

Static stretching does not enhance recovery in elite youth soccer players

Sam Pooley,¹ Owen Spendiff,² Matt Allen,¹ Hannah J Moir²

To cite: Pooley S, Spendiff O, Allen M, *et al*. Static stretching does not enhance recovery in elite youth soccer players. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2017;**3**:e000202. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2016-000202

Accepted 7 March 2017

ABSTRACT

Background Static stretching (SS) is a recovery intervention used for the reduction of muscle soreness postexercise. The effects of SS on elite young footballers have received little attention, and therefore the aim of this study was to assess the effects of SS on muscle recovery following competitive soccer matches in elite young footballers.

Methods Ten male participants (16±1 years) were recruited from an English Premier League professional soccer academy. Using a controlled crossover design, participants followed one of two recovery interventions (SS or passive recovery (PR)) immediately following completion of competitive soccer matches. Muscle oedema, creatine kinase (CK), countermovement jump with arms (CMJA) performance and perceived muscle soreness were assessed before, immediately after and 48 hours postmatch.

Results Competitive soccer matches significantly induced muscle damage, with time intervals of perceived soreness and CK showing significant increases ($p<0.05$), and CMJA showing significant decreases between prematch, postmatch and 48 hours postmatch for both SS and PR ($p<0.05$). Comparisons of the absolute effects of SS with PR only revealed significant decreases for CK 48 hours postmatch ($p<0.05$) as a result of SS intervention.

Conclusion The current study demonstrated competitive soccer matches induced muscle damage, which may have detrimental effects on future performance within 24–48 hours postmatch. Furthermore, there was limited evidence to suggest SS would assist in the reduction of muscle soreness postexercise. Therefore, it can be argued that SS is not a beneficial recovery option for elite youth soccer players.

INTRODUCTION

Static stretching (SS) is an intervention historically recommended as a recovery method following exercise to prevent or reduce muscle soreness,^{1 2} often referred to as delayed-onset muscle soreness. When performing SS, muscles are elongated, often to the point of slight discomfort where they are held for a prescribed period of time.³ It has been suggested that SS may assist in the dispersion of postexercise muscle oedema,⁴ reducing the potential damaging effects of reactive oxygen species, neutrophils,

What are the findings?

- ▶ Completion of a minimum of 80% of 80 min competitive soccer matches of elite youth soccer players significantly induced muscle damage.
- ▶ As a result of muscle damage sustained from competitive soccer matches, elite youth soccer players are in the process of recovery for at least 48 hours postmatch.
- ▶ Static stretching provides little or no recovery benefit for elite young soccer players following competitive soccer matches when compared with passive recovery.

lymphocytes and proinflammatory cytokines which, although act as a protective mechanism, may inadvertently cause further cell damage.⁵

In addition to the recommendations for using SS with non-elite participants,¹ SS is known to be used by elite athletes, with research highlighting the application on national-level basketball⁴ and soccer³ players. Furthermore, Dadebo *et al*⁶ reported English Premier League football clubs dedicate almost 40% of training time to flexibility training, with the most common technique being SS, although this study did not specify the context in which SS was implemented (warm-up or cool-down).

Applied studies investigating the effects of SS have explored numerous sports such as basketball,^{4 7 8} Australian rules football⁹ and soccer.^{3 10 11} Research assessing the effects of SS in basketball has revealed varied and inconclusive findings. Montgomery *et al*⁷ compared the effects of SS on physical performance markers of basketball players with pretournament baseline values, with SS having no significant effect on performance. These findings are supported by a review study by Calleja-González *et al*,⁸ advising against the use of SS as a recovery intervention for improving flexibility and reducing adhesions postcompetition. Limitations to the study produced by Montgomery *et al*⁷ can be highlighted, as data were collected



CrossMark

¹Medical and Sports Science Department, Tottenham Hotspur Football Club, London, UK

²School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, London, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Hannah J Moir, School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, London Penrhyn Road, Kingston Upon Thames, KT1 2EE, UK; H. Moir@kingston.ac.uk

following competitive basketball matches on three consecutive days, suggesting an element of cumulative muscle damage may exist, questioning the transferability of these findings to elite youth soccer as this may not truly represent an expected competitive schedule.

In contrast to research demonstrating SS has no effect on recovery, Delextrat *et al*⁴ report a significant reduction in perceived muscle soreness (PMS) (females) and a significant improvement in countermovement jump with arms (CMJA) performance (males) as a result of an SS intervention on state-level basketball players. Again limitations to this study exist as the recovery intervention incorporated massage therapy, and therefore findings cannot be directly compared with studies focusing solely on SS. Additionally, caution must be taken when assessing the transferability of findings from various sports to soccer, as physical demands between sports are likely to differ, resulting in varying degrees of muscle damage sustained.

In order to truly assess the effects of SS on recovery of soccer players postcompetition, it is important to use soccer players as participants in research studies. Dawson *et al*¹⁰ reported a significant improvement in peak power 15 hours postexercise when assessing semi-professional male soccer players; however, no differences in subjective assessments or range of movement were found. These findings may appear more applicable to elite youth soccer; however, athlete training status and participant age (24±3 years) may not truly represent the elite young population. It may be suggested that muscle damage elicited from a competitive semiprofessional soccer match may differ substantially from that experienced at elite standard, therefore affecting the impact of SS as a recovery technique.

In contrast to the findings of Dawson *et al*,¹⁰ Kinugasa and Kilding¹¹ reported SS had no significant effect on non-elite youth soccer players following three soccer matches compared with a control. Despite the use of youth participants (14±1 years), athletes were considered non-elite, again questioning the applicability of findings to the elite youth population. Additional limitations to this study may also be highlighted; first the primary assessment method was subjective, and therefore caution must be taken when considering the effectiveness of SS for recovery based on these results; and second, participants completed three competitive soccer matches within 1 week, and an average of all prematch, postmatch and postrecovery assessments for all matches were calculated and used for statistical analysis. The grouping of all data for statistical analysis may impact the ecological validity of this study as results represent cumulative muscle damage rather than the effects of SS on single trials.

The use of SS as a recovery intervention has been extensively studied, and despite inconclusive findings would appear to be used as a recovery intervention following exercise.^{3 4 6} To date, limited research has

been conducted on the effects of SS as a recovery intervention following competitive soccer matches for elite young soccer players, and with a dearth of research in this area it may be hypothesised that current practices in elite youth soccer are supported by inapplicable evidence. The variance in sports studied and participant training status and age throughout literature does not allow for current research to be applied to the elite youth population; therefore, the aim of this study was to compare the effects of SS with a control of passive recovery (PR) following competitive soccer matches using elite young soccer players.

METHODS

Participants

Ten elite young soccer players (mean (±SD): age 16 (1) years, stature 173.5 (6.1) cm, mass 63.3 (6.5) kg) were voluntarily recruited from a professional football academy in the English Premier League to participate in this study. Prior to commencing this study, participants were informed of any risks that may occur, and player and parental consent was obtained. Participants were ensured that any results from testing would be kept confidential and that the findings would not affect their role within the team. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study was ethically approved by the Faculty of Science, Engineering and Computing Ethics Committee (Kingston University London, UK).

Experimental design

Participants were required to complete a minimum of three 80 min competitive soccer matches for each recovery intervention (SS or PR). In order to assess the extent of muscle damage elicited from matches, markers of muscle damage (creatinine kinase (CK)), CMJA, muscle oedema and PMS were measured before (pre), immediately after (post) and 48 hours after (48 hours post) each competitive soccer match.

Experimental protocol

Physical assessments

On arrival to the match facility, participant stature and mass were recorded, immediately followed by the recording of PMS at 2.5 hours prior to exercise commencing. PMS was indicated using a 10-point visual analogue scale from 0.5 to 5.

Immediately following subjective soreness assessment, muscle oedema was taken using a constant-tension tape measure to assess muscle circumference,^{12 13} using three sites of the lower body; the two sites on the lower leg of the gastrocnemius were identified by one-third (OedemaG1) and two thirds (OedemaG2) of the lower leg length calculated by the distance from medial condyle of the tibia to the calcaneus tarsal. The site on the upper leg of the quadriceps (OedemaQ) was identified by the midpoint of the distance from the

patella to the iliac crest. Following these initial assessments, CK levels were assessed using the i-STAT 1 Analyser (Abbott Point of Care, Abbott Park, Illinois, USA) using fingertip whole-blood samples according to the manufacturer's instructions. Two hours prior to exercise, CMJA was recorded using the Smart Speed Jump Mat (Fusion Sport).

Recovery intervention

Prior to any participation in matches, a physical and technical preparation warm-up was conducted by a sport scientist and a UEFA-qualified coach, respectively. Warm-ups remained consistent throughout the duration of the study, comprising 15 min physical preparation involving muscle activation, movement preparation and dynamic stretching and mobility, and 15 min of positional and technical football work. For consistency, the warm-ups were conducted by the same sport scientist and coach prior to all competitive games.

Immediately following completion of the competitive games, participants were required to complete either the PR protocol which consisted of 10 min passive

seating, or the SS protocol consisting of two 15 s stretches to the gastrocnemius, hamstrings, quadriceps, glutes, hip flexors, adductors and abductors. On completion of the recovery protocols, participants were required to repeat the assessment of muscle damage markers in the same order as was taken prematch. Postmatch assessments were undertaken within 30 min of completing the match. The same assessments of muscle damage were recorded at 48 hours postexercise. On every occasion, the assessments were carried out in the same order and by the same sport scientist. The time intervals of assessments (prematch, postmatch and 48 hours postmatch) were consistent with those used throughout literature.^{14–16}

Player exclusions

For the purpose of control, and for the monitoring of physical outcomes of competitive soccer matches, global positioning systems (GPS) were worn by all the participants when competing in the games. The GPS units (STATSports Viper) report movement variables across all axes, as well as heart rate data. Individual thresholds based on these movement parameters are

Table 1 Comparison of mean (+SD) physiological, psychological and performance markers of muscle damage at prematch, postmatch and 48 hours postcompetitive soccer match in recovery interventions

	Assessment time point		
	Pre	Post	48 Hours post
OedemaG1 (cm)			
PR	26.3 (2.5)	26.6 (2.5)	26.9 (2.5) [†]
SS	26.2 (2.6)	26.5 (2.6)	26.9 (2.7)
OedemaG2 (cm)			
PR	35.8 (1.9)	36.1 (1.9)	36.3 (1.9)
SS	35.7 (2.1)	35.5 (2.0)*	35.6 (1.9)*
OedemaQ (cm)			
PR	49.4 (3.9)	50.1 (4.1)	50.3 (4.2) [†]
SS	49.2 (4.0)	50.1 (4.1) [†]	49.9 (4.0)
Perceived soreness			
PR	1.5 (0.3) [‡]	4.0 (0.3)	3.0 (0.2) ^{†‡}
SS	1.5 (0.3) [‡]	4.0 (0.3)	3.0 (0.2) ^{†‡}
CK			
PR	2.9 (1.6) [‡]	7.6 (2.1)	5.8 (2.3) ^{†‡}
SS	2.8 (1.7) [‡]	7.4 (2.2)	5.5 (2.4) ^{*†‡}
CMJA (cm)			
PR	46.8 (6.4) [‡]	41.1 (7.4)	43.3 (7.1) ^{†‡}
SS	46.7 (6.4) [‡]	40.7 (8.0)	43.4 (7.2) ^{†‡}

*p<0.05, significantly different from PR.

[†]p<0.05, significantly different from prematch.

[‡]p<0.05, significantly different from postmatch.

CK, creatine kinase; CMJA, countermovement jump with arms performance; PR, passive recovery; SS, static stretching.

set according to the average of the combined variables for all full competitive matches completed. As a result, match percentages were calculated, with the average of dynamic stress load, metres per minute, speed intensity, high metabolic power distance, high speed running distance, accelerations, decelerations, heart rate minutes above 85% of max and heart rate exertion over all full games amounting to a match percentage of 100%. This match percentage determined the individual intensity of training and matches completed for all players. To ensure the intensity of each competitive football match remained consistent throughout the study, participants whose match percentage for any particular game was $\pm 10\%$ of their average match percentage for all completed matches were excluded from the data collection for that specific competitive match. Furthermore, participants were excluded from data collection for individual games if they failed to complete a minimum of 80% of the 80 min match, while goalkeepers were excluded from the study due to the inability to control consistency in physical loading for matches.

Statistical analysis

Means and SD of all anthropometric data were recorded, and all data were analysed for normal distribution, with analysis of muscle damage markers only commencing following assessment of normality. The assessment markers recorded were compared across the two conditions (SS and PR) and three time intervals (pre-exercise, postexercise, and 48 hours postexercise) using a within-subjects repeated-measures analysis of variance in statistical software SPSS V.23. Paired samples t-tests were used as posthoc analysis. In case of data with violations in normality, Friedman's non-parametric statistical test was conducted with a Bonferroni posthoc adjustment. Statistical significance was accepted at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Analysis of data within conditions across time intervals (prematch, postmatch, 48 hours postmatch) produced significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between all time intervals for SS and PR interventions when assessing CK, CMJA and perceived soreness as markers of muscle damage (table 1). Additionally, significant differences were found between pre-exercise and 48 hours postexercise for PR of OedemaG1 ($p = 0.024$, effect size (ES): 0.450, 95% CI -0.054 to 1.334) and OedemaQ ($p = 0.023$), while OedemaQ SS showed significant differences at prematch and immediately postmatch ($p = 0.028$).

Further analysis of results for each assessment method of muscle damage showed no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between recovery interventions of SS and PR for OedemaG1, OedemaQ, perceived soreness and CMJA (table 1). However, analysis of CK indicated a significant difference between SS and PR interventions

48 hours postmatch ($p = 0.032$, ES: 0.427, 95% CI 0.024 to 0.362), whereas analysis of OedemaG2 showed significant differences between SS and PR immediately postmatch ($p = 0.029$, ES: 0.596, 95% CI -1.047 to -0.073) and 48 hours ($p = 0.006$, ES: 0.642, 95% CI -1.109 to -0.251) following completion of competitive soccer matches.

Due to the inter-individual variability of CK, further analysis was conducted to assess the percentage change in CK from precompetition levels at postexercise and 48 hours postexercise between conditions (figure 1). The results show no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between PR and SS interventions for percentage change; however, a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) across all time intervals was recognised for PR and SS.

Additionally, the variability between individual measurements of muscle oedema was large, and therefore further analysis was conducted to assess the percentage change in muscle oedema at three lower leg sites from baseline to postexercise and 48 hours postexercise (figure 2). The results show a significant difference ($p = 0.009$, ES: 0.601, 95% CI 0.334 to 1.401) between SS and PR at 48 hours postmatch when measuring OedemaG2. Furthermore, significant differences ($p = 0.027$, ES: 0.440, 95% CI -0.285 to -5.225) were identified across time for OedemaG1 between pre-exercise and 48 hours postexercise when assessing SS, while OedemaQ demonstrated significant differences between prematch and postmatch (SS: $p = 0.004$, ES: 0.716, 95% CI 0.644 to 2.870; PR: $p = 0.006$, ES: 0.714, 95% CI 0.400 to 2.065), and prematch and 48 hours postmatch (SS: $p = 0.046$, ES: 0.726, 95% CI 0.353 to 2.670; PR: $p = 0.008$, ES: 0.647, 95% CI 0.471 to 2.196) for both SS and PR conditions.

DISCUSSION

The main findings from the present study showed that competitive soccer matches of elite young soccer players significantly induced muscle damage as




Figure 1 Percentage change in creatine kinase (CK) levels between prematch, immediately postmatch and 48 hours postmatch grouped by condition (SS, static stretching; PR, passive recovery). Error bars represent SE at respective time points. * $p < 0.05$, significantly different from baseline values. # $p < 0.05$, significantly different from 48 hours postexercise values.




Figure 2 Percentage change in oedema levels between pre-exercise, immediately postexercise and 48 hours postexercise grouped by condition (SS, static stretching; PR, passive recovery). Error bars represent SE at respective time points. # $p < 0.05$, significantly different from PR.

demonstrated by the significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in muscle damage markers immediately postmatch when compared with baseline values (table 1). Furthermore, this study demonstrated muscle damage was evident for at least 48 hours postmatch as indicated by the significant difference in muscle damage markers recorded at this time point ($p < 0.05$) in comparison with baseline values (table 1). These findings differ from those of Dawson *et al.*,¹⁰ whose results showed a significant increase in PMS only, at 15 hours postmatch and 48 hours postmatch in comparison with baseline values, with no significant differences in performance measures, therefore suggesting soccer matches of semi-professional soccer players do not elicit significant muscle damage. Additionally, Kinugasa and Kilding¹¹ reported no significant differences in muscle damage markers across time intervals when assessing perceived soreness and performance measures following competitive matches of non-elite youth soccer players. The findings of the current study may therefore suggest that the intensity of competitive soccer matches at elite standard is higher than non-elite and semiprofessional matches, and as a result elicit significant occurrences of muscle damage. These findings present new information on the effects of competitive soccer matches for elite young soccer players.

Further examination of the data from the present study demonstrated a significant reduction in perceived soreness, CK and CMJA assessments between immediately postmatch and 48 hours postmatch, suggesting that although competitive soccer matches elicit muscle damage postmatch, at 48 hours the recovery process had begun and values were returning to baseline for both PR and SS. That being said, the report of a significant difference between pre-exercise and 48 hours postexercise for perceived soreness, CK and CMJA may suggest that the body is going through a process of repair and regeneration,¹⁷ and a return to optimal performance levels is yet to be achieved, presenting new information on the recovery

process of elite young athletes in the days following competitive soccer matches, and may prove useful to coaches preparing training sessions at 48 hours postmatch.

Comparisons between SS and PR show no significant differences for OedemaG1, OedemaQ, perceived soreness and CMJA ($p > 0.05$). These results are consistent with previous research suggesting SS as a recovery technique has no effect on recovery time when considering muscle oedema,¹⁸ perceived soreness^{10 11 18} and CMJA^{7 10}; therefore, it may be argued that in contrast to findings by Delextrat *et al.*,⁴ SS is an ineffective method for reducing muscle oedema for elite young soccer players. Additionally, due to the elite training status of these individuals, the body may be accustomed to managing the repair of damaged muscle fibres and the removal of myoprotein,¹⁹ and as a result SS has little effect on the repair process.

However, in contrast to Gill *et al.*,²⁰ CK was significantly elevated ($p = 0.032$) 48 hours postmatch as a result of no recovery intervention when compared with SS. Although this may suggest SS significantly reduces CK following exercise ($p < 0.05$), it may be possible that the individual variability of CK levels at baseline may have an influence on statistical interrogation of results postmatch; therefore, the CK values were aligned for relative change to baseline and expressed as a percentage. Statistical analysis in this form showed no significant differences between SS and PR (figure 1), similar to findings of previous studies.^{3 11}

Analysis of OedemaG2 also showed significant differences between SS and PR interventions immediately postmatch and 48 hours postmatch. Again this contrasts the findings in current literature^{3 10 11}; however, when assessing these results, considerations of compulsory attire of soccer players must be taken into account. The assessment of OedemaG2 was taken at the upper third of the gastrocnemius, an area potentially compressed by the wearing of supportive tape. This may provide a compression aid, which could not be avoided due to the applied nature of this study. As participant use of supportive tape was not recorded, it cannot be assumed that it was used across both recovery interventions, and as such the effects of strappings cannot be directly determined. Again in order to reduce inter-individual variability in muscle oedema measures, manipulation of data to percentage change allowed for further statistical analysis. Results from this analysis (figure 2) showed a significant difference between SS and PR of OedemaG2 at 48 hours postmatch ($p < 0.05$). Again the potential influence of the supportive tape may have a contribution to the results, leading to the significant difference.²⁰

In conclusion the results of this study indicate that competitive soccer matches of elite young soccer players significantly induce muscle damage, and muscle damage markers remain elevated at 48 hours postmatch. Furthermore, the use of SS showed no significant reduction in muscle damage markers when

compared with PR following competitive soccer matches. This supports the advice provided by Calleja-González *et al.*,⁸ who warn against the use of SS as a recovery intervention for reducing muscle damage postcompetition.

PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

In light of these findings, it may be advised that the use of SS following competitive soccer matches for elite young athletes provides no definitive recovery effect, and that alternative postmatch recovery interventions may be advised. In the applied settings of elite academy football, situations such as away fixtures provide little opportunity for alternative recovery interventions to be implemented postmatch, and as such in these situations it may be recommended that training sessions in the days following (at least 48 hours postexercise) have a reduced load.

Acknowledgements The authors wish to thank all the participants who volunteered their time for this study and in doing so made the completion of this research possible.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Kingston University.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Cheung K, Hume P, Maxwell L. Delayed onset muscle soreness : treatment strategies and performance factors. *Sports Med* 2003;33:145–64.
- Wessel J, Wan A. Effect of stretching on the intensity of delayed-onset muscle soreness. *Clin J Sport Med* 1994;4:83–7.
- Nédélec M, McCall A, Carling C, *et al.* Recovery in soccer : part ii-recovery strategies. *Sports Med* 2013;43:9–22.
- Delextrat A, Hippocrate A, Leddington-Wright S, *et al.* Including stretches to a massage routine improves recovery from official matches in basketball players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2014;28:716–27.
- Peake J, Nosaka K, Suzuki K. Characterization of inflammatory responses to eccentric exercise in humans. *Exerc Immunol Rev* 2005;11:64–85.
- Dadebo B, White J, George KP. A survey of flexibility training protocols and hamstring strains in professional football clubs in England. *Br J Sports Med* 2004;38:388–94.
- Montgomery PG, Pyne DB, Hopkins WG, *et al.* The effect of recovery strategies on physical performance and cumulative fatigue in competitive basketball. *J Sports Sci* 2008;26:1135–45.
- Calleja-González J, Terrados N, Mielgo-Ayuso J, *et al.* Evidence-based post-exercise recovery strategies in basketball. *Phys Sportsmed* 2016;44:74–8.
- Bahnert A, Norton K, Lock P. Association between post-game recovery protocols, physical and perceived recovery, and performance in elite Australian Football League players. *J Sci Med Sport* 2013;16:151–6.
- Dawson B, Cow S, Modra S, *et al.* Effects of immediate post-game recovery procedures on muscle soreness, power and flexibility levels over the next 48 hours. *J Sci Med Sport* 2005;8:210–21.
- Kinugasa T, Kilding AE. A comparison of post-match recovery strategies in youth soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2009;23:1402–7.
- Howell JN, Chleboun G, Conatser R. Muscle stiffness, strength loss, swelling and soreness following exercise-induced injury in humans. *J Physiol* 1993;464:183–96.
- Stanton AW, Badger C, Sitzia J. Non-invasive assessment of the lymphoedematous limb. *Lymphology* 2000;33:122–35.
- Ascensão A, Leite M, Rebelo AN, *et al.* Effects of cold water immersion on the recovery of physical performance and muscle damage following a one-off soccer match. *J Sports Sci* 2011;29:217–25.
- Brown SJ, Child RB, Day SH, *et al.* Exercise-induced skeletal muscle damage and adaptation following repeated bouts of eccentric muscle contractions. *J Sports Sci* 1997;15:215–22.
- Magal M, Dumke CL, Urbiztondo ZG, *et al.* Relationship between serum creatine kinase activity following exercise-induced muscle damage and muscle fibre composition. *J Sports Sci* 2010;28:257–66.
- Kendall B, Eston R. Exercise-induced muscle damage and the potential protective role of estrogen. *Sports Med* 2002;32:103–23.
- Jayaraman RC, Reid RW, Foley JM, *et al.* MRI evaluation of topical heat and static stretching as therapeutic modalities for the treatment of eccentric exercise-induced muscle damage. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2004;93:30–8.
- Brancaccio P, Maffulli N, Limongelli FM. Creatine kinase monitoring in sport medicine. *Br Med Bull* 2007;81-82:209–30.
- Gill ND, Beaven CM, Cook C. Effectiveness of post-match recovery strategies in rugby players. *Br J Sports Med* 2006;40:260–3.



Static stretching does not enhance recovery in elite youth soccer players

Sam Pooley, Owen Spendiff, Matt Allen and Hannah J Moir

BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2017 3:
doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2016-000202

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://bmjopensem.bmj.com/content/3/1/e000202>

These include:

References

This article cites 20 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:
<http://bmjopensem.bmj.com/content/3/1/e000202#BIBL>

Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>