna* and
143 *Ceriodaphnia dubia* with a significant reduction in the percentages of offspring. In fish and
144 amphibia, malformations of larvae and embryos were observed, such as a significant dose-
145 dependent increase of body length starting from 5 ng/L (Ng et al., 2020), incomplete closure
146 of the choroid fissure at 1000 mg/L (Kovács et al., 2016), abdominal oedema, axial flexure and
147 head, eyes and gut malformations at 50 mg/L (Isidori et al., 2016). Furthermore, 5-fluorouracil
148 caused significant DNA damage in *Ceriodaphnia dubia* at 0.06 µg/L (Parrella et al., 2015), *Unio*
149 *pictorum* and *Unio tumidus* at 0.4 µM (Gačić et al., 2014) and *Danio rerio* at 1 µg/L (Kovács et
150 al., 2015); in addition to micronuclei induction and nuclear abnormalities in fish and amphibia
151 (Araújo et al., 2019; Kovács et al., 2015).

152 Capecitabine, a pro-drug which could be activated to 5-Fluorouracil (Lind, 2011), has shown
153 the same results in crustacea, rotifera and amphibia. However, it somehow produced effects
154 at higher concentrations compared to 5-FU. For instance, growth inhibition was caused with
155 EC₅₀ equal to 15.4 mg/L (Parrella et al., 2014b) and reproduction was inhibited with an EC₅₀
156 ranging between 2.4 and 20.5 mg/L (Parrella et al., 2014b). Also, DNA damage occurred in
157 *Daphnia magna* and *Ceriodaphnia dubia* at 22.5 and 120 µg/L, respectively. In *Xenopus laevis*
158 embryos, the same malformations were reported at 20 mg/L (Isidori et al., 2016).

159 Only a few studies were conducted for the three antimetabolites, azaserine, cytarabine and
160 gemcitabine. For azaserine, the EC₅₀ obtained for the bioluminescence inhibition assay in
161 *Vibrio fischeri* were 0.151 mg/L and 0.83 µmol/L (Backhaus et al., 2000; Backhaus and
162 Grimme, 1999). Cytarabine inhibited bacteria and algae's growth with EC₅₀ ranging between
163 17 and 53 mg/L, respectively, and the reproduction of crustacea with EC₅₀ equal to 10 mg/L.
164 Finally, gemcitabine caused growth inhibition of bacteria and algae with EC₅₀ ranging between

165 45 and 100 mg/L; and the immobilisation of *Daphnia magna* with EC₅₀ equal to 110 mg/L
166 (Zounkova et al., 2010).

167 3.1.3 Plant alkaloids and other natural products:

168 Vinca alkaloids such as vincristine act by disturbing the dynamics of microtubules (Chu and
169 Rubin, 2018). In crustacea organisms, vincristine caused immobilisation of *Daphnia magna*
170 with EC₅₀ equivalent to 7.74 mg/L (Jureczko and Przystaś, 2019).

171 On the other hand, etoposide, an inhibitor of topoisomerase II and which acts by inducing
172 DNA strand breaks (Makin, 2018), caused immobilisation of *Daphnia magna* with EC₅₀ = 30
173 mg/L (Zounková et al., 2007). It inhibited the growth of bacteria, algae and rotifera with EC₅₀
174 ranging between 3.7 and 351.1 mg/L (Parrella et al., 2014b; Zounková et al., 2007) and the
175 reproduction of crustacea with EC₅₀ between 204 and 239 µg/L (Parrella et al., 2014b), in
176 addition to a reduction in the number of offspring for up to 90.4% at 473.7 µg/L (Parrella et
177 al., 2014a). Furthermore, as reported in Isodiri et al. (2016) study, etoposide caused DNA
178 damage in crustacea at lower concentrations and embryonic malformations in fish and
179 amphibia, starting from 30 mg/L (Isidori et al., 2016).

180 3.1.4 Cytotoxic antibiotics:

181 Anti-tumour antibiotics intercalate sequences of DNA and cause strand breakage (Fernando
182 and Jones, 2015). Cytotoxic antibiotics were not extensively examined: bleomycin,
183 doxorubicin and mitomycin C were investigated in two, three and five studies, respectively.
184 Bleomycin has shown to inhibit bacteria and aquatic plant growth with EC₅₀ equivalent to 0.2
185 and 7.27 mg/L, respectively and has caused immobilisation of *Daphnia magna* with EC₅₀ equal
186 to 0.77 mg/L (Jureczko and Przystaś, 2019). The anthracycline doxorubicin prevented rotifera

187 and algae's growth with EC₅₀ ranging between 7.7 and 10 mg/L, respectively. In crustacea, the
188 EC₅₀ obtained was between 2 and 2.14 mg/L for the immobilisation test, and DNA damage
189 has occurred starting from 0.02 µg/L. Doxorubicin has also caused mortality in crustacea and
190 rotifera with LC₅₀ ranging between 0.31 and 12.69 mg/L (Parrella et al., 2015, 2014b;
191 Zounková et al., 2007). Finally, in Nakano et al. (2003) study, mitomycin C elicited offspring
192 malformations and germ cells mutations at concentrations ranging between 1 and 100 µM in
193 mollusca (Nakano et al., 2003). It has also induced dose and time-dependent micronuclei
194 formation in fish with concentrations ranging between 0.25 and 10 mg/kg (Bahari et al., 1994;
195 Das and Nanda, 1986; Winter et al., 2007).

196 3.1.5 Tyrosine kinase inhibitor:

197 Tyrosine kinase inhibitors block the receptor tyrosine kinases that promote cell division and
198 survival (Gustafson and Bailey, 2019). Imatinib, the only anticancer drug tested in this class,
199 inhibited the bioluminescence and bacteria growth with EC₅₀ ranging between 5.36 and 23.06
200 mg/L (Białk-Bielińska et al., 2017; Brezovšek et al., 2014). It has also inhibited the growth of
201 algae, rotifera, crustacea and aquatic plant with EC₅₀ ranging between 0.74 and 72.43 mg/L
202 (Białk-Bielińska et al., 2017; Parrella et al., 2014b). Mortality was recorded in crustacea,
203 rotifera and fish with LC₅₀ ranging between 3.82 and 65.9 mg/L (Kovács et al., 2016; Parrella
204 et al., 2014b). Reproduction inhibition was observed in algae and crustacea with EC₅₀ between
205 0.115 and 5.08 mg/L and a reduction in the % of offspring for up to 56.5 % at 0.514 mg/L in
206 crustacea (Białk-Bielińska et al., 2017; Parrella et al., 2014b, 2014a). Additionally, DNA
207 damage significantly increased in crustacea, starting from 0.3 µg/L (Parrella et al., 2015), and
208 feeding behaviour significantly decreased in rotifera at 1.2 mg/L (Yan et al., 2017). In fish and
209 amphibia, embryonic deformities were perceived starting at 20 mg/L with the most reported

210 deformations being: tail thinning, deformed yolk sacs, change in pigmentation, head, eyes gut
211 and heart malformations, etc. (Isidori et al., 2016; Kovács et al., 2016).

212

213 3.2 Endocrine therapy

214 Endocrine therapy is typically adapted for cancers that depend on hormones for their growth,
215 such as breast, prostate and endometrial cancers. The treatment consists of administering
216 agents that bind to the hormone receptors and alter their expression in the cell (Archampong
217 and Sweetland, 2015; Hanratty and Sweetland, 2012). Endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs)
218 attracted greater attention in the last couple of decades in terms of investigating their
219 occurrence in the aquatic environment and their adverse effects on the aquatic biota
220 (Rodenas et al., 2015). In the included studies, the most studied EDCs for the two classes were
221 diethylstilbestrol and tamoxifen, respectively.

222 3.2.1 Hormones and related agents:

223 In crustacea, diethylstilbestrol has affected the offspring rate and the reproduction of the
224 second generation of *Daphnia magna*, starting from 0.2 mg/L, as demonstrated in Brennan
225 et al. (2006) study (Brennan et al., 2006). It was also lethal at 1.5 mg/L and caused
226 immobilisation with EC₅₀ equal to 1.55 mg/L (Baldwin et al., 1995; Brennan et al., 2006). The
227 moulting frequency, which is linked to crustaceans' growth, has been significantly reduced,
228 starting from 0.5 mg/L (Baldwin et al., 1995). Similarly, body length was decreased at 0.54
229 mg/L and, more specifically, in female daphnids at 3 µM (Baldwin et al., 1995; Olmstead and
230 LeBlanc, 2000). Additionally, diethylstilbestrol has significantly inactivated testosterone and
231 increased glucosyltransferase activity at 0.5 mg/L (Baldwin et al., 1995). In amphibia,

232 diethylstilbestrol has significantly increased the mortality rate and caused the total death of
233 *Xenopus laevis* embryos after stage 32 of the tadpole's life cycle at 10^{-5} M. It has also induced
234 malformations in embryos and retarded their development after stage 38 at the same
235 concentration (Nishimura et al., 1997). Finally, it has increased the production of vitellogenin
236 in adult males of amphibia and reptilia at $1 \mu\text{g/g}$ (Palmer and Palmer, 1995).

237 In Roepke *et al.* (2005) study, progesterone has inhibited echinodermata embryos'
238 development with EC_{50} equivalent to 546.6 ng/ml and increased the number of embryos with
239 delayed development (Roepke et al., 2005). Testosterone has caused mortality and a
240 decrease in moulting frequency of crustacea with $\text{LC}_{50} = 5.6 \text{ mg/L}$ and $\text{EC}_{50} = 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$
241 (Andersen et al., 2001). Moreover, a significant reduction in the number of fertilised sexual
242 females was observed, in Preston *et al.* (2000) study, in rotifera at $10 \mu\text{g/L}$ with 96-h
243 fertilisation NOEC equal to $1 \mu\text{g/L}$ (Preston et al., 2000). In mollusca, testosterone has
244 triggered the development of male sex organs in females with a significant increase of
245 endogenous testosterone levels at 500 ng/L (Bettin et al., 1996).

246 3.2.2 Hormone antagonists and related agents:

247 Tamoxifen, which has been extensively studied in different aquatic species, has caused
248 bioluminescence inhibition of bacteria with $\text{EC}_{50} = 330 \text{ mg/L}$ (Aguirre-Martínez et al., 2016).
249 In algae, tamoxifen has affected the growth with IC_{50} ranging between 470 and $980 \mu\text{g/L}$
250 (Orias et al., 2015a) and has accumulated in *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* at
251 concentrations for up to $100 \mu\text{g/L}$ (Orias et al., 2015b). Besides that, tamoxifen has influenced
252 the swimming behaviour of crustacea with EC_{50} between 0.21 and 1.53 mg/L (Dellagreca et
253 al., 2007; Orias et al., 2015a) and the reproduction, significantly, starting from $5.26 \mu\text{g/L}$
254 (Borgatta et al., 2016). It has also modified the expression of genes related to oogenesis,

255 moulting, early development and metamorphic transitions such as *vmo1*, *ecrb*, *usp* and
256 *cyp314*, respectively, at concentrations ranging between 50 and 100 µg/L (Jo et al., 2018). In
257 rotifera, tamoxifen caused mortality and growth inhibition with LC₅₀ = 0.97 mg/L and EC₅₀ =
258 0.25 mg/L, respectively (Dellagrecia et al., 2007). As reported in Fonseca *et al.* (2019) study,
259 tamoxifen has affected the burrowing behaviour of polychaeta, at low concentrations starting
260 from 25 ng/L. It has also induced neurotoxicity and altered the activities of antioxidant and
261 biotransformation enzymes accompanied by a significant increase in lipid peroxidation levels
262 and % of DNA in tail at concentrations ranging between 0.5 and 100 ng/L (Fonseca et al.,
263 2019). The same effects were observed in mollusca at concentrations ranging between 1 and
264 50 µg/L; in addition to a significant decrease of vitellogenin levels and endocrine disruption
265 in females and males (Aguirre-Martínez et al., 2018, 2016, 2015; Fonseca et al., 2019). At
266 higher trophic levels, tamoxifen has caused malformations, a reduction in body length and a
267 decrease in the heart rate of fishes. In addition to that, it has altered the expression of several
268 genes related to the endocrine system, metabolism and morphology at concentrations
269 ranging between 0.5 and 500 µg/L (Xia et al., 2016). Tamoxifen has shown to significantly alter
270 the structure and function of gonads, especially in females where a decrease of vitellogenin
271 levels in plasma and reduction of egg production were observed (Chikae et al., 2004; Sun et
272 al., 2007a; Van Der Ven et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2007). However, in males, an increase of
273 vitellogenin levels in plasma was observed in Sun *et al.* (2007) study, which caused a decrease
274 of fecundity and fertility at 625 µg/L and a modification in sex ratio starting from 25 µg/L (Sun
275 et al., 2007a). In amphibia, tamoxifen has altered the expression of hormones mRNA in
276 females, such as the luteinising hormone and the follicle-stimulating hormone (Urbatzka et
277 al., 2006). Also, it has altered the expression of biomarker and aromatase mRNA in females,
278 increased the level of estradiol-17β in plasma of both sexes, and modified the anatomy of the

279 gonads at 0.01 μ M (Cevasco et al., 2008; Massari et al., 2010; Urbatzka et al., 2007). Finally,
280 it has inhibited the growth of the aquatic plant, *Lemna minor*, with EC₅₀ ranging between 0.18
281 and 0.23 mg/L (Białk-Bielińska et al., 2017).

282 Bicalutamide has caused a reduction of nuptial tubercle prominence in adult male fishes at
283 100 μ g/L. However, embryos from first-generation were more affected at the same
284 concentration; for instance, survival significantly decreased, and a gonadal lesion with the
285 inability to spawn was detected in females. Also, increased body weight and length and a
286 perturbation of the reproduction were observed in females (Panter et al., 2012).

287 Cyproterone acetate has delayed the maturation of crustacea, decreased the moulting and
288 body length and reduced the number of offspring starting from 1.2 μ M (Leblanc and
289 Mclachlan, 1999). In mollusca, the size of the penis sheath and the spermatogenesis of adult
290 males significantly diminished, and the level of free estradiol increased at 1.25 mg/L (Santos
291 et al., 2005; Tillmann et al., 2001). In echinodermata, cyproterone acetate has decreased the
292 testosterone levels significantly starting from 300 ng/L and hindered the regenerative cell
293 proliferation (Lavado et al., 2006; Sugni et al., 2008). Lastly, in fish, body weight and length
294 decreased at 1 μ g/L and alteration of the gonadal anatomy was observed in females and
295 males starting from 1 μ g/L (Kiparissis et al., 2003). Furthermore, Sharpe *et al.* (2004)
296 demonstrated that the steroid plasma levels decreased significantly, such as testosterone and
297 11-ketotestosterone in males and testosterone and estradiol in females at concentrations
298 ranging between 250 and 1000 ng/L (Sharpe et al., 2004).

299 The anticancer drug fadrozole, tested only on fish, has decreased the vitellogenin levels
300 starting from 2 μ g/L and the reproduction rate (Ankley et al., 2002; Zerulla et al., 2002). It has
301 also reduced the steroid levels in females' plasma and increased them in males at

302 concentrations ranging from 2 to 50 µg/L. Additionally, it has altered the gonadal histology
303 and the brain aromatase activity in both sexes and modified the gene expression in females'
304 ovarian and brain tissue (Ankley et al., 2002; Fenske and Segner, 2004; Kuhl and Brouwer,
305 2006; Villeneuve et al., 2009).

306 Flutamide has caused mortality, immobilisation, and decreased moulting of crustacea with
307 $LC_{50} = 5.4$ mg/L, $EC_{50} = 2.7$ mg/L and $EC_{50} = 0.48$ mg/L, respectively (Andersen et al., 2001;
308 Haeba et al., 2008). As well as affecting the reproduction in females by decreasing the
309 offspring counts, suppressing the maternal organisms and delaying their maturation at 1 mg/L
310 (Haeba et al., 2008). Similarly, in rotifera, it has altered females' reproductive functions with
311 $NOEC = 0.1$ µg/L (Preston et al., 2000). In fish, flutamide has diminished the fecundity and
312 decreased embryos hatch at 500 µg/L (Jensen et al., 2004). Vitellogenin levels in plasma
313 seemed to increase, and variation in the sex steroid levels in plasma was observed in both
314 sexes. In addition to that, changes in the sexual behaviour of females and males were noticed
315 at concentrations ranging between 100 and 1000 µg/L (Sebire et al., 2008). Body length and
316 weight reduction in males and gonadal histology alterations in both sexes were also
317 highlighted, among other effects. In amphibia, alterations in gonadal structures were
318 observed in males and females with an increase of spermatogenic nests number in males and
319 absence of such in 100% of females at 0.01 µM (Cevasco et al., 2008). Also, the aromatase
320 mRNA expression was modified in both sexes at the same concentration (Massari et al., 2010).

321 Letrozole increased the hepatosomatic index in males and decreased it in females starting
322 from 25 µg/L. The liver has a role in the ovarian development of fish, which is why the
323 hepatosomatic index is correlated with the gonadosomatic index and has increased in both
324 sexes after exposure to letrozole starting from 125 µg/L. Gonadal histology was also altered

325 at higher concentration (625 µg/L) in both sexes, and vitellogenin levels were significantly
326 reduced in females starting from 25 µg/L. Consequently, fecundity and fertility significantly
327 decreased in females, and the males' proportion significantly increased (Sun et al., 2007b).
328 Moreover, expression of steroid hormones receptor and synthesis-related genes were altered
329 in the brain, liver and gonads of males and female fishes (Sun et al., 2011a).

330

331 3.3 Immunosuppressants

332 Prednisone is a corticosteroid that suppresses the immune system by interacting with the
333 glucocorticoid receptor of the hematopoietic cancer cells and induce apoptosis (Gustafson
334 and Bailey, 2019). In algae, it has inhibited the growth with $IC_{50} = 85.5 \mu M$ and induced
335 mortality of rotifera with $LC_{50} = 152.2 \mu M$. Finally, in crustacea, prednisone caused
336 immobilisation at 279 µM and mortality at 447 µM (DellaGreca et al., 2003).

337

338 4. Sensitivities of different organisms

339 From the results obtained, it was apparent that the range of concentrations causing potential
340 effects is broad. This could be explained by the different sensitivities of the aquatic organisms
341 to anticancer drugs. In fact, these sensitivities could be influenced by several factors such as
342 the species tested, strain, exposure history, age, size, health and handling procedures
343 (DeYoung et al., 1996).

344 Studies have shown that the toxic effects of anticancer drugs were enhanced when the
345 trophic level increased. For instance, in a study by Russo *et al.* (2018), ifosfamide achieved
346 higher toxicity in crustaceans compared to rotifers and algae (Russo et al., 2018c). Also, the

347 toxicity assessed in Sanderson *et al.* (2003) study increased, moving from algae to daphnids
348 to fish (Sanderson *et al.*, 2003). However, this hypothesis was not applicable in all cases as
349 some species appeared to be more or less sensitive depending on the anticancer drug tested.
350 In the fish *Pimephales promelas*, cyclophosphamide failed to induce the formation of
351 micronuclei in erythrocytes at 400 mg/kg while it has significantly increased the micronucleus
352 frequencies at 20 mg/kg in other species of fish such as *Tilapia redalli*, *Oreochromis niloticus*
353 and *Cyprinus carpio* (Grisolia and Cordeiro, 2000; Winter *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, the
354 daphnids crustaceans showed higher sensitivity to anticancer drugs such as imatinib, cisplatin,
355 and etoposide than other organisms, including fish (Parrella *et al.*, 2014b; Russo *et al.*, 2018a).
356 Sensitivity could also be affected by the gender of the same species, especially when exposed
357 to endocrine-disrupting compounds. For example, the growth of male fish treated with
358 flutamide was hindered, and a significant demasculinisation was demonstrated, with no
359 effect observed in females at the same concentrations (Bayley *et al.*, 2002; León *et al.*, 2007).
360 Other anticancer drugs like tamoxifen, methylidihydrotestosterone and letrozole also have a
361 gender-specific effect on the aromatase mRNA expression, which is related to the
362 reproduction and brain development of vertebrate, among other transcriptional responses
363 (Massari *et al.*, 2010; Sun *et al.*, 2011a; Urbatzka *et al.*, 2006).
364 Other studies demonstrated that results might be affected by the level of maturity of the
365 organism tested. In a study by Sun *et al.* (2007), letrozole had no effect on larvae and embryos
366 development for up to 3125 µg/L. However, when exposed to adults, letrozole caused
367 significant reproductive effects with a lower concentration range (25 – 625 µg/L), which could
368 presume the importance of adult exposure (Sun *et al.*, 2007b). Contrary to what was obtained,
369 in another study conducted in 1995, juvenile daphnids appeared to be more sensitive to

370 diethylstilbestrol effects than adults (Baldwin et al., 1995). Hence, multi-generational
371 exposure could possibly eliminate age-related ambiguities. Multi-generational studies is
372 when exposure to a selected anticancer drug is extended to several broods from the same
373 parent generation (F0) (Borgatta et al., 2016). From the included studies that performed this
374 procedure, it was apparent that sensitivity increased over the generations, especially in terms
375 of development and reproductive endpoints such as body length and weight, and the number
376 of offspring per female (fecundity) (Borgatta et al., 2016, 2015; Brennan et al., 2006; Kovács
377 et al., 2015; Van Der Ven et al., 2007).

378

379 5. Choice of a suitable test: Acute vs Chronic tests

380 Ecotoxicology of anticancer drugs has recently attracted a lot of attention; however, studies
381 conducted revealed a lack of sufficient information compared to other pharmaceuticals.
382 Several guidelines and assays were established to test the effects of the chemicals on aquatic
383 organisms, such as the OECD guidelines and the FETAX assay. Nevertheless, limitations were
384 reported as protocols were more available for acute assays and also, *in vivo* tests mainly were
385 carried out with lower-level organisms (Fent et al., 2006; Kovács et al., 2016).

386 Acute assays can generate relevant preliminary data of anticancer drugs' toxicity and form a
387 background for chronic tests. In general, studies performing acute toxicity tests have obtained
388 significant effects at concentrations in the range of $\mu\text{g/L}$ and mg/L , which is relatively higher
389 than the concentrations detected in the aquatic environment (Kovács et al., 2016; Martín-
390 Díaz et al., 2009). Hence, these results could only represent occasional events such as spillages
391 (Fent et al., 2006).

392 Anticancer drugs are released continuously into the environment; in consequence, acute
393 assays would underestimate the potential toxicity of these compounds in the aquatic
394 environment. Accordingly, chronic tests would be able to reproduce the reality of aquatic
395 exposure to anticancer drugs while investigating more sensitive and specific endpoints
396 (Henschel et al., 1997; Martín-Díaz et al., 2009; Zańska-Radziwiłł et al., 2011; Zounkova et al.,
397 2010). Numerous researchers compared the two types of tests and showed that chronic
398 toxicity occurred at lower concentrations (Andersen et al., 2001; Henschel et al., 1997; Russo
399 et al., 2018a; Zańska-Radziwiłł et al., 2011; Zounkova et al., 2010). For instance, in Parrella *et*
400 *al.* (2014) study, acute toxicity of 5-fluorouracil recorded an EC₅₀ between 20.84 and 501 mg/L
401 for the organisms tested (Crustaceans and Rotifera); however, following chronic exposure,
402 the range of EC₅₀ significantly decreased to 3.35 – 322 µg/L. The same trend was also observed
403 for cisplatin, imatinib, etoposide, doxorubicin and capecitabine (Parrella et al., 2014b).

404 Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that long-term toxicity tests could reveal various
405 phenomena such as bioaccumulation, hormesis and adaptation:

406 a) Bioaccumulation: Bioaccumulation is the intake of an emerging contaminant and its
407 concentration in the exposed organism. High bioaccumulation caused by the chemical's
408 persistence or constant exposure could lead to the endangerment of the exposed
409 organisms (Orias et al., 2015c). Tamoxifen proved to accumulate in gonads, liver and
410 muscles of *Danio rerio* in a concentration-dependent manner (Orias et al., 2015b). In the
411 algae, *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*, high bioconcentration of tamoxifen was also
412 recorded but not in a concentration-dependent manner (Orias et al., 2015c). The same
413 effect was observed for cisplatin in *Danio rerio* and the macroalgae *Ulva lactuca* (Easton
414 et al., 2011; Hung et al., 2019). Finally, 5-fluorouracil accumulated instantly in the green

415 microalgae cells and integrated into the DNA and the RNA of the specie. This could lead
416 to the transfer of the genome from one organism to another, and the DNA might
417 reintegrate into the genome of predators moving to the top of the food chain (Asad et al.,
418 2012).

419

420 b) Hormesis: Hormesis is when an organism reacts to low concentrations of a compound,
421 and higher concentrations inhibit this reaction. This is due to the organism's adaptive
422 response to moderate environmental stresses (Mater et al., 2014). For example,
423 cyclophosphamide increased the growth/reproduction of *C. dubia* and *P. subcapitata* only
424 at the lowest concentration tested (10 mg/L) (Russo et al., 2018c). It has also inhibited the
425 growth of the algae *S. capricornutum* at the lowest concentration (10 µg/L) and induced
426 the proliferation at the highest concentration tested (100 µg/L) (Mater et al., 2014). For
427 tamoxifen, AChE activity was not induced at 10 ng/L in the polychaete *N. diversicolor*, and
428 antioxidant enzymes activity was increased at 0.5 ng/L; however, the opposite activities
429 were observed at higher concentrations (Fonseca et al., 2019).

430

431 c) Adaptation: Adaptation was observed when an organism was re-exposed to the same
432 chemical. Here, the sensitivity of the organism might decrease due to an adaptation to
433 the toxicant. For instance, when the rotifer *B. calyciflorus* was re-exposed to the same
434 concentration of imatinib, the inhibition rate of the feeding behaviour decreased
435 compared to the first exposure (Yan et al., 2017).

436

437 6. Choice of suitable endpoints

438 To evaluate the adverse effects of a drug, multiple endpoints could be selected. The included
439 studies covered endpoints from conventional tests: mortality, inhibition of mobility/growth
440 and reproduction, to more advanced endpoints such as genotoxicity (DNA damage),
441 neurotoxicity (AChE activity), oxidative stress (by testing biomarkers such as SOD, CAT, T- and
442 SE-GPx, GST and lipid peroxidation), physical abnormalities (body weight and length,
443 embryonic deformities), physiological effects (heart rate, fecundity and fertility), behaviour
444 (locomotion, swimming performance, feeding, burrowing activity), in addition to the
445 expression of several genes related to gonads and brain development, and histological
446 studies; among others (Bhatia et al., 2014; Trombini et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2017).

447 Nevertheless, the right endpoint selection depends on the sensitivity of the organism tested
448 (discussed in the above section) and on the compound to be tested, considering their
449 different modes of action. For example, in Urbatzka *et al.* (2007) study, the selected genes
450 allowed only the detection of the adverse effects of anti-estrogenic exposure. However, no
451 response was recorded for anti-androgenic compounds as androgen and anti-androgen are
452 regulated by different genes (Urbatzka et al., 2007). It is also essential to link any detectable
453 effects (e.g. physiological or behavioural) with genotoxic effects as they might be connected
454 by a cascade of events (Canty et al., 2009). The feeding behaviour, which could cause a
455 reduced energy intake if depressed, appeared to be an important indication of survival,
456 growth and fecundity irregularities at individual and population levels (Yan et al., 2017).
457 Another example is Ankley *et al.* (2002) study, where fadrozole inhibited the brain aromatase
458 activity, which caused a reduction in E2 and vitellogenin production, affected the maturity of
459 the ovaries (target tissues) and finally, caused a response in the whole organism that was
460 demonstrated by a reduced fecundity in female fish (Ankley et al., 2002).

461

462 7. Exposure to anticancer drugs' metabolites/transformation products

463 Human metabolites of anticancer drugs are excreted through faeces and urine alongside the
464 parent compounds as they are not completely metabolised in the human body. Additionally,
465 throughout the water treatment, parent compounds undergo numerous degradation
466 processes, which might produce transformation products (Borgatta et al., 2015; Česen et al.,
467 2016; Zounkova et al., 2010). Consequently, metabolites and transformation products are
468 also released into the aquatic environment and might cause a potential risk to the aquatic
469 biota. Only a few studies analysed the effects of anticancer drugs' metabolites, and most of
470 the tested compounds caused toxic effects on different organisms (**Table S3**).

471 Carboxy-cyclophosphamide, the only metabolite of cyclophosphamide detected in surface
472 water, induced chronic toxicity by inhibiting the rotifera *B. calyciflorus*' reproduction $EC_{50} =$
473 23.66 mg/L, and the growth of the cyanobacteria *S. leopoliensis* with $EC_{50} = 17.1$ mg/L. It also
474 appeared that carboxy-cyclophosphamide was more toxic than its parent compound as
475 cyclophosphamide inhibited the reproduction of *B. calyciflorus* with $EC_{50} = 89.84$ mg/L and did
476 not affect the growth of *S. leopoliensis* (Česen et al., 2016; Russo et al., 2018c). On the
477 contrary, the human metabolite of 5-fluorouracil showed lower toxicity than the parent
478 compound in all the organisms tested (*P. putida*, *D. subspicatus* and *D. magna*). For instance,
479 in the growth inhibition test with *P. putida*, 5-fluorouracil caused effect with $EC_{50} = 48$ mg/L,
480 and FBAL inhibited the growth with $EC_{50} = 80$ mg/L (Zounkova et al., 2010). The active
481 metabolites of tamoxifen (4-hydroxy-tamoxifen and endoxifen), known to have higher
482 potency and affinity for estrogen receptors and estrogen-related receptors, affected the

483 survival and the reproduction of *D. pulex*. However, compared to the parent compound's
484 toxicity, tamoxifen proved to be more potent (Borgatta et al., 2016, 2015).

485 Looking at the results, it was evident that evaluating the ecotoxicity of the metabolites and
486 the transformation products was necessary as some compounds are more toxic than their
487 corresponding parent compounds. In addition to that, anticancer drugs are activated by liver
488 enzymes to produce pharmacologically active metabolites and cause adverse effects. In case
489 the parent compound is not activated in the organism tested, no effects will be observed.
490 Therefore, it is essential to assess the metabolites' impact together with their parent
491 compounds to avoid any inaccurate low activities (Białk-Bielińska et al., 2017).

492

493 8. Mixture effects

494 As a matter of fact, aquatic organisms are exposed to a complex combination of different
495 pharmaceuticals, including anticancer drugs, their metabolites, and other chemical
496 pollutants, and rarely to one individual drug. Consequently, to avoid underestimating the real
497 impact, it is essential to assess the combinatorial effects as adverse responses might be more
498 intense than the responses of a single compound exposure (Česen et al., 2016; Chakrabarty
499 et al., 2012; Elersek et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2009). Two methodologies were suggested for
500 evaluating the mixture effects of anticancer drugs: "the pharmacological approach" where
501 drugs are selected based on the regimen administered; and "the environmental approach",
502 where drugs are chosen based on the likelihood of their simultaneous presence in the aquatic
503 environment (most consumed drugs) (Brezovšek et al., 2014).

504 From the included studies, 27 research investigated the adverse effects of drugs mixtures,
505 where 12 studies examined the effect of anticancer drugs mixtures exclusively, and 15 studies
506 examined the mixture effect of different classes of pharmaceuticals, including anticancer
507 drugs. Several interpretations were extrapolated from the studies presented in **Table S2**. It
508 was evident in some studies that mixture response was much higher than the response of the
509 compounds alone, which is known as the synergism/potentiation effect. For instance, the
510 mixture of cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide and their metabolites has caused higher growth
511 inhibition of the cyanobacteria *S. leopoliensis* than the sum of the individual compounds
512 (Česen et al., 2016). Also, tamoxifen and 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen affected the reproduction of
513 *D. pulex*, whereas no effect was observed when tested alone at the same concentrations
514 (Borgatta et al., 2016). Antagonism was another effect observed and expressed by the
515 suppression of one compound to the harmful activities of the other/s. An antagonistic
516 response was reported for the mixtures imatinib/cisplatin and imatinib/etoposide in *C.*
517 *magna*; and for etoposide/cisplatin and etoposide/5-fluorouracil in *C. dubia* (Parrella et al.,
518 2014a). Similarly, the toxicity response was lowered when vincristine and bleomycin were
519 combined in the growth inhibition test of *L. minor* and *P. putida* and the mobility inhibition
520 test with *D. magna* (Jureczko and Przystaś, 2019). Nonetheless, in these two cited studies, the
521 antagonistic interaction mechanism was difficult to explain as these drugs have different
522 modes of action and, hence, different molecular targets (Jureczko and Przystaś, 2019; Parrella
523 et al., 2014a).

524 In fact, mixture effects can be predicted using two reference models: the concentration
525 addition (CA) and the independent action (IA). The CA model is used for a mixture of
526 compounds with the same mode of actions, and the IA model is used for compounds that
527 behave by different pathways (Kundi et al., 2016; Parrella et al., 2014a). However, numerous

528 studies have proved that these models can underestimate or overestimate the toxicity of
529 mixtures when compared to the experimental toxicities (Elerseck et al., 2018, 2016; Jureczko
530 and Przystaś, 2019; Kundi et al., 2016; Parrella et al., 2014a).

531 Another interesting point raised by these studies is that the responses obtained could also be
532 influenced by experimental parameters such as the type of species tested and the selected
533 endpoints. For instance, in Brezovšek *et al.* (2014) study, a synergistic response was reported
534 in *P. subcapitata* for the binary mixture of imatinib and 5-fluorouracil; however, antagonism
535 was observed when tested in *S. leopoliensis* (Brezovšek et al., 2014). In da Fonseca *et al.*
536 (2019) study, the mixture of tamoxifen, cyclophosphamide and cisplatin was evaluated on *N.*
537 *diversicolor* where an antagonist effect was observed on SOD activity (provoked by
538 tamoxifen), and a synergistic response was recorded for CAT activity (triggered by cisplatin
539 and tamoxifen) (da Fonseca et al., 2019).

540 Finally, regarding endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), several mixture studies were
541 conducted to prove that antagonistic EDCs can neutralise or decrease the harmful effects of
542 these compounds. In general, estrogenic and antiestrogenic activities were assessed against
543 each other, and it appeared that antiestrogenic chemicals were able to mask some signs of
544 estrogen exposure, but not entirely (Elias et al., 2007; Kuhl and Brouwer, 2006). For example,
545 tamoxifen can act as an estrogen agonist and antagonist when mixed with bisphenol A, 17 α -
546 ethynylestradiol (EE2), nonylphenol or estradiol, depending on the parameter measured
547 (García-Hernández et al., 2016; Maradonna et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2016). Moreover, in Sun *et*
548 *al.* (2009; 2011b) studies, anti-estrogens were proved to work differently depending on
549 whether they act by inhibiting estrogens' binding to their receptors or inhibiting aromatase
550 activity (reducing E2 production). Therefore, different results could be obtained by different

551 anti-estrogens such as letrozole and tamoxifen. For instance, the induction of one vitellogenin
552 gene transcription by EE2 was blocked by letrozole but not by tamoxifen (Sun et al., 2011b,
553 2009).

554

555 9. Risk assessment

556 With the growing interest in evaluating pharmaceuticals' adverse effects in the aquatic
557 environment, risk assessment guidelines were established to reduce animal testing when the
558 risks are low (Vestel et al., 2016). From the included studies, only twenty-five assessed the
559 environmental risk based on predictions and calculations (**Table S4**). Almost all the studies
560 included have implemented the US EPA guidelines or the European Union guidelines (EMA).
561 The major difference between the two procedures is that the first permits the use of acute
562 toxicity; however, EMA recommends using chronic toxicity to determine the predicted no-
563 effect concentration (PNEC) (Valcárcel et al., 2011). To express the risk, the risk quotient (RQ)
564 or hazard quotient (HQ) is calculated by dividing the predicted environmental concentration
565 (PEC) or the measured environmental concentration (MEC), if available, by the PNEC, which
566 is derived from toxicological data from fish, daphnids and algae's standard tests (Franquet-
567 Griell et al., 2015).

568 In case chronic data was not available, acute data was considered, and when both
569 toxicological information was missing, acute toxicity was predicted by using the developed
570 QSAR tool (Franquet-Griell et al., 2017; Valcárcel et al., 2011). However, this tool proved to
571 be not sufficiently precise as all the anticancer drugs tested in Madden *et al.* (2009) study fell
572 outside the model's applicability domain. Hence, less confidence would be provided with this
573 prediction, except for the compound 5-fluorouracil (Madden et al., 2009). Nevertheless, QSAR

574 predicted the toxicity of tamoxifen and imatinib's transformation products where only their
575 chemical structures were obtainable (Negreira et al., 2015; Secrétan et al., 2019).

576 From the results obtained, most of the anticancer drugs studied achieved a risk quotient
577 below one, suggesting that they do not pose any risk for the aquatic environment. The
578 compounds that showed to have moderate to high (risk quotient higher than one) risk were
579 the following: bleomycin, vincristine, imatinib, irinotecan, ifosfamide, 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin
580 and tamoxifen (Ferrando-Climent et al., 2014; Jureczko and Przystaś, 2019; Mišík et al., 2019;
581 Olalla et al., 2018; Orias et al., 2015a; Zańska-Radziwiłł et al., 2011; Zańska-Radziwiłł et al.,
582 2017). In addition to that, it was proven in some studies that the risk assessment based on
583 the measured environmental concentration was higher than the risk calculated from the
584 predicted environmental concentration, which could potentially contribute to the accuracy of
585 the results (Gouveia et al., 2019).

586 While risk assessment guidelines provide many advantages like reducing animal testing,
587 limiting laboratory research and obtaining results in a shorter period, many limitations were
588 identified, such as (1) the studies selected are only based on three trophic levels and
589 considering one endpoint (mainly the mortality), which is not sufficient to predict the risk on
590 the entire ecosystem (Grung et al., 2008; Jureczko and Przystaś, 2019); (2) chronic toxicity
591 was derived by simple calculations based upon acute toxicity which was predominantly used
592 in the included studies and consequently, results could underestimate the actual risk of
593 anticancer drugs in the water environment (Fent et al., 2006).

594

595 10. Conclusion

596 A high number of studies have attempted to evaluate the risk of anticancer drugs on non-
597 target organisms in the aquatic environment. However, complete toxicity data for all the
598 drugs in use are still lacking, and more work needs to be done in order to understand the full
599 impact on the aquatic biota. From the 152 studies included in this systematic review, several
600 conclusions and recommendations were made:

- 601 • For the reason of differences in the sensitivity of different aquatic organisms, the
602 ecotoxicity tests must be performed in organisms from different trophic levels.
- 603 • Multi-generational exposure is important to recognise the impact of anticancer drugs
604 in the long-term.
- 605 • Chronic tests are more relevant than acute tests as they mimic the reality of aquatic
606 exposure.
- 607 • Depending on the mode of action of the tested drug, suitable endpoints should be
608 selected.
- 609 • It is important to link any genotoxic effects to physiological and behavioural
610 responses.
- 611 • Hormesis, adaptation and bioaccumulation should be taken into consideration while
612 assessing the results.
- 613 • Whenever metabolites and transformation products of anticancer drugs are
614 commercially available, they should also be evaluated.
- 615 • It is essential to assess the mixture effect of anticancer drugs as it could reveal more
616 significant responses.

- 617 • Current guidelines are not adequate for assessing the real impact of anticancer drugs
618 in the aquatic environment and should be reviewed and updated to include more
619 sensitive and relevant endpoints.

620 In conclusion, some tested anticancer drugs have affected the aquatic organisms in the long-
621 term and at concentrations relatively close to those detected in the aquatic environment, in
622 the range of ng/L – µg/L. Therefore, non-target organisms' exposure to trace concentrations
623 of anticancer drugs could endanger certain species starting from causing genetic
624 modifications and leading, in worse case scenarios, to their extinction. As a result, more
625 attention should be paid to developing efficient water treatments to eliminate trace
626 concentrations of anticancer drugs and their metabolites before discharging them into the
627 aquatic environment. In addition to that, where wastewater treatment is not available or
628 effective, stricter guidelines for cytotoxic waste should be implemented. Another important
629 focus to put in another systematic review is whether exposure to environmental
630 concentrations of anticancer drugs could also affect humans.

11. References

- Aguirre-Martínez, G. V., André, C., Gagné, F., Martín-Díaz, L.M., 2018. The effects of human drugs in *Corbicula fluminea*. Assessment of neurotoxicity, inflammation, gametogenic activity, and energy status. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 148, 652–663.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.09.042>
- Aguirre-Martínez, G. V., DelValls, A.T., Laura Martín-Díaz, M., 2015. Yes, caffeine, ibuprofen, carbamazepine, novobiocin and tamoxifen have an effect on *Corbicula fluminea* (Müller, 1774). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 120, 142–154.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.05.036>
- Aguirre-Martínez, G. V., Okello, C., Salamanca, M.J., Garrido, C., Del Valls, T.A., Martín-Díaz, M.L., 2016. Is the step-wise tiered approach for ERA of pharmaceuticals useful for the assessment of cancer therapeutic drugs present in marine environment? *Environ. Res.* 144, 43–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.10.028>
- Andersen, H.R., Wollenberger, L., Halling-Sørensen, B., Kusk, K.O., 2001. Development of copepod nauplii to copepodites-a parameter for chronic toxicity including endocrine disruption. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 20, 2821–2829.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620201222>
- Ankley, G.T., Kahl, M.D., Jensen, K.M., Hornung, M.W., Korte, J.J., Makynen, E.A., Leino, R.L., 2002. Evaluation of the aromatase inhibitor fadrozole in a short-term reproduction assay with the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Toxicol. Sci.* 67, 121–130.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/67.1.121>
- Araújo, A.P.C., Mesak, C., Montalvão, M.F., Freitas, Í.N., Chagas, T.Q., Malafaia, G., 2019.

- Anti-cancer drugs in aquatic environment can cause cancer: Insight about mutagenicity in tadpoles. *Sci. Total Environ.* 650, 2284–2293.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.373>
- Archampong, D., Sweetland, H., 2015. Endocrine therapy in cancer care. *Surg. (United Kingdom)* 33, 122–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpsur.2014.12.009>
- Asad, U.D., Pfister, G., Schramm, K.W., 2012. 5-Fluorouracil Accumulation in Green Microalgae and Its Biogenetic Transfer Into Ciliate Protozoan. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 88, 548–554. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-012-0536-8>
- Backhaus, T., Altenburger, R., Boedeker, W., Faust, M., Scholze, M., Grimme, L.H., 2000. Predictability of the toxicity of a multiple mixture of dissimilarly acting chemicals to *Vibrio fischeri*. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 19, 2348–2356.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620190927>
- Backhaus, T., Grimme, L.H., 1999. The toxicity of antibiotic agents to the luminescent bacterium *Vibrio fischeri*. *Chemosphere* 38, 3291–3301.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(98\)00560-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(98)00560-8)
- Bahari, I.B., Noor, F.M., Mat Daud, N., 1994. Micronucleated erythrocytes as an assay to assess actions by physical and chemical genotoxic agents in *Clarias gariepinus*. *Mutat. Res. Mutagen. Relat. Subj.* 313, 1–5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1161\(94\)90027-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1161(94)90027-2)
- Balcerzak, W., Rezka, P., 2014. Occurrence of anti-cancer drugs in the aquatic environment and efficiency of their removal – the selected issues. *Tech. Trans. Environ. Eng.* 1, 11–18. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.03062.x>
- Baldwin, W.S., Milam, D.L., Leblanc, G.A., 1995. Physiological and biochemical perturbations

in *Daphnia magna* following exposure to the model environmental estrogen diethylstilbestrol. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 14, 945–952.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620140604>

Bayley, M., Junge, M., Baatrup, E., 2002. Exposure of juvenile guppies to three antiandrogens causes demasculinization and a reduced sperm count in adult males.

Aquat. Toxicol. 56, 227–239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X\(01\)00210-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X(01)00210-7)

Bettin, C., Oehlmann, J., Stroben, E., 1996. TBT-induced imposex in marine neogastropods is mediated by an increasing androgen level. *Helgolander Meeresuntersuchungen* 50,

299–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02367105>

Bhatia, H., Kumar, A., Chapman, J.C., McLaughlin, M.J., 2014. Effects of short-term exposure to the model anti-androgen, flutamide on reproductive function based endpoints in

female Murray rainbowfish (*Melanotaenia fluviatilis*). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 109,

143–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.07.027>

Białk-Bielińska, A., Mulkiwicz, E., Stokowski, M., Stolte, S., Stepnowski, P., 2017. Acute

aquatic toxicity assessment of six anti-cancer drugs and one metabolite using biotest

battery – Biological effects and stability under test conditions. *Chemosphere* 189, 689–

698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.08.174>

Borgatta, M., Decosterd, L.A., Waridel, P., Buclin, T., Chèvre, N., 2015. The anticancer drug

metabolites endoxifen and 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen induce toxic effects on *Daphnia pulex*

in a two-generation study. *Sci. Total Environ.* 520, 232–240.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.040>

Borgatta, M., Waridel, P., Decosterd, L.A., Buclin, T., Chèvre, N., 2016. Multigenerational

effects of the anticancer drug tamoxifen and its metabolite 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen on *Daphnia pulex*. *Sci. Total Environ.* 545–546, 21–29.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.155>

Brennan, S.J., Brougham, C.A., Roche, J.J., Fogarty, A.M., 2006. Multi-generational effects of four selected environmental oestrogens on *Daphnia magna*. *Chemosphere* 64, 49–55.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.11.046>

Brezovšek, P., Eleršek, T., Filipič, M., 2014. Toxicities of four anti-neoplastic drugs and their binary mixtures tested on the green alga *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* and the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus leopoliensis*. *Water Res.* 52, 168–177.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.01.007>

Canty, M.N., Hutchinson, T.H., Brown, R.J., Jones, M.B., Jha, A.N., 2009. Linking genotoxic responses with cytotoxic and behavioural or physiological consequences: Differential sensitivity of echinoderms (*Asterias rubens*) and marine molluscs (*Mytilus edulis*).

Aquat. Toxicol. 94, 68–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.06.001>

Česen, M., Eleršek, T., Novak, M., Žegura, B., Kosjek, T., Filipič, M., Heath, E., 2016.

Ecotoxicity and genotoxicity of cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, their metabolites/transformation products and their mixtures. *Environ. Pollut.* 210, 192–201.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.12.017>

Cevasco, A., Urbatzka, R., Bottero, S., Massari, A., Pedemonte, F., Kloas, W., Mandich, A., 2008. Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDC) with (anti)estrogenic and (anti)androgenic modes of action affecting reproductive biology of *Xenopus laevis*: II. Effects on gonad histomorphology. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. - C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 147, 241–251.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2007.10.001>

Chakrabarty, S., Rajakumar, A., Raghuvver, K., Sridevi, P., Mohanachary, A., Prathibha, Y., Bashyam, L., Dutta-Gupta, A., Senthilkumaran, B., 2012. Endosulfan and flutamide, alone and in combination, target ovarian growth in juvenile catfish, *Clarias batrachus*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 155, 491–497.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2011.12.007>

Chikae, M., Ikeda, R., Hasan, Q., Morita, Y., Tamiya, E., 2004. Effects of tamoxifen, 17 α -ethynylestradiol, flutamide, and methyltestosterone on plasma vitellogenin levels of male and female Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 17, 29–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2004.02.002>

Chu, C.S., Rubin, S.C., 2018. Basic Principles of Chemotherapy, in: *Clinical Gynecologic Oncology*. Elsevier, pp. 449-469.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-40067-1.00017-6>

da Fonseca, T.G., Abessa, D.M.S., Bebianno, M.J., 2019. Effects of mixtures of anticancer drugs in the benthic polychaete *Nereis diversicolor*. *Environ. Pollut.* 252, 1180–1192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.05.095>

Das, R.K., Nanda, N.K., 1986. Induction of micronuclei in peripheral erythrocytes of fish *Heteropneustes fossilis* by mitomycin C and paper mill effluent. *Mutat. Res. Lett.* 175, 67–71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-7992\(86\)90127-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-7992(86)90127-2)

DellaGreca, M., Fiorentino, A., Iesce, M.R., Isidori, M., Nardelli, A., Previtera, L., Temussi, F., 2003. Identification of phototransformation products of prednisone by sunlight: Toxicity of the drug and its derivatives on aquatic organisms. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*

22, 534–539. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620220310>

Dellagrecia, M., Rosaria, M., Isidori, M., Nardelli, A., Previtera, L., Rubino, M., 2007.

Phototransformation products of tamoxifen by sunlight in water . Toxicity of the drug and its derivatives on aquatic organisms 67, 1933–1939.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.12.001>

DeYoung, D.J., Bantle, J.A., Hull, M.A., Burks, S.L., 1996. Differences in sensitivity to

developmental toxicants as seen in *Xenopus* and *Pimephales* embryos. *Bull. Environ.*

Contam. Toxicol. 56, 143–150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001289900021>

Dickens, E., Ahmed, S., 2018. Principles of cancer treatment by chemotherapy. *Surg. (United*

Kingdom) 36, 134–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpsur.2017.12.002>

Easton, C., Turner, A., Sewell, G., 2011. An evaluation of the toxicity and bioaccumulation of

cisplatin in the marine environment using the macroalga, *Ulva lactuca*. *Environ. Pollut.*

159, 3504–3508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.08.018>

Elerseck, T., Milavec, S., Korošec, M., Brezovsek, P., Negreira, N., Zonja, B., de Alda, M.L.,

Barceló, D., Heath, E., Ščančar, J., Filipič, M., 2016. Toxicity of the mixture of selected antineoplastic drugs against aquatic primary producers. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 23,

14780–14790. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-6005-2>

Elerseck, T., Ženko, M., Filipič, M., 2018. Ecotoxicity of disinfectant benzalkonium chloride

and its mixture with antineoplastic drug 5-fluorouracil towards alga *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. *PeerJ* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4986>

Elias, E.E., Kalombo, E., Don Mercurio, S., 2007. Tamoxifen protects against 17 α -

ethynylestradiol-induced liver damage and the development of urogenital papillae in

- the rainbow darter (*Etheostoma caeruleum*). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 26, 1879–1889.
<https://doi.org/10.1897/06-170R.1>
- Fabbri, E., 2015. Pharmaceuticals in the environment: Expected and unexpected effects on aquatic fauna. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1340, 20–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12605>
- Fenske, M., Segner, H., 2004. Aromatase modulation alters gonadal differentiation in developing zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Aquat. Toxicol.* 67, 105–126.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2003.10.008>
- Fent, K., Weston, A.A., Caminada, D., 2006. Ecotoxicology of human pharmaceuticals. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 76, 122–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.09.009>
- Fernando, J., Jones, R., 2015. The principles of cancer treatment by chemotherapy. *Surg.* 33, 131–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpsur.2015.01.005>
- Ferrando-Climent, L., Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Barceló, D., 2014. Incidence of anticancer drugs in an aquatic urban system: From hospital effluents through urban wastewater to natural environment. *Environ. Pollut.* 193, 216–223.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.07.002>
- Fonseca, T.G., Auguste, M., Ribeiro, F., Cardoso, C., Mestre, N.C., Abessa, D.M.S., Bebianno, M.J., 2018. Environmental relevant levels of the cytotoxic drug cyclophosphamide produce harmful effects in the polychaete *Nereis diversicolor*. *Sci. Total Environ.* 636, 798–809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.318>
- Fonseca, T.G., Carriço, T., Fernandes, E., Abessa, D.M.S., Tavares, A., Bebianno, M.J., 2019. Impacts of in vivo and in vitro exposures to tamoxifen: Comparative effects on human cells and marine organisms. *Environ. Int.* 129, 256–272.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.05.014>

Fonseca, T.G., Morais, M.B., Rocha, T., Abessa, D.M.S., Aureliano, M., Bebianno, M.J., 2017.

Ecotoxicological assessment of the anticancer drug cisplatin in the polychaete *Nereis diversicolor*. *Sci. Total Environ.* 575, 162–172.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.185>

Franquet-Griell, H., Cornadó, D., Caixach, J., Ventura, F., Lacorte, S., 2017. Determination of

cytostatic drugs in Besòs River (NE Spain) and comparison with predicted environmental concentrations. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 24, 6492–6503.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8337-y>

Franquet-Griell, H., Gómez-Canela, C., Ventura, F., Lacorte, S., 2015. Predicting

concentrations of cytostatic drugs in sewage effluents and surface waters of Catalonia (NE Spain). *Environ. Res.* 138, 161–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.02.015>

Gačić, Z., Kolarević, S., Sunjog, K., Kračun-Kolarević, M., Paunović, M., Knežević-Vukčević, J.,

Vuković-Gačić, B., 2014. The impact of in vivo and in vitro exposure to base analogue 5-FU on the level of DNA damage in haemocytes of freshwater mussels *Unio pictorum* and *Unio tumidus*. *Environ. Pollut.* 191, 145–150.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.04.024>

Gajski, G., Ladeira, C., Gerić, M., Garaj-Vrhovac, V., Viegas, S., 2018. Genotoxicity

assessment of a selected cytostatic drug mixture in human lymphocytes: A study based on concentrations relevant for occupational exposure. *Environ. Res.* 161, 26–34.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.10.044>

García-Hernández, M.P., Rodenas, M.C., Cabas, I., García-Alcázar, A., Chaves-Pozo, E.,

- García-Ayala, A., 2016. Tamoxifen disrupts the reproductive process in gilthead seabream males and modulates the effects promoted by 17 α -ethynylestradiol. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part - C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 179, 94–106.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2015.09.005>
- Gouveia, T.I.A., Alves, A., Santos, M.S.F., 2019. New insights on cytostatic drug risk assessment in aquatic environments based on measured concentrations in surface waters. *Environ. Int.* 133, 105236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105236>
- Grisolia, C.K., Cordeiro, C.M.T., 2000. Variability in micronucleus induction with different mutagens applied to several species of fish. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 23, 235–239.
<https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572000000100041>
- Grung, M., Källqvist, T., Sakshaug, S., Skurtveit, S., Thomas, K. V., 2008. Environmental assessment of Norwegian priority pharmaceuticals based on the EMEA guideline. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 71, 328–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.10.015>
- Grzesiuk, M., Bednarska, A., Mielecki, D., Garbicz, D., Marcinkowski, M., Pilżys, T., Malinowska, A., Świdarska, B., Grzesiuk, E., 2019. Anticancer agents found in environment affect *Daphnia* at population, individual and molecular levels. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.105288>
- Gustafson, D.L., Bailey, D.B., 2019. Cancer Chemotherapy, in: Withrow and MacEwen's Small Animal Clinical Oncology. Elsevier, pp. 182–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-59496-7.00012-8>
- Haeba, M.H., Hilscherová, K., Mazurová, E., Bláha, L., 2008. Selected endocrine disrupting compounds (Vinclozolin, Flutamide, Ketoconazole and Dicofof): Effects on survival,

- occurrence of males, growth, molting and reproduction of *Daphnia magna*. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 15, 222–227. <https://doi.org/10.1065/espr2007.12.466>
- Hanratty, D., Sweetland, H., 2012. Role of endocrine therapy in cancer treatment. *Surgery* 30, 194–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpsur.2012.01.007>
- Henschel, K.P., Wenzel, A., Diedrich, M., Fliedner, A., 1997. Environmental hazard assessment of pharmaceuticals. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 25, 220–225. <https://doi.org/10.1006/rtph.1997.1102>
- Hung, G.Y., Wu, C.L., Chou, Y.L., Chien, C.T., Horng, J.L., Lin, L.Y., 2019. Cisplatin exposure impairs ionocytes and hair cells in the skin of zebrafish embryos. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 209, 168–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.02.006>
- Isidori, M., Piscitelli, C., Russo, C., Smutná, M., Bláha, L., 2016. Teratogenic effects of five anticancer drugs on *Xenopus laevis* embryos. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 133, 90–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.06.044>
- Jensen, K.M., Kahl, M.D., Makynen, E.A., Korte, J.J., Leino, R.L., Butterworth, B.C., Ankley, G.T., 2004. Characterization of responses to the antiandrogen flutamide in a short-term reproduction assay with the fathead minnow. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 70, 99–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2004.06.012>
- Jo, M., Lee, S., Yoon, S., Kim, W.K., 2018. Developmental and reproductive effects of tamoxifen on *Daphnia magna*. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-018-7002-y>
- Johnson, A.C., Jurgens, M.D., Williams, R.J., Kummerer, K., Kortenkamp, A., Sumpter, J.P., 2008. Do cytotoxic chemotherapy drugs discharged into rivers pose a risk to the

- environment and human health? An overview and UK case study. *J. Hydrol.* 348, 167–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.09.054>
- Jureczko, M., Kalka, J., 2020. Cytostatic pharmaceuticals as water contaminants. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 866, 172816. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.172816>
- Jureczko, M., Przystaś, W., 2019. Ecotoxicity risk of presence of two cytostatic drugs: Bleomycin and vincristine and their binary mixture in aquatic environment. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 172, 210–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.01.074>
- Kiparissis, Y., Metcalfe, T.L., Balch, G.C., Metcalfe, C.D., 2003. Effects of the antiandrogens, vinclozolin and cyproterone acetate on gonadal development in the Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Aquat. Toxicol.* 63, 391–403. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X\(02\)00189-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X(02)00189-3)
- Kovács, R., Bakos, K., Urbányi, B., Kövesi, J., Gazsi, G., Csepeli, A., Appl, Á.J., Bencsik, D., Csenki, Z., Horváth, Á., 2016. Acute and sub-chronic toxicity of four cytostatic drugs in zebrafish. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 23, 14718–14729. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5036-z>
- Kovács, R., Csenki, Z., Bakos, K., Urbányi, B., Horváth, Á., Garaj-Vrhovac, V., Gajski, G., Gerić, M., Negreira, N., López de Alda, M., Barceló, D., Heath, E., Kosjek, T., Žegura, B., Novak, M., Zajc, I., Baebler, Š., Rotter, A., Ramšak, Ž., Filipič, M., 2015. Assessment of toxicity and genotoxicity of low doses of 5-fluorouracil in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) two-generation study. *Water Res.* 77, 201–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.03.025>
- Kuhl, A., Brouwer, M., 2006. Antiestrogens inhibit xenoestrogen-induced brain aromatase

activity but do not prevent xenoestrogen-induced feminization in Japanese Medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Environ. Health Perspect.* 114, 500–506.

<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8211>

Kundi, M., Parrella, A., Lavorgna, M., Criscuolo, E., Russo, C., Isidori, M., 2016. Prediction and assessment of ecogenotoxicity of antineoplastic drugs in binary mixtures. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 23, 14771–14779. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4884-x>

Ladeira, C., Viegas, S., Pádua, M., Gomes, M., Carolino, E., Gomes, M.C., Brito, M., 2014. Assessment of genotoxic effects in nurses handling cytostatic drugs. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Heal. - Part A Curr. Issues* 77, 879–887.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2014.910158>

Lavado, R., Barbaglio, A., Carnevali, M.D.C., Porte, C., 2006. Steroid levels in crinoid echinoderms are altered by exposure to model endocrine disruptors. *Steroids* 71, 489–497. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2006.01.009>

Leblanc, G.A., Mclachlan, J.B., 1999. Molt-independent growth inhibition of *Daphnia magna* by a vertebrate antiandrogen. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 18, 1450–1455.

[https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028\(1999\)018<1450:MIGIOD>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028(1999)018<1450:MIGIOD>2.3.CO;2)

León, A., Teh, S.J., Hall, L.C., Teh, F.C., 2007. Androgen disruption of early development in Qurt strain medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Aquat. Toxicol.* 82, 195–203.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.02.012>

Lind, M.J., 2011. Principles of cytotoxic chemotherapy. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 39, 711–716.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpmed.2011.09.009>

Madden, J.C., Enoch, S.J., Hewitt, M., Cronin, M.T.D., 2009. Pharmaceuticals in the

- environment: Good practice in predicting acute ecotoxicological effects. *Toxicol. Lett.* 185, 85–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2008.12.005>
- Makin, G., 2018. Principles of chemotherapy. *Paediatr. Child Health (Oxford)*. 28, 183–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paed.2018.02.002>
- Maradonna, F., Batti, S., Marino, M., Mita, D.G., Carnevali, O., 2009. Tamoxifen as an emerging endocrine disruptor: Effects on fish reproduction and detoxification target genes. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1163, 457–459. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2008.03653.x>
- Martín-Díaz, M.L., Gagné, F., Blaise, C., 2009. The use of biochemical responses to assess ecotoxicological effects of Pharmaceutical and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) after injection in the mussel *Elliptio complanata*. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 28, 237–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2009.04.009>
- Massari, A., Urbatzka, R., Cevasco, A., Canesi, L., Lanza, C., Scarabelli, L., Kloas, W., Mandich, A., 2010. Aromatase mRNA expression in the brain of adult *Xenopus laevis* exposed to Lambro river water and endocrine disrupting compounds. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 168, 262–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2010.04.012>
- Mater, N., Geret, F., Castillo, L., Faucet-Marquis, V., Albasi, C., Pfohl-Leskowicz, A., 2014. In vitro tests aiding ecological risk assessment of ciprofloxacin, tamoxifen and cyclophosphamide in range of concentrations released in hospital wastewater and surface water. *Environ. Int.* 63, 191–200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.011>
- Mišík, M., Filipic, M., Nersesyan, A., Kundi, M., Isidori, M., Knasmueller, S., 2019. Environmental risk assessment of widely used anticancer drugs (5-fluorouracil,

cisplatin, etoposide, imatinib mesylate). *Water Res.* 164.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114953>

Moreira, L.B., Maranhão, L.A., Baena-Nogueras, R.M., Lara-Martín, P.A., Martín-Díaz, M.L.,

2016. Effects of novobiocin and methotrexate on the benthic amphipod *Ampelisca brevicornis* exposed to spiked sediments. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 122, 169–177.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.11.003>

Nakano, E., Watanabe, L.C., Ohlweiler, F.P., De Bragança Pereira, C.A., Kawano, T., 2003.

Establishment of the dominant lethal test in the freshwater mollusk *Biomphalaria glabrata* (Say, 1818). *Mutat. Res. - Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen.* 536, 145–154.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718\(03\)00042-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(03)00042-1)

Nassour, C., Barton, S.J., Nabhani-Gebara, S., Saab, Y., Barker, J., 2019. Occurrence of

anticancer drugs in the aquatic environment: a systematic review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut.*

Res. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-07045-2>

Negreira, N., López de Alda, M., Barceló, D., 2014. Study of the stability of 26 cytostatic

drugs and metabolites in wastewater under different conditions. *Sci. Total Environ.*

482–483, 389–398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.131>

Negreira, N., Regueiro, J., López de Alda, M., Barceló, D., 2015. Transformation of tamoxifen

and its major metabolites during water chlorination: Identification and *in silico* toxicity assessment of their disinfection byproducts. *Water Res.* 85, 199–207.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.08.036>

Ng, M., DeCicco-Skinner, K., Connaughton, V.P., 2020. Using zebrafish to assess the effect of

chronic, early developmental exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of

5-fluorouracil and leucovorin. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 76.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2020.103356>

Nishimura, N., Fukazawa, Y., Uchiyama, H., Iguchi, T., 1997. Effects of estrogenic hormones on early development of *Xenopus laevis*. *J. Exp. Zool.* 278, 221–233.

[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-010X\(19970701\)278:4<221::AID-JEZ3>3.0.CO;2-R](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X(19970701)278:4<221::AID-JEZ3>3.0.CO;2-R)

Olalla, A., Negreira, N., López de Alda, M., Barceló, D., Valcárcel, Y., 2018. A case study to identify priority cytostatic contaminants in hospital effluents. *Chemosphere* 190, 417–430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.09.129>

Olmstead, A.W., LeBlanc, G.A., 2000. Effects of Endocrine-Active Chemicals on the Development of Sex Characteristics of *Daphnia Magna*. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 19, 2107. [https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028\(2000\)019<2107:eoeco>2.3.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028(2000)019<2107:eoeco>2.3.co;2)

Orias, F., Bony, S., Devaux, A., Durrieu, C., Aubrat, M., Hombert, T., Wigh, A., Perrodin, Y., 2015a. Tamoxifen ecotoxicity and resulting risks for aquatic ecosystems. *Chemosphere* 128, 79–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.01.002>

Orias, F., Simon, L., Mialdea, G., Clair, A., Brosselin, V., Perrodin, Y., 2015b. Bioconcentration of ¹⁵N-tamoxifen at environmental concentration in liver, gonad and muscle of *Danio rerio*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 120, 457–462.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.06.033>

Orias, F., Simon, L., Perrodin, Y., 2015c. Experimental assessment of the bioconcentration of ¹⁵N-tamoxifen in *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. *Chemosphere* 122, 251–256.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.11.070>

Palmer, B.D., Palmer, S.K., 1995. Vitellogenin induction by xenobiotic estrogens in the red-

eared turtle and African clawed frog. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 103, 19–25.

<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.95103s419>

Panter, G.H., Glennon, Y.C., Robinson, J., Hargreaves, A., Murray-Smith, R., 2012. Effects of the anti-androgen, bicalutamide, in a reduced life-cycle study with the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Aquat. Toxicol.* 114–115, 31–38.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.02.002>

Parrella, A., Kundi, M., Lavorgna, M., Criscuolo, E., Russo, C., Isidori, M., 2014a. Toxicity of exposure to binary mixtures of four anti-neoplastic drugs in *Daphnia magna* and *Ceriodaphnia dubia*. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 157, 41–46.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.09.012>

Parrella, A., Lavorgna, M., Criscuolo, E., Russo, C., Fiumano, V., Isidori, M., 2014b. Acute and chronic toxicity of six anticancer drugs on rotifers and crustaceans. *Chemosphere* 115, 59–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.01.013>

Parrella, A., Lavorgna, M., Criscuolo, E., Russo, C., Isidori, M., 2015. Eco-genotoxicity of six anticancer drugs using comet assay in daphnids. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 286, 573–580.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.01.012>

Parrella, A., Lavorgna, M., Criscuolo, E., Russo, C., Isidori, M., 2014c. Estrogenic activity and cytotoxicity of six anticancer drugs detected in water systems. *Sci. Total Environ.* 485–486, 216–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.050>

Preston, B.L., Snell, T.W., Robertson, T.L., Dingmann, B.J., 2000. Use of Freshwater Rotifer *Brachionus Calyciflorus* in Screening Assay for Potential Endocrine Disruptors. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 19, 2923. <https://doi.org/10.1897/1551->

5028(2000)019<2923:uofrbc>2.0.co;2

Rodenas, M.C., Cabas, I., Abellán, E., Meseguer, J., Mulero, V., García-Ayala, A., 2015.

Tamoxifen persistently disrupts the humoral adaptive immune response of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 53, 283–292.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2015.06.014>

Roepke, T.A., Snyder, M.J., Cherr, G.N., 2005. Estradiol and endocrine disrupting compounds adversely affect development of sea urchin embryos at environmentally relevant concentrations. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 71, 155–173.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2004.11.003>

Russo, C., Isidori, M., Deaver, J.A., Poynton, H.C., 2018a. Toxicogenomic responses of low level anticancer drug exposures in *Daphnia magna*. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 203, 40–50.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2018.07.010>

Russo, C., Kundi, M., Lavorgna, M., Parrella, A., Isidori, M., 2018b. Benzalkonium Chloride and Anticancer Drugs in Binary Mixtures: Reproductive Toxicity and Genotoxicity in the Freshwater Crustacean *Ceriodaphnia dubia*. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 74, 546–556. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-017-0473-y>

Russo, C., Lavorgna, M., Česen, M., Kosjek, T., Heath, E., Isidori, M., 2018c. Evaluation of acute and chronic ecotoxicity of cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, their metabolites/transformation products and UV treated samples. *Environ. Pollut.* 233, 356–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.066>

Sanderson, H., Johnson, D.J., Wilson, C.J., Brain, R.A., Solomon, K.R., 2003. Probabilistic hazard assessment of environmentally occurring pharmaceuticals toxicity to fish,

daphnids and algae by ECOSAR screening. *Toxicol. Lett.* 144, 383–395.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274\(03\)00257-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274(03)00257-1)

Santos, M.M., Castro, L.F.C., Vieira, M.N., Micael, J., Morabito, R., Massanisso, P., Reis-Henriques, M.A., 2005. New insights into the mechanism of imposex induction in the dogwhelk *Nucella lapillus*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. - C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 141, 101–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2005.05.008>

Sebire, M., Allen, Y., Bersuder, P., Katsiadaki, I., 2008. The model anti-androgen flutamide suppresses the expression of typical male stickleback reproductive behaviour. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 90, 37–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2008.07.016>

Secrétan, P.H., Karoui, M., Sadou-Yaye, H., Levi, Y., Tortolano, L., Solgadi, A., Yagoubi, N., Do, B., 2019. Imatinib: Major photocatalytic degradation pathways in aqueous media and the relative toxicity of its transformation products. *Sci. Total Environ.* 655, 547–556. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.270>

Sharpe, R.L., MacLatchy, D.L., Courtenay, S.C., Van Der Kraak, G.J., 2004. Effects of a model androgen (methyl testosterone) and a model anti-androgen (cyproterone acetate) on reproductive endocrine endpoints in a short-term adult mummichog (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) bioassay. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 67, 203–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2004.01.009>

Sugni, M., Manno, V., Barbaglio, A., Mozzi, D., Bonasoro, F., Tremolada, P., Candia Carnevali, M.D., 2008. Echinoderm regenerative response as a sensitive ecotoxicological test for the exposure to endocrine disruptors: Effects of p,p'DDE and CPA on crinoid arm regeneration. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 24, 573–586. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10565-008->

9057-y

Sun, L., Shao, X., Chi, J., Hu, X., Jin, Y., Fu, Z., 2011a. Transcriptional responses in the brain, liver and gonad of Japanese ricefish (*Oryzias latipes*) exposed to two anti-estrogens. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. - C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 153, 392–401.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2011.01.003>

Sun, L., Shao, X., Hu, X., Chi, J., Jin, Y., Ye, W., Fu, Z., 2011b. Transcriptional responses in Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) exposed to binary mixtures of an estrogen and anti-estrogens. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 105, 629–639.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.08.024>

Sun, L., Zha, J., Spear, P.A., Wang, Z., 2007a. Tamoxifen effects on the early life stages and reproduction of Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) 24, 23–29.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2007.01.003>

Sun, L., Zha, J., Spear, P.A., Wang, Z., 2007b. Toxicity of the aromatase inhibitor letrozole to Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) eggs, larvae and breeding adults. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. - C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 145, 533–541.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2007.01.017>

Sun, L., Zha, J., Wang, Z., 2009. Effects of binary mixtures of estrogen and antiestrogens on Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Aquat. Toxicol.* 93, 83–89.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.03.010>

Supalkova, V., Beklova, M., Baloun, J., Singer, C., Sures, B., Adam, V., Huska, D., Pikula, J., Rauscherova, L., Havel, L., Zehnalek, J., Kizek, R., 2008. Affecting of aquatic vascular plant *Lemna minor* by cisplatin revealed by voltammetry. *Bioelectrochemistry* 72, 59–

65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2007.11.012>

Tillmann, M., Schulte-Oehlmann, U., Duft, M., Markert, B., Oehlmann, J., 2001. Effects of endocrine disruptors on prosobranch snails (Mollusca: Gastropoda) in the laboratory. Part III: Cyproterone acetate and vinclozolin as antiandrogens. *Ecotoxicology* 10, 373–88. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1012279231373>

Toolaram, A.P., Kümmerer, K., Schneider, M., 2014. Environmental risk assessment of anti-cancer drugs and their transformation products: A focus on their genotoxicity characterization-state of knowledge and short comings. *Mutat. Res. - Rev. Mutat. Res.* 760, 18–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2014.02.001>

Trombini, C., Garcia da Fonseca, T., Morais, M., Rocha, T.L., Blasco, J., Bebianno, M.J., 2016. Toxic effects of cisplatin cytostatic drug in mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 119, 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.05.004>

Urbatzka, R., Bottero, S., Mandich, A., Lutz, I., Kloas, W., 2007. Endocrine disrupters with (anti)estrogenic and (anti)androgenic modes of action affecting reproductive biology of *Xenopus laevis*: I. Effects on sex steroid levels and biomarker expression. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. - C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 144, 310–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2006.10.008>

Urbatzka, R., Lutz, I., Opitz, R., Kloas, W., 2006. Luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone, and gonadotropin releasing hormone mRNA expression of *Xenopus laevis* in response to endocrine disrupting compounds affecting reproductive biology. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 146, 119–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yggen.2005.10.005>

Valcárcel, Y., González Alonso, S., Rodríguez-Gil, J.L., Gil, A., Catalá, M., 2011. Detection of

pharmaceutically active compounds in the rivers and tap water of the Madrid Region (Spain) and potential ecotoxicological risk. *Chemosphere* 84, 1336–1348.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.05.014>

Van Der Ven, L.T.M., Van Den Brandhof, E.J., Vos, J.H., Wester, P.W., 2007. Effects of the estrogen agonist 17 β -estradiol and antagonist tamoxifen in a partial life-cycle assay with zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 26, 92–99.

<https://doi.org/10.1897/06-092R1.1>

Vestel, J., Caldwell, D.J., Constantine, L., D’Aco, V.J., Davidson, T., Dolan, D.G., Millard, S.P., Murray-Smith, R., Parke, N.J., Ryan, J.J., Straub, J.O., Wilson, P., 2016. Use of acute and chronic ecotoxicity data in environmental risk assessment of pharmaceuticals. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 35, 1201–1212.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3260>

Villeneuve, D.L., Wang, R.L., Bencic, D.C., Biales, A.D., Martinović, D., Lazorchak, J.M., Toth, G., Ankley, G.T., 2009. Altered gene expression in the brain and ovaries of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) exposed to the aromatase inhibitor fadrozole: Microarray analysis and hypothesis generation. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 28, 1767–1782.

<https://doi.org/10.1897/08-653.1>

Williams, T.D., Caunter, J.E., Lillicrap, A.D., Hutchinson, T.H., Gillings, E.G., Duffell, S., 2007. Evaluation of the reproductive effects of tamoxifen citrate in partial and full life-cycle studies using fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 26,

695–707. <https://doi.org/10.1897/05-646R1.1>

Winter, M.J., Ellis, L.C.J., Hutchinson, T.H., 2007. Formation of micronuclei in erythrocytes of the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) after acute treatment with mitomycin C or

cyclophosphamide. *Mutat. Res. - Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen.* 629, 89–99.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2007.01.010>

Xia, L., Zheng, L., Zhou, J.L., 2016. Transcriptional and morphological effects of tamoxifen on the early development of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 36, 853–862.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.3257>

Yan, L., Gulbis, A., 2019. High-Dose Chemotherapy Regimens, in: *Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Malignant Conditions*. Elsevier, pp. 37–57.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-56802-9.00003-1>

Yan, Z., Yan, K., He, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Lopez Torres, O., Guo, R., Chen, J., 2017. The impact assessment of anticancer drug imatinib on the feeding behavior of rotifers with an integrated perspective: Exposure, post-exposure and re-exposure. *Chemosphere* 185, 423–430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.07.043>

Załęska-Radziwiłł, M., Affek, K., Duskocz, N., 2017. Ecotoxicological risk assessment of chosen pharmaceuticals detected in surface waters. *J. Environ. Sci. Heal. - Part A Toxic/Hazardous Subst. Environ. Eng.* 52, 1233–1239.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2017.1356199>

Załęska-Radziwiłł, M., Affek, K., Rybak, J., 2014. Ecotoxicity of chosen pharmaceuticals in relation to micro-organisms-risk assessment. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 52, 3908–3917.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.887503>

Załęska-Radziwiłł, M., Ĺlebkowska, M., Affek, K., Zarzeczna, A., 2011. Environmental risk assessment of selected pharmaceuticals present in surface waters in relation to animals. *Arch. Environ. Prot.* 37, 31–42.

Zerulla, M., Länge, R., Steger-Hartmann, T., Panter, G., Hutchinson, T., Dietrich, D., 2002.

Morphological sex reversal upon short-term exposure to endocrine modulators in juvenile fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Toxicol. Lett.* 131, 51–63.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274\(02\)00070-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274(02)00070-X)

Zhang, J., Chang, V.W.C., Giannis, A., Wang, J.Y., 2013. Removal of cytostatic drugs from aquatic environment: A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 445–446, 281–298.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.061>

Zoukova, R., Kovalova, L., Blaha, L., Dott, W., 2010. Ecotoxicity and genotoxicity assessment of cytotoxic antineoplastic drugs and their metabolites. *Chemosphere* 81, 253–260.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.06.029>

Zouková, R., Odráška, P., Doležalová, L., Hilscherová, K., Maršálek, B., Bláha, L., 2007.

Ecotoxicity and genotoxicity assessment of cytostatic pharmaceuticals. *Environ. Toxicol.*

Chem. 26, 2208. <https://doi.org/10.1897/07-137R.1>