Accepted Manuscript

Community pharmacist perceptions of their role and the use of social media and mobile health applications as tools in public health

Philip Crilly, Wasim Hassanali, Gary Khanna, Kiranjit Matharu, Deep Patel, Disha Patel, Fahmida Rahman, Reem Kayvali

PII: \$1551-7411(17)30689-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2018.02.005

Reference: RSAP 1013

To appear in: Research in Social & Administrative Pharmacy

Received Date: 20 August 2017

Revised Date: 12 February 2018 Accepted Date: 14 February 2018

Please cite this article as: Crilly P, Hassanali W, Khanna G, Matharu K, Patel D, Patel D, Rahman F, Kayyali R, Community pharmacist perceptions of their role and the use of social media and mobile health applications as tools in public health, *Research in Social & Administrative Pharmacy* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2018.02.005.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



RESEARCH PAPER

Community pharmacist perceptions of their role and the use of social media and mobile health applications as tools in public health

Philip Crilly, M.Pharm., Wasim Hassanali, M.Pharm., Gary Khanna, M.Pharm., Kiranjit Matharu, M.Pharm., Deep Patel, M.Pharm., Disha Patel, M.Pharm., Fahmida Rahman, M.Pharm., Reem Kayyali, PhD.

School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, United Kingdom

Mr Philip Crilly Mr Wasim	School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, KT1 2EE P.Crilly@kingston.ac.uk 0208 8417 6377 School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy	 Conception and design of the study Revised the study critically Pharmacist interviews Drafting of paper Final approval of version to be published Survey creation and collection
Hassanali	and Chemistry, Kingston University, KT1 2EE wasim134@hotmail.com	Drafting paperApproval of finished work
Mr Gary Khanna	School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, KT1 2EE gary khanna@hotmail.co.uk	Survey creation and collectionDrafting paperApproval of finished work
Ms Kiranjit Matharu	School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, KT1 2EE kkm1992@hotmail.co.uk	Survey creation and collectionDrafting of paperApproval of finished work
Mr Deep Patel	School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, KT1 2EE deep1402@hotmail.co.uk	Survey creation and collectionDrafting paperApproval of finished work
Ms Disha Patel	School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, KT1 2EE <u>Disha.patel1993@hotmail.com</u>	Survey creation and collectionDrafting paperApproval of finished work
Ms Fahmida Rahman	School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, KT1 2EE fahmida.r@hotmail.co.uk	Survey creation and collectionDrafting paperApproval of finished work
Dr Reem Kayyali (Corresponding author)	School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, KT1 2EE R.Kayyali@kingston.ac.uk 0208 417 2561	 Conception and design of the study Revised the study critically Final approval of version to be published

Acknowledgements

This research is

Conflicts of interest

None

Funding

This work was supported by the National Pharmacy Association Health Education Foundation (NPA HEF).

1 ABSTRACT

- 2 Background. A number of barriers prevent community pharmacists (CPs) from impacting
- 3 public health (PH) outcomes. Social media (SM) and mobile health apps (MH apps) may
- 4 offer ways to help the public make positive health decisions.
- 5 **Objectives.** To evaluate CP perceptions of their role in PH and the use of SM and MH apps
- 6 in this regard.
- 7 Methods. This was a mixed method study using a cross-sectional survey and follow-up
- 8 interviews. The survey covered: CPs role in PH; CP use of SM; CP use of MH apps; non-
- 9 identifiable demographic information. Following ethical approval and piloting, responses
- were collected on paper and online. The study population was CPs in Greater London, UK
- 11 (n=2931). A minimum sample size of 340 was calculated (95% confidence interval/5%
- margin of error). To achieve this, 596 surveys were distributed. Responses (n=257) were
- analysed using descriptive statistics. Twenty-five respondents were willing to take part in
- 14 follow-up one-to-one interviews. Twenty interviews were completed as data saturation was
- achieved after the 14th. Interviews were transcribed and analysed using framework
- methodology as described by Ritchie and Spencer in 1994.
- 17 **Results.** Survey response rate was 43%. Respondents represented English CPs in terms of
- age but males and non-whites were over-represented. The majority of CPs accessed SM and
- MH apps for personal use but did not recommend these in a professional capacity due to lack
- of awareness and confidentiality/liability concerns. Most would promote an SM health page
- 21 (78.6%) or MH app (83.7%) if maintained by healthcare professionals (HCPs). Under 35s
- were more positive about these tools in PH. Two interview themes emerged: The role of CPs
- in PH; Concerns and opportunities for the use of technology in PH.

24	Conclusions. Most CPs, particularly those under 30, were positive about the use of SM and
25	MH apps in PH. Training on the use of such tools among the pharmacy team, and an
26	awareness of the availability of evidence-based apps will ensure their wider adoption.
27	Key words. Community pharmacy; public health; digital health; social media; mobile health
28	applications.
29	
30	INTRODUCTION
31	Ten years since the introduction of the community pharmacy contractual framework (CPCF)
32	in England, the delivery of public health services and campaigns by community pharmacists
33	(CPs) are now well established. ^{1,2} Many CPs play a public health role by running clinics to
34	support people to lose weight, to stop smoking, or to reduce their cardiovascular disease risk,
35	as well as delivering six public health campaigns each year, as directed by NHS England. ^{3,4}
36	In addition, some community pharmacies are now classified as Healthy Living Pharmacies
37	(HLP), utilising the skills of pharmacy support staff to improve public health. ⁵ The British
38	government has recently announced funding cuts in England that will have a direct impact on
39	the delivery of pharmacy public health services, 6 with many having to be decommissioned,
40	particularly if they are unable to demonstrate their impact on patient health outcomes. ¹
41	
42	Advances in digital technology have given healthcare professionals (HCPs), including CPs,
43	opportunities to improve public health. ⁷⁻¹⁹ In fact, Shaw et al. ¹¹ have pointed out that most
44	"health and wellbeing" happens away from a HCP. The majority of patients see a HCP only
45	once or twice a year and outside of these meetings they need to make their own health-related
46	decisions. In the same report the term E-health was refined into three domains (1) the use of
47	digital devices to monitor or track health; (2) the use of digital tools for communication

between HCPs and patients; and (3) the use of digital tools for health data and the use of that

48

data to influence heal	th advice. 11	E-health	interventions	that	combine	all	three	domains	are
seen as the gold standa	ard. ¹¹								

Aungst et al.²⁰ noted that the in-built features of a smartphone e.g. camera and microphone, make them useful devices for communication between HCPs and patients. Their report also noted that high smartphone ownership among all demographics reduces inequalities related to access to the internet and mobile health apps applications (MH apps). MH apps in particular have been investigated for their role in helping the public to adopt positive health behaviours and to manage health conditions and treatments,^{21–23} and a number have been shown to include behaviour change theory.²⁴ Therefore, MH apps may present an effective method of continuing to motivate patients outside of the pharmacy with an added benefit that they do not require an internet connection, although they do need to be regularly updated to ensure that they function to a high standard.²⁰

Recent data by the Office of National Statistics (ONS)²⁵ shows that the majority of the United Kingdom (UK) population are online with 63% of these also having a social media (SM) profile as of 2016; up from 45% in 2011; with Facebook being the most popular platform.²⁶ Universities teaching undergraduate pharmacists are also starting to incorporate SM into their training.²⁷ The use of SM has been proposed to potentially challenge traditional health promotion models by Chou et al.⁸ However, the study highlighted that it is important to identify which SM platforms patients use before embarking on any interventions that use this technology.⁸ In a study by Benetoli et al.¹³ CPs noted that Facebook was the most effective SM platform for sharing public health messages due to a number of beneficial design features, such as the ability to share written, photographic and video content as well as the opportunity to comment on content shared by others and to network. In fact, Cain et al.¹⁴

74	pointed out that the "community" feel of SM complements the same feelings that people
75	associate with using a community pharmacy.
76	
77	Examples of how CPs have used SM for public health include the use of video-sharing
78	platform, YouTube, to show patients how to correctly use their inhalers, 13 and using
79	Facebook and Twitter to share information about public health and environmental crises,
80	such as during the Ebola outbreak, 13 and during hurricanes and floods. 14 Video was
81	particularly highlighted as an effective way to share health information with those with low
82	literacy levels. ¹³ While digital tools are showing promise in terms of their role as tools in
83	public health, HCPs are reminded of the need to adopt "positive professional behaviours"
84	when online. ^{28–31}
85	
86	While a number of studies have addressed the use of SM and MH apps in public health, 8,13-
87	^{19,21–23,30,31} this is the first large scale study of UK CP attitudes and perceptions of these tools
88	in this regard.
89	
90	Aim
91	This study explored UK CP perceptions of their role in public health and the barriers that are
92	preventing them from fulfilling this role, if any. It also evaluated CP perceptions of the use of
93	SM and MH apps in pharmacy public health services, focusing on whether demographic
94	factors affect acceptability of SM and MH apps, and how CPs might incorporate such tools
95	into their future service delivery.
96	
97	
98	

METHOD

This was a mixed-methods study investigating CP perceptions of their role in public health and the use of SM and MH apps in this regard. A triangulation method was used with the survey acting as the main tool and the interview used to validate the findings from the survey.³²

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

103

99

100

101

102

Phase 1 – Survey tool

The perceptions of the general public and HCPs on the use of digital tools in public health had been previously investigated, however, the search highlighted a gap in the knowledge about UK CP perspectives of the role of such tools in public health.^{7,9,13–24,29-31,33} A survey tool was, therefore, created to address this gap and consisted of 47 questions divided into 4 sections: the role of CPs in public health; the use of SM by CPs; the use of MH apps by CPs; and demographic data. A 5-point Likert scale (agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, disagree) was adapted from a study by Shcherbakova and Shepherd¹⁷ who investigated American (Texas State) CP use of digital communication tools. The majority of the remaining questions were closed, with pre-formulated answer choices. An "other" option was provided to allow CPs to enter free text answers if their preferred answer was not listed. An additional removable section explained that the researcher was conducting future interviews. CPs who were interested in taking part in the interview stage were asked to provide their email address and/or telephone number in this section and this was then separated from the main survey by the researcher collecting responses before the survey responses were analysed by another researcher. The survey was internally reviewed for content validity by an expert in the field and assessed for face validity by 2 colleagues. It was piloted by 30 CPs (who were then excluded from the data analysis), and, as a result, minor changes were made to the wording of seven questions. The average time taken to

124 complete the survey was 20 minutes. The final version of the survey is available in Appendix

125 1.

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

Study sample

The study population was all CPs working in community pharmacies (n=1879) in Greater London.³⁴ The community pharmacy workforce in London report identified that the average number of CPs working in a Greater London community pharmacy was 1.56.34 The total population size for this study, therefore, was estimated to be 2931 CPs. A recommended minimum sample size of 340 was calculated using Raosoft sample size calculator providing a confidence level of 95% with a margin of error of 5%. 35 A report by Sitzia and Wood noted that mean response rates for face-to-face surveys was 76.6%, therefore, in an attempt to maximise the number of responses, 596 surveys were distributed. Community pharmacies within the research area were assigned a number; this was then randomised using an online randomisation tool. The data collection aspect of this study was carried out by multiple research students (N=6) who were each assigned a different area in Greater London to collect survey responses. The majority of surveys were hand delivered with researchers encouraging face-to-face completion. For those respondents who could not complete the survey immediately, the researcher either agreed a future date to collect the survey or provided them with a stamped address envelope to post the survey back. All CPs were given a participant information sheet (PIS) and asked to complete and return their survey within two weeks. The researcher telephoned every CP after this deadline to check if they had returned their survey and to encourage them to do so if they had not. For those who had misplaced their survey a new one was distributed by post with a stamped addressed envelope included to encourage its return. An online survey was also offered to aid completion. Completion of the survey was accepted as informed consent.

Statistical analyses

Responses were coded and entered into SPSS for Windows, version 23 (International Business Machines (IBM), New York). As the data was non-normally distributed and ordinal in nature, chi-square tests were used to identify any associations between responses. Sub-analyses were performed by respondents' gender, age, ethnicity, type of pharmacy worked in and number of years qualified. An *a priori* level of less than 0.05 (p<0.05) was set as significant.

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

Phase 2 – Semi-structured interviews

Six months after completion of the survey, all respondents who indicated that they were willing to participate in the second phase of the study were invited for a semi-structured interview. Of the 257 CPs who completed the survey, 50 included their contact details for interview. All 50 CPs were sent a PIS, explaining what the interview would entail. Two weeks later they were contacted to confirm if they had read the PIS and to ask if they were still willing to participate in the study. Twenty CPs declined citing "lack of time" as their main reason. Those who confirmed their interest were sent a consent form to sign and return in a stamped-addressed envelope and told that they would be contacted in due course. Twenty-five CPs returned their consent forms and a time schedule for interviews was prepared. Data saturation was achieved following 14 interviews, however, a further six interviews were conducted.³⁷ Conducting interviews with the remaining 5 CPs was deemed unnecessary and they were thanked for their willingness to participate. The interview schedule was designed to allow respondents to expand on their survey responses and consisted of 19 questions (Appendix 2). This was piloted by 5 CPs (who were then excluded from the data analysis) and no changes were recommended. Interviews were conducted between November and December 2016 by one researcher.

Interviews were conducted either at the place of work of the CP, with only the interviewer and interviewee present, or over the telephone. Each interview took approximately 15 minutes to complete. These were digitally audio-recorded with the permission of the interviewee. Hand-written notes were also taken during the interview. Verbatim written transcripts of each recording were prepared and participants were sent a password-protected digital copy of their own transcript via email and asked to comment on its accuracy. Only one respondent replied to this request and added no new information to the transcript.

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

Thematic analysis, as described by Braun and Clarke, ³⁸ was used in this study. Initial codes were identified by firstly listening to the recorded interviews and reading and re-reading the written transcripts and hand-written notes. Once all transcripts had been read and re-read and all emerging codes had been identified the analytical framework was developed further.³⁹ The coded transcripts were checked by a second researcher. A discussion followed between the two researchers and codes were then arranged into broad categories, namely CPs role in public health; Barriers to CP public health role; Opportunities for using technology in public health; and barriers for using technology in public health. These categories were then examined and grouped into two meaningful themes. Coding and thematic analysis, were managed in NVivo qualitative data analysis Software, version 11 (QSR International Pty Ltd). Results are presented as themes with quotes from interviews used to support these. Following a similar approach to Morton et al.⁴ participants were provided with pseudonyms indicating: the type of community pharmacy worked in; the participant number; and number of years qualified. For example, participant "IndepCP1 (8 years)" would refer to a community pharmacist working in an independent/small chain pharmacy, qualified for 8 years; while participant "MultiCP1 (5 years)" would refer to a community pharmacist working in a large multiple chain pharmacy and qualified for 5 years.

199	Ethical approval
200	The delegated ethical approval team operating within the academic institute of the authors
201	granted ethical approval for the survey tool in March 2016 (1213/045) and the interview
202	schedule on 18 th November 2016 (1617/005).
203	
204	RESULTS
205	In order to reach the recommended minimum sample size (N=340), 596 surveys were
206	distributed. Of these, a total of 257 were completed, giving a response rate of 43%. Those
207	who completed the survey were mostly under 35, which matches the English CP
208	demographic statistics (see table 1). Respondents were not representative of English CP
209	statistics in relation to gender and ethnicity, with male respondents (58%) and non-white
210	respondents (80.8%) being over-represented.
211	
212	Pharmacist delivery of public health services and campaigns
213	Regardless of the demographics, over half the respondents (n=140, 54.9%) had delivered at
214	least one public health campaign during the previous year. The most common communication
215	methods used to follow-up with those patients who had interacted with the health campaigns
216	included: face-to-face consultation (63.4%); and telephone call (23.6%). Email
217	correspondence and an interaction on social media accounted for just 4.3% and 1.4%
218	respectively.
219	
220	Of those who did not deliver any public health campaigns during the previous year (n=115,
221	45.1%), lack of time (82.6%) was given as the number one barrier that had prevented them
222	from doing so.

224	Use of social media
225	Almost three-quarters (n=187, 72.8%) of respondents have an account on SM with 77.5% of
226	these logging on at least once daily. Facebook was the most popular platform followed by
227	LinkedIn, YouTube, Instagram and Twitter. Those under 35 were more likely to have a SM
228	account (p=0.021) as were those working for a pharmacy multiple (p=0.011). There was no
229	association between the type of pharmacy worked in and age.
230	
231	Over half of those who use SM (n=107, 57.2%) do so in a professional capacity with 34% of
232	these choosing to have separate personal and professional accounts. CPs used SM to connect
233	with other CPs (82.2%); to stay up-to-date with health literature (39.3%); and to connect with
234	other healthcare professionals (37.4%). A minority (15.0%) did so to connect with patients.
235	
236	Over a third of those who use SM (n=65, 34.9%) were allowed to do so at their workplace.
237	CPs working at independent or small chain pharmacies were more likely to be allowed to use
238	SM at work (p=0.001). Despite being allowed to, only eight respondents used SM at work to
239	promote public health topics. Reasons for not recommending SM health pages included: not
240	aware of any health SM pages (56.4%) and never thought to suggest (42.4%). CPs did note,
241	however, that patients often asked them to discuss information they had found on SM (n =
242	90, 35.0%). Frequently, the information referred to by patients was inaccurate, with CPs
243	believing it to be from advertisements or unregulated SM pages.
244	
245	Most CPs were positive about the potential use of SM as a tool in health promotion, however,
246	a large proportion were reluctant to use it in their own communication with patients. In
247	addition, many were unsure about integrating SM into pharmacy services with nearly three-
248	quarters indicating that better guidelines were needed on how CPs could use SM (see table

249	2a). It was noted that the under 35s were consistently more positive about the use of SM in
250	health promotion than the over 35s (see table 3). There were no statistical differences in
251	opinions based on gender or ethnicity.
252	
253	Asked if they would promote an SM health page created and maintained by healthcare
254	professionals over three-quarters (n=202, 78.6%) stated that they would. The under 35s were
255	more likely to recommend such a page (p<0.001). Almost two-thirds (n=128, 63.4%) of those
256	who would recommend a SM health page would also be willing to prepare health-related
257	posts for the page with the under 35s being more likely to be prepared to do so (p<0.001).
258	Many (66%) would, however, expect some form of remuneration ranging from between £1
259	and £20 per health post published. Topics to promote included: smoking cessation (95.5%),
260	diabetes (83.2%), physical activity (78.7%), sexual health (77.2%), weight management
261	(77.2%) and alcohol awareness (76.7%).
262	
263	Liability and accountability (53.8%); concerns about patient confidentiality (51.9%); and lack
264	of understanding of how to use SM (38.5%) were the main reasons given by those who would
265	not recommend a SM page created and maintained by healthcare professionals (n=55).
266	
267	Use of mobile health apps
268	Almost two-thirds (n=162, 63%) of respondents have access to a smart phone or tablet device
269	in their pharmacy. Despite this only 13.2% recommend any MH apps to patients for health
270	advice. There were no significant differences based on gender, age, ethnicity or the type of
271	pharmacy worked in. Reasons for not recommending any MH apps included: not aware of
272	any MH apps (61.1%); never thought to suggest it (46.3%); and don't trust MH apps (17.9%).

273	As with SM, most CPs were positive about the potential use of MH apps as tools in health
274	promotion but again many were reluctant to use them in their own practice currently. A large
275	proportion felt that better guidelines were needed to support CPs to use MH apps. (see table
276	2b) with the under 35s again being more likely to support their use (see table 3).
277	
278	Respondents were positive about recommending a MH app created and maintained by
279	healthcare professionals (83.7%) with the under 35s again more likely to recommend this
280	(p<0.001). Recommended topics to include in such an app included smoking cessation
281	(94.9%), physical activity (85%), diabetes (85%), weight management (79.9%) and sexual
282	health (79.4%). Those who would not recommend such an app to patients stated reasons
283	including a concern about patient confidentiality (46.3%), liability and accountability
284	(39.0%).
285	
286	Interviews
287	In this study the final sample size was 20 participants. Demographics of those interviewed
288	can be found in table 4 . Two key themes emerged from the analysis:
289	• The role of CPs in public health
290	• Concerns and opportunities for the use of technology in public health
291	
292	The role of CPs in public health
293	All interviewees stated that they thought the profession had an important role to play in
294	public health citing reasons including: the pharmacist is accessible without an appointment
295	and pharmacies are in convenient locations.
296	

297	"I do positively believe that we have a very strong role in public health – in everything –
298	good lifestyle advice, essential in diabetics - overweight, dietary advice, walking - correct
299	exercise for age, stop smoking" IndepCP6 (19 years)
300	
301	Common barriers identified by interviewees as being limiting factors in their public health
302	role included lack of remuneration, lack of time, poor commissioning decisions and lack of
303	national service commissioning. But one CP in particular felt that the pharmacy profession
304	did not know how to maximise its opportunities.
305	
306	"I don't think we are that good at proactively offering public health advice and services to
307	people that are just coming in to the pharmacy to collect their prescriptions or buy things
308	over-the-counter. We are not making the most of the opportunities" IndepCP4 (8 years)
309	
310	Some CPs (n=3/20) felt frustrated by commissioning decisions made within their locality and
311	believed that they could do much more in the domain of public health if they were supported
312	by commissioners.
313	
314	"We're a 100-hour pharmacy so we are open a lot when we explain that to the local
315	authority they say, 'The other pharmacy is already offering this service.' Yes, but they are
316	only open 45-hours per week. We're open over two times more we can't provide the service
317	because they won't provide us with the funding." IndepCP8 (12 years)
318	
319	"For the majority of public health services there's no consistency – one borough does
320	smoking and not the other. One borough gives vitamins to children and not the other - it's a
321	mess." IndepCP10 (30 years)

322	This highlights that CPs do not feel listened to by commissioners and that they are being
323	overlooked for new public health service opportunities. The commissioning of the national
324	flu service, however, was highlighted by one interviewee as the exemplar model for
325	pharmacy service commissioning.
326	
327	"If you look at the flu jab, over the years we are doing more because everyone is doing it.
328	The public is aware that if you want a flu jab you can go to the GP or pharmacy – it's well
329	promoted." IndepCP10 (30 years)
330	
331	Some CPs (n=7/20) prioritise services based on the remuneration offered. The changing
332	nature of their job role also appears to be a challenge, particularly in relation to finding the
333	time to offer public health services.
334	
335	"The incentive to do more is always going to be driven by money. I know lots of pharmacists
336	who don't actively take part in certain public health services because they feel it's not
337	remunerated properly." MultiCP8 (18 years)
338	
339	" the problem with services is that you have so much else to do. And I do over 12,000 items
340	so you know it's really busy so to go into the consultation room and then come out, you just
341	get daggers from everybody. MultiCP4 (4 years)
342	
343	Interestingly, the role of pharmacy support staff was highlighted by a number of interviewees
344	(n=5/20) as a way to support patients.
345	

346	"So I think the pharmacist is important but the role of support staff is even more important as
347	they may be the first person that a patient comes across" MutliCP6 (10 years)
348	
349	Concerns and opportunities for the use of technology in public health
350	The majority (n=16/20) of CPs were positive about the use of technology, in particular SM
351	and MH apps, as tools in public health service delivery as a means to enable them to reach
352	those people who do not visit a pharmacy.
353	
354	"You may appeal to more people on social media who don't necessarily come into your
355	pharmacy." IndepCP4 (8 years)
356	
357	CPs identified a number of barriers that they felt would prevent them from using technology
358	in public health. The main barriers were related to liability and privacy concerns. However,
359	while some CPs (n=5/20) had concerns about the privacy of patients on digital mediums,
360	others (n=8/20) felt that people today are much more open to sharing information about
361	themselves online. They felt that pharmacy needed to embrace the changing nature of
362	communication or risk being left behind.
363	
364	"If someone is talking about lower urinary tract infection – it's a personal matter if you
365	start talking about that in a public forum, it's very sensitive, embarrassing for an adult."
366	IndepCP6 (19 years)
367	
368	"Modern 21st century people are much more open to things – it's about sharing, it's about
369	understanding their illness, and it's about using technology It's a good thing -it's the way

370	forward, there's no choice, nothing is going to stop it, it's going to happen anyway so we
371	might as well embrace it" IndepCP2 (13 years)
372	
373	Another concern for CPs (n=6/20) using SM to communicate with the public was the risk of
374	intrusion into their private life. Some (n=3/20) also felt that it would have an impact on the
375	pharmacist-patient relationship.
376	
377	"The 24-7 nature of social media. Once you're finished a long day you don't want it
378	infiltrating your home so it can tend to be invasive." MultiCP8 (18 years)
379	
380	"I wouldn't want to socialise with patients on social media, I would like to keep a
381	professional relationship". IndepCP7 (27 years)
382	
383	Others (n=2/20) worried that face-to-face consultations would decline, possibly revealing that
384	the public cannot make decisions about their own health without HCP support.
385	
386	" if we only go to social media then we are really going to lose that face-to-face contact."
387	MultiCP2 (6 years)
388	
389	CPs (n=7/20) were concerned about the risks of patients misinterpreting information posted
390	on SM as they may be held to account if something went wrong.
391	
392	" it's quite difficult to control and you're providing information that could be
393	misunderstood. With some forms of social media you have limited characters e.g. Twitter,

394	you can't really say everything you need to tell them in that space - I'd be quite wary of the
395	liability involved and you haven't got insurance for your social media profile."
396	MultiCP8 (18 years)
397	
398	However, a number of CPs (n=3/20) had already cautiously started using technology in their
399	public health communications with patients while taking a number of steps to reduce any risk
400	of liability associated with their promotion of health information on digital tools.
401	
402	"We have a pharmacy Facebook page rather than re-writing our own articles we rather
403	just share articles from NHS choices directly onto social media, because someone could
404	potentially claim that we are giving wrong information – so if we take it from CKS or NHS
405	Choices – we are in safe hands – we share information already created by the NHS."
406	IndepCP8 (12 years)
407	
408	Lack of skills in the use of technology was not necessarily seen as a barrier for some
409	pharmacists as they felt that their support staff would have an important role in the use of
410	these new tools. Given the role of pharmacy support staff as health champions in Healthy
411	Living Pharmacies (HLP), there may be scope to expand this role to include the championing
412	of digital interventions.
413	
414	" the pharmacist can prepare a message and staff could share it on social media – they're
415	quicker and better at the technology." IndepCP9 (24 years)
416	
417	On the other hand, a number of CPs (n=3/20) highlighted that, with the right training, they
418	would be happy to utilise technology in their practice.

"Someone needs to hold our hand and guide us through the maze – basic training -
youngsters have grown up with these things - they grow up with it from day one - using a
computer is no big deal to them – pharmacists in their 50's haven't" IndepCP9 (24 years)

DISCUSSION

This study has identified that Greater London CPs feel that they have an important role to play in public health but that barriers such as lack of time, lack of remuneration and disjointed commissioning decisions are preventing them from doing more. The barriers identified are the same as those noted in previous research,² however, what this study highlights is that despite an awareness of what the common barriers have been in the past, nothing has changed. Cain et al.¹⁴ noted that digital mediums could become the preferred sources of information for patients, or they could at least become an alternative to face-to-face contact when this is not possible.⁸ These mediums may, therefore, bridge the gap and offer CPs a new approach for communicating public health messages, with Shaw et al.¹¹ noting that SM offers HCPs an opportunity to provide "just-in-time" advice to patients.

CPs felt that tools, such as SM health pages and MH apps, could be used more often in the delivery of public health services but that these would need to be created and maintained by healthcare professionals. This mirrors findings by Ghafoor et al.²³ who noted that the public were more likely to use a digital health tool if it was endorsed by a trusted source. Interestingly, in this study more CPs were prepared to recommend MH apps than SM health pages. Barriers reported about the use of SM included issues associated with confidentiality and patient privacy as well as the impact on the CP-patient relationship. CPs were also concerned that using SM to communicate with patients could potentially intrude into their personal life. Denecke et al.³¹ studied the ethical issues associated with using SM in

healthcare and noted that HCPs were often concerned about patient privacy and confidentiality on SM and that these issues would need to be addressed if SM were to be used more often in healthcare. Benetoli et al.²⁸ pointed out that a CPs online behaviour could affect the public's perceptions of them in their professional role. CPs, therefore, need to be conscious about their professional values online, just as they would in real life. For this reason some CPs in this study chose to have separate SM accounts, with one for their professional life and the other for personal use. Similar findings were also noted by Cain et al.¹⁴

452

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

Another key finding of this study is that age is a factor in CP perceptions about the use of SM and MH apps in pharmacy public health services. CPs under 30 are more open to using these tools. Similar findings have been previously reported by Shcherbakova and Shepherd¹⁷ who noted that CPs involved in patient online communications in their study were more likely to be younger, recently qualified, and living in metropolitan areas. A previous study¹³ noted that some CPs see the pharmacy profession as being risk averse and reluctant to change. Older adults have been noted to be more risk averse than younger adults, 40 which may explain why older CPs are more reluctant to recommend SM and MH apps. In addition, Cain et al. 14 identified that the reasons that HCPs don't use SM to interact with patients is to do with their own familiarity with the software. This theme is similar to that highlighted in the interviews in this study. Those under 30 are more likely to have grown up with SM and MH apps and so are referred to as "digital natives" while those over 30 have been described as "digital immigrants". ⁴¹ Therefore, familiarity with and perceptions about the ease of use of these tools may make the under 30s more open to using them in a professional capacity. Many will also have used these new technologies in their undergraduate pharmacy training.²⁷ This is linked to the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which highlights that those who perceive new

technology to be useful and easy to use are more likely to incorporate it into their professional practice.⁴² This indicates that improving the digital literacy of CPs, and pharmacy team members in general, is important, with another study¹³ pointing out that pharmacy teams may need to learn a whole new "skill set". This study also noted that the use of SM while at work is dependent on the type of community pharmacy worked in. Those working in independent or small chain pharmacies were more likely to be allowed to use SM at work compared to those working for large chain pharmacies.

Despite the majority of CPs using SM and MH apps for personal reasons many stated that they had simply not thought to recommend these to patients, similar to a study from 2010.³⁰ Some pointed out that they had consciously decided not to recommend these, due to concerns about recommending tools that they didn't know much about themselves. Lack of awareness of the digital tools available was also highlighted by Kayyali et al.²² A concerning finding in this study, however, is that CPs have been approached by the public to discuss information that they have accessed on digital mediums. CPs often found the information to be inaccurate with the sources cited being advertisements and unregulated SM health pages. These findings were expanded upon in the interviews. This all highlights that the public are already using these digital mediums to search for health information and that CPs cannot ignore this. CPs must strive to incorporate these mediums into their communication with patients to maximise their impact on public health.

In terms of the facilitators that could help CPs in their public health role, pharmacists noted that support staff could be utilised more. This perception is mirrored by the Healthy Living Pharmacy model which recognises the important role that healthcare assistants can play in supporting patients to make positive lifestyle changes.⁵ Donovan and Paudyal⁵ suggest that

engaging support staff and tailoring training for particular public health topics is the best way to drive the health champion initiative. The concept of the health champion could be expanded further to include a role as a digital champion. As more members of the general public utilise SM and MH apps it is important that the pharmacy profession embraces this change.

CPs in this study also highlighted that they were concerned that face-to-face contact with patients would diminish if these communication tools were used more often. These fears were echoed by CPs and other HCPs in a study by Kayyali et al.¹⁹ Other participants, however, did feel that digital tools would be of particular benefit to CPs as a way to connect with people who do not normally use pharmacies. Similar to telehealth, the use of SM and MH apps will not substitute face-to-face contact but will provide an opportunity for CPs to enhance their role in public health.⁴³

The study had a number of limitations. Firstly, the sample demographic was not fully representative of CPs in Greater London and England in terms of gender and ethnicity. While the proportion of under 35s surveyed was equivalent to the local and national statistics, they were consistently more positive in their perceptions of SM in healthcare than the over 35s. This may have skewed the results more favourably for the use of SM in pharmacy public health. Secondly, despite adopting a number of different survey collection strategies the sample size was below that recommended by the sample size calculator to provide a 95% confidence level with 5% margin of error. Thirdly, those who accepted our invitation to take part in the interview may have been more biased towards the use of SM and MH apps in healthcare, however, saturation of themes was achieved. Fourthly, the interchangeable use of the terms customer and patient in the survey tool may have affected CP responses. Finally,

the demographic section of the survey did not ask about participant job role e.g. locum
pharmacist, pharmacist manager. As a result, some of the responses from transient CPs may
have skewed the data giving the indication that many community pharmacies do not deliver
the required six public health campaigns each year.

CONCLUSION

Restrictions in time and lack of remuneration are barriers preventing CPs from being more
active in public health. SM health pages and MH apps offer innovative ways to deliver public
health messages. CPs do have concerns about the use of these tools in public health,
specifically relating to privacy and their own understanding of these mediums, however, they
are willing to recommend these to their patients if they are evidence-based and are created
and maintained by HCPs. Pharmacists in this study indicated that better guidelines and
training need to be provided. These should address topics such as: how to use different SM
platforms; how to post information on SM; and how to identify suitable SM resources and
MH apps to recommend to patients. This will allow the whole pharmacy team to interact with
the public on mediums that they are already using. With a rising public health burden and the
already announced NHS funding cuts, the use of SM and MH apps offer CPs an opportunity
to enhance their reach in PH and to achieve better PH outcomes

Conflicts of interest: none

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thanks the pharmacists who took part in this study.

Funding

- 542 This work was supported by the National Pharmacy Association Health Education
- 543 Foundation (NPA HEF).

544	REF	ERE	NCES

- 545 1. Murray R. Independent Review of Community Pharmacy Clinical Services. *NHS*
- 546 England Independent Review. https://www.england.nhs.uk/commissioning/primary-
- 547 <u>care-comm/pharmacy/ind-review-cpcs/</u>; 2016 Accessed 06.01.2017.
- 548 2. Eades CE, Ferguson JS, O'Carroll RE. Public health in community pharmacy: A
- systematic review of pharmacist and consumer views. *BMC Public Health*.
- 550 2011;11:582. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-582.
- 551 3. Local Government Association. The community pharmacy offer for improving the
- public's health. https://www.local.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/community-
- pharmacy-offer--9b3.pdf; 2016 Accessed 13.07.2017.
- 4. Morton K, Pattison H, Langley C, Powell R. A qualitative study of English community
- pharmacists' experiences of providing lifestyle advice to patients with cardiovascular
- disease. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2015;11:e17-29. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2014.04.006.
- 557 5. Donovan GR, Paudyal V. England's Healthy Living Pharmacy (HLP) initiative:
- Facilitating the engagement of pharmacy support staff in public health. Res Soc Adm
- *Pharm.* 2015;44. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2015.05.010.
- 6. Robinson S. How cuts to public health funding could affect pharmacy. *Pharm J*.
- 561 2015;295:372-374. doi:10.1211/PJ.2015.20200151.
- 7. Yardley L, Ware LJ, Smith ER, et al. Randomised controlled feasibility trial of a web-
- based weight management intervention with nurse support for obese patients in
- primary care. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2014;11:67. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-11-67.
- 565 8. Chou WS, Prestin A, Lyons C, Wen K. Web 2.0 for health promotion: reviewing the
- current evidence. *Am J Public Health*. 2013;103:e9-18.
- 567 doi:10.2105/AJPH.2012.301071.
- 568 9. Benetoli A, Chen TF, Aslani P. The use of social media in pharmacy practice and

- education. *Res Soc Adm Pharm*. 2015;11:1-46. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2014.04.002.
- 570 10. Aungst TD. Medical Applications for Pharmacists Using Mobile Devices. *Ann*
- 571 *Pharmacother*. 2013;47:1088-1095. doi:10.1345/aph.1S035.
- 572 11. Shaw T, McGregor D, Brunner M, et al. What is eHealth? Development of a
- 573 Conceptual Model for eHealth: Qualitative Study with Key Informants. *J Med Internet*
- 574 *Res.* 2017;19:e324. doi:10.2196/jmir.8106.
- 575 12. Free C, Phillips G, Galli L, et al. The Effectiveness of Mobile-Health Technology-
- Based Health Behaviour Change or Disease Management Interventions for Health
- 577 Care Consumers: A Systematic Review. Cornford T, ed. *PLoS Med*.
- 578 2013;10:e1001362. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001362.
- 579 13. Benetoli A, Chen TF, Schaefer M, Chaar B, Aslani P. Do pharmacists use social media
- for patient care? *Int J Clin Pharm*. 2017;39:364-372. doi:10.1007/s11096-017-0444-4.
- 581 14. Cain J, Romanelli F, Fox B. Pharmacy, social media, and health: Opportunity for
- 582 impact. J Am Pharm Assoc. 2010;50:745-751. doi:10.1331/JAPhA.2010.09190.
- 583 15. Moorhead SA, Hazlett DE, Harrison L, Carroll JK, Irwin A, Hoving C. A new
- dimension of health care: systematic review of the uses, benefits, and limitations of
- social media for health communication. *J Med Internet Res.* 2013;15:e85.
- 586 doi:10.2196/jmir.1933.
- 587 16. Burke-Garcia A, Scally G. Trending now: future directions in digital media for the
- public health sector. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2014;36:527-534.
- 589 doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdt125.
- 590 17. Shcherbakova N, Shepherd M. Community pharmacists, Internet and social media: An
- empirical investigation. *Res Soc Adm Pharm.* 2014;10:75-85.
- 592 doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2013.11.007.
- 593 18. Freeman B, Chapman S. Gone viral? Heard the buzz? A guide for public health

- 594 practitioners and researchers on how Web 2.0 can subvert advertising restrictions and spread health information. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2008;62:778-782. 595 doi:10.1136/jech.2008.073759. 596 19. Kayyali R, Hesson I, Mahdi A, Hamzat O, Adu A. Telehealth: Misconceptions and 597 Experiences of Healthcare Professionals in England. Int J Pharm Pract. 2017; 25:203-598 209. doi: 10.1111/ijpp.12340. 599 Aungst TD, Clauson KA, Misra S, Lewis TL, Husain I. How to identify, assess and 600 20. utilise mobile medical applications in clinical practice. Int J Clin Pract. 2014;68:155-601 602 162. doi:10.1111/ijcp.12375. 21. Mosa ASM, Yoo I, Sheets L. A systematic review of healthcare applications for 603 smartphones. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2012;12:67. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-604 605 67. 22. Kayyali R, Peletidi A, Ismail M, Hashim Z, Bandeira P, Bonnah J. Awareness and Use 606 of mHealth Apps: A Study from England. Pharmacy. 2017;5:33. 607 doi:10.3390/pharmacy5020033. 608 23. Ghafoor S, Kayyali R, Nabhani S, Sobnath D, Philip N. Evaluating patients' 609 acceptability of alternative means of support for oral chemotherapy counselling and 610 side effect management using a smartphone application. *Int J Pharm Pract*. 611 2013;21:27-28. 612 Direito A, Pfaeffli Dale L, Shields E, Dobson R, Whittaker R, Maddison R. Do 613 24. physical activity and dietary smartphone applications incorporate evidence-based 614 behaviour change techniques? BMC Public Health. 2014;14:646. doi:10.1186/1471-615 616 2458-14-646.
- 618 https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/householdcharacteristics/ho

Office of National Statistics. Internet access – households and individuals.

25.

617

- 619 <u>meinternetandsocialmediausage/bulletins/internetaccesshouseholdsandindividuals/201</u>
- 620 <u>6</u>; 2016 Accessed 15.07.2017.
- 621 26. Statista. Facebook: global daily active users 2017.
- https://www.statista.com/statistics/346167/facebook-global-dau/; 2017 Accessed
- 623 14.10.2017.
- 624 27. Mawdsley A, Schafheutle EI. Using Facebook to support learning and exam
- preparation in a final-year undergraduate pharmacy clinical therapeutics module. *Curr*
- 626 *Pharm Teach Learn.* 2015;7(6):869-875. doi:10.1016/j.cptl.2015.08.010.
- 627 28. Benetoli A, Chen TF, Schaefer M, Chaar B, Aslani P. Pharmacists' perceptions of
- professionalism on social networking sites. *Res Soc Adm Pharm.* 2016; 13:575-588.
- doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2016.05.044.
- 630 29. Petrakaki D, Barber N, Waring J. The possibilities of technology in shaping healthcare
- professionals: (re/de-)professionalisation of pharmacists in England. *Soc Sci Med.*
- 632 2012;75:429-437. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.03.033.
- 633 30. Alkhateeb FM, Clauson KA, Latif DA. Pharmacist use of social media. *Int J Pharm*
- 634 *Pract.* 2011;19:140-142. doi:10.1111/j.2042-7174.2010.00087.
- 635 31. Denecke K, Bamidis P, Bond C, et al. Ethical Issues of Social Media Usage in
- Healthcare. *IMIA Yearb Med Informatics*. 2015;10:137-147. doi:10.15265/IY-2015-
- 637 001.
- 638 32. Jick TD. Mixing Qualitative and Quantitative Methods: Triangulation in Action. Adm
- 639 *Sci Q.* 1979;24:602. doi:10.2307/2392366.
- Hassali MA, Subish P, Shafie AA, Ibrahim M. Perceptions and Barriers towards
- Provision of Health Promotion Activities among Community Pharmacists in the State
- of Penang, Malaysia. J Clin Diagnostic Res 2009;3: 1562-1568.
- 643 34. Kelly G, Micallef R, Fleming G, Shamin A. The Community Pharmacy Workforce in

644 London. Health Education England. 2015. 35. Raosoft. Sample Size Calculator by Raosoft, Inc. 645 http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html; 2004 Accessed 06.01,2016 646 36. Sitzia J, Wood N. Response rate in patient satisfaction research: An analysis of 210 647 published studies. Int J Qual Heal Care. 1998;10:311-317. 648 doi:10.1093/intqhc/10.4.311. 649 Guest G, Bunce A, Johnson L. How Many Interviews Are Enough? Field methods. 650 37. 2006;18:59-82. doi:10.1177/1525822X05279903. 651 38. Clarke V, Braun V. Thematic analysis. In: Encyclopedia of Critical Psychology. New 652 York, NY: Springer New York; 2014:1947-1952. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-5583-653 7_311. 654 655 39. Ritchie J, Spencer L. Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In: Bryman A, Burgess R, editors. Analysing qualitative data. London: Routledge; 1993. pp. 173– 656 194. 657 Albert S, Duffy J. Differences in risk aversion between young and older adults. 40. 658 Neurosci neuroeconomics. 2012;2:3-9. doi:10.2147/NAN.S27184. 659 41. Prensky M. Teaching digital natives: Partnering for real learning. Corwin Press. 1st ed, 660 London: Sage Publications; 2010. 661 42. Venkatesh V, Davis FD. A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: 662 663 Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*. 2000;46(2):186-204 43. Bradford NK, Caffery LJ, Smith AC. Awareness, experiences and perceptions of 664 telehealth in a rural Queensland community. BMC Health Serv Res. 2015;15:427. 665 doi:10.1186/s12913-015-1094-7. 666

667

668

 Table 1: Demographics of respondents

669

Survey data			National statistics of community pharmacist workforce (%)		
	Count	%	England	l	
	(n=)				
Gender (N=257)					
Male	149	58.0	Male	40.6	
Female	106	41.2	Female	59.4	
Not stated	2	0.8			
Age (N=257)					
Under 24	19	7.4	Under 35	54.1	
24-35 years	114	44.4	Over 35	45.9	
36-45 years	50	19.5			
46-55 years	36	14.0			
56-65 years	35	13.6			
66-75 years	3	1.2			
Ethnicity (N=255)					
White	49	19.2	White	61.3	
Mixed	12	4.7	Non-white	38.7	
Indian	93	36.5			
Pakistani	35	13.7			
Bangladeshi	11	4.3			
Other Asian	13	5.1			
Black Caribbean	6	2.4			
Black African	21	8.2			
Chinese	10	3.9			
Any other ethnicity	5	2.0			
Type of pharmacy (N=254)					
Independent/small	162	63.8	Independent/small	45.21	
multiple (2-10			multiples		
pharmacies)			•		
Large multiple (more	92	36.2	Large multiples	54.79	
than 10 pharmacies)			5 1		
Years qualified (N=256)					
1-2 years	58	22.7			
3-6 years	69	27.0			
7-10 years	34	13.3			
11-20 years	29	11.3			
21-30 years	32	12.5			
> 31 years	34	13.3			

 Table 2a: Pharmacist perceptions of the use of social media

	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree
Social media has a potential to become an established channel for patient–pharmacist communication	18 (7%)	27 (10.5%)	50 (19.5%)	109 (42.4%)	53 (20.6%)
Social media can be effectively used by pharmacists to improve patient communication	11 (4.3%)	35 (13.6%)	58 (22.6%)	101 (39.3%)	52 (20.2%)
Social media needs to be used more at my workplace in communicating with patients	23 (8.9%)	44 (17.1%)	85 (33.1%)	69 (26.8%)	36 (14%)
Social media may enhance pharmacist/patient relationships	19 (7.4%)	29 (11.3%)	81 (31.5%)	80 (31.1%)	48 (18.7%)
Social media may improve patients' quality of life	19 (7.4%)	27 (10.5%)	87 (33.9%)	80 (31.1%)	44 (17.1%)
Social media should be integrated with pharmacy services	29 (11.3%)	34 (13.2%)	77 (30%)	77 (30%)	40 (15.6%)
Social media changes the way patients and pharmacists interact	19 (7.4%)	19 (7.4%)	75 (29.2%)	87 (33.9%)	57 (22.2%)
Social media takes too much time to communicate with patients	22 (8.6%)	47 (18.4%)	85 (33.2%)	63 (24.6%)	39 (15.2%)
Social media may improve patients' knowledge	14 (5.5%)	22 (8.6%)	70 (27.3%)	97 (37.9%)	53 (20.7%)
Social media may cause patients to challenge pharmacists' knowledge	14 (5.4%)	25 (9.7%)	65 (25.3%)	79 (30.7%)	74 (28.8%)
Better guidelines should be provided to help guide the pharmacist on the use of social media	8 (3.1%)	12 (4.7%)	57 (22.2%)	78 (30.4%)	102 (39.7%)

Adapted from the survey tool created by Shcherbakova N, Shepherd M. Community pharmacists, Internet and social media: An empirical investigation. *Res Soc Adm Pharm*. 2014;10:75-85.

Table 2b: Pharmacist perceptions of the use of mobile health apps

	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree
Mobile health apps have the potential to become an established tool in pharmacy service delivery	13 (5.1%)	14 (5.4%)	67 (26.1%)	117 (45.5%)	46 (17.9%)
Mobile health apps need to be used more at my workplace when delivering pharmacy services	12 (4.7%)	38 (14.8%)	90 (35.2%)	87 (34%)	29 (11.3%)
Mobile health apps may improve patients' quality of life	7 (2.7%)	12 (4.7%)	89 (34.6%)	100 (38.9%)	49 (19.1%)
Mobile health apps should be integrated within pharmacy services	15 (5.8%)	25 (9.7%)	91 (35.4%)	90 (35%)	36 (14%)
Mobile health apps change the way patients and pharmacists interact	14 (5.4%)	23 (8.9%)	81 (31.5%)	100 (38.9%)	39 (15.2%)
Mobile health apps may improve patients' knowledge	7 (2.7%)	20 (7.8%)	68 (26.5%)	101 (39.3%)	61 (23.7%)
Mobile health apps may cause patients to challenge pharmacists' knowledge	13 (5.1%)	22 (8.6%)	74 (28.8%)	85 (33.1%)	63 (24.5%)
Better guidelines should be provided to help guide the pharmacist on the use of mobile health apps	7 (2.7%)	7 (2.7%)	67 (26.1%)	73 (28.4%)	103 (40.1%)

Adapted from the survey tool created by Shcherbakova N, Shepherd M. Community pharmacists, Internet and social media: An empirical investigation. *Res Soc Adm Pharm*. 2014;10:75-85.

Table 3: Significant differences in perspectives of pharmacists from different demographics on the use of social media and mobile health apps for health promotion

on the use of social meeta and moone	% of respondents who somewhat agree or agree	Statistical significance
Social media has a potential to become	under 35s - 71.5%	2
an established channel for patient— pharmacist communication	over 35s - 54%	χ^2 =11.068, p=0.026
Social media may improve patients'	under 35s - 57.1%	2
quality of life	over 35s - 38.7%	$\chi^2 = 11.409$, p=0.022
Social media changes the way patients	under 35s - 65.4%	$\chi^2 = 16.978$, p=0.002
and pharmacists interact	over 35s - 46% under 35s - 65.9%	
Social media may improve patients' knowledge	over 35s - 50.8%	χ^2 =10.927, p=0.027
Mobile health apps have the potential	under 35s - 71.4%	
to become an established tool in pharmacy service delivery	over 35s - 54.8%	$\chi^2 = 11.524$, p=0.021
Mobile health apps need to be used	under 35s - 56.1%	2
more at my workplace when delivering	over 35s - 33.9%	$\chi^2 = 13.870$, p=0.008
pharmacy services	under 35s - 67.7%	
Mobile health apps may improve patients' quality of life	over 35s - 47.6%	χ^2 =12.706, p=0.013
Mobile health apps should be integrated within pharmacy services	under 35s - 58.6% over 35s - 38.7%	χ^2 =11.590, p=0.021
Mobile health apps change the way patients and pharmacists interact	under 35s - 61.6% over 35s - 46%	χ^2 =17.622, p=0.001
Mobile health apps may improve patients' knowledge	under 35s - 76% over 35s - 49.2%	χ^2 =25.490, p<0.001
Mobile health apps may cause patients	under 35s - 63.1%	$\chi^2 = 14.055$, p=0.007
to challenge pharmacists' knowledge	over 35s - 51.6%	, 1

 χ^2 tests were carried out on responses comparing age, gender and ethnicity. This table only shows those comparisons that were significantly different. As is shown in the table there were statistical differences based on age but not based on gender or ethnicity.

 Table 4: Demographics of interviewees

Participant demographics	Count (n=)
Gender	
Male	11
Female	9
Age	
Under 24	1
24-35 years	10
36-45 years	3
46-55 years	4
56-65 years	2
Ethnicity	
White	5
Indian	7
Pakistani	2
Black African	3
Chinese	2
Any other ethnicity	1
Type of pharmacy	
Independent/small multiple (2-10 pharmacies)	12
Large multiple (more than 10 pharmacies)	8

Appendix 1: Pharmacist perceptions of the use of social media as a tool in health promotion

The survey is divided into 4 sections:

Α	The role of pharmacists in public health
В	The use of social media by pharmacists
С	The use of mobile health applications by pharmacists
D	Demographics

A. The role of pharmacists in public health

	A1. Which of the following advanced and enhanced services do you offer in your pharmacy? (Please tick ALL options that apply)						
	pharmacy? (Please tick ALL option Alcohol screening/brief intervention Chlamydia treatment Medicine Use Review Needle and syringe programme NHS health check Stop smoking Weight management Other		Chlar Emer Minor New Seas Supe	mydia screening regency hormonal contraception railments service Medicine Service onal influenza vaccination rvised administration (Go to question A9.)			
If 'C	Other', please state:	4	<u> </u>				
" (other, please state.						
	A2. How do you decide which service (Please tick ALL options that apply) Dictated by head office Based on research of health needs of Personal choice Other	of local a		Dictated by local authority			
It 'C	Other', please state:						
	A3. How do customers become aware of the services you offer? (Please tick ALL options that apply)						
	Informed by pharmacy staff Information in pharmacy window Information on pharmacy			Adverts in local papers Information on pharmacy website Information on pharmacy			
	social media page Notice in GP surgery Don't know			mobile application Word-of-mouth			
	DOLL KLIOM			Other			

If 'Other', please state:

A4. Please specify if you feel any of the following barriers are preventing you from delivering more services in your pharmacy. (Please tick ALL options that apply)													
	Lack of time Patients not interested Lack of personal interest Lack of support from local GP Lack of support from Local Authority Other							Lack of remuneration Patients not aware of services offered Lack of support from management Lack of support from pharmacy team Unsuitable consultation room					
If 'Oth	ner', ple	ase sta	te:										
Α	A5. Thinking about the current public health initiatives you deliver, and using the scale provided, how effective are they generally at promoting health behaviour change? (0 = not effective at all; 10 = very effective)												
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
A6.What do you think helps your patients to make a positive health behaviour change? (Please tick ALL options that apply)													
		Accou	ıntable t	o a hea	althcare			Support from family/friends					
_ _	Support from a group of similar people An awareness of the health risks associated with not changing behaviour								A behaviour change tool Don't know Other				
If 'Other', please state:													
A7. How do you encourage or support health behaviour change in patients? (Please tick ALL options that apply)													
	•		enefits		ing				Set and record goals over a period of time				
	Help	plan ch	anges in	n small	steps			Help patients feel positive about the change					
	Ensu	re patie	nts und	erstand				Encourage patient to share their					
	consequences of making changes to their health							goals v Other	goals with others Other				
If 'Oth	ner', ple	ase sta	te:										

A8. What resources do you signpost customers to when encouraging them to make health behaviour changes? (Please tick ALL options that apply)

	Company produced literature		Charity	produced literature
Please	e specify:	Please	specify	/:
	Health website		Social	media page
Please	e specify:	Please	specify	r:
	Mobile health app		Other	R '
Please	e specify:	Please	specify	<i>r</i> :
	Not applicable			
	.Have you delivered any public hea	alth can	npaign	s in the last year?
(Pi	lease tick ONE option) Yes			No (Go to question A13.)
A 1	0. For which of the following campaigns? (Please tick Al			ve you delivered public health at apply)
	Smoking cessation			Alcohol awareness
	Weight management			Sexual health
	Diabetes awareness			Physical activity
	Seasonal healthcare	T		Other
If 'Othe	er', please state:			
A1 (PI	1. Where did you deliver your lease tick ALL options that apply)	health	campa	uign(s)?
	Pharmacy			Shopping centre
	Local school			Community centre
	GP surgery			Online (Company website)
	Online (Social media page)			Other
If 'Othe	er', please state:			
A 1	2. How did you follow up w health campaign(s)? (Pleas			ople who interacted with your ons that apply)
	Telephone call			Email correspondence
	Newsletter			Text message
	Face-to-face consultation			Information leaflet
	Interaction on social media			Did not follow up
	Other and			
	Other			

Unless you have been directed to answer A13. please now go to section B

		What has pr last year? (P						th camp	paigns in the
	Lack of	time s not intereste personal inte support from	erest	Authorit	о о у о	Lack of	of remuneration of support from of support from of support from of support from	n mana(n pharm	acy team
If '	Other', pleas	se state:							<u> </u>
Ī		В.	The us	se of so	ocial me	edia by	pharmacists	2	
	B1.Do you	ı use social r	nedia?	(Please	e tick C	NE opt	tion)		
	Yes						No (Go to qu	uestion I	B12)
		social media k ALL option			lo you l	nave an	account with	า?	
	Facebo Twitter YouTub Other			Linked Instag Slides	gram	0	SnapChat Google+ Periscope		Whatsapp Pinterest Yik Yak
If '	Other' pleas	e state:							
		ould you bes k ONE optio		ribe yo	ur use	of socia	al media?		
	Equal p Exclusi	vely personal personal and p vely profession	orofessi onal		ssional		Predominan Predominan Not applicab ses, how do y	tly profe le	essional
	•	k ALL option		-	SSIUITAI	purpos	ses, now do y	ou use	itr
		nect with othe nect with pation		nacists		To sta	nnect with oth ay up-to-date v oplicable		
If '	Other' pleas	e state:							
	B5.Do you	ı have diffe	rent so	ocial m	edia a	ccounts	s for profess	ional a	nd personal

No

use? (Please tick ONE option)

Yes

		ur professional s le? (Please tick Ol		a account	anonymi	sed or is	your real name
	Anon	ymised			Not a	nonymise	d
	B7.lf you	ır account is anon	ymised, wh	at is the re	ason for	this?	
						/	8
	B8. Is the	e use of social me workplace? (Ple			ofessiona	al reasons	s allowed at your
	Yes				No (C	Continue to	B9.)
If '	Yes', do yo	ou use it to promote	public heal	th issues?			
If t	used for pu	ıblic health issues,	which topics	are promot	ed?		
	Seve Once	frequently do you personal and protest times a day a week than once monthly			e tick Of	NE option	
	B10.	Do you recomi advice? (Please			dia page	es to pat	ients for health
	Yes (Please specify ther	continue to	B12.)		No (Cor	tinue to B11.)
If y	yes, please	e specify which:	Y				
	B11. (Please t	If you haven't patients for hea cick ALL options th	ith advice, v				media pages to
		ware of any health feel confident using		. •			ist social media lought to suggest
If '	Other' plea	ase state:					
	B12.	Do customers e on social media				ormation	they have found
	Yes				No (P	lease go t	o B13.)

If you answ	rered yes to B12., was the information they found reliable?
Which socia	al media pages, if any, have customers referenced?
B13.	Please answer the following questions using the scale provided ¹ :

B13. Please answer the	following o	_l uestions us	ing the sca	le provided1:	7
	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree
Social media has a potential to become an established channel for patient–pharmacist communication ¹	0	0	0	0	0
Social media can be effectively used by pharmacists to improve patient communication ¹	0	0		0	0
Social media needs to be used more at my workplace in communicating with patients ¹	0	0	0	0	0
Social media may enhance pharmacist/patient relationships ¹	0	0	0	0	0
Social media may improve patients' quality of life ¹	0	0	0	0	0
Social media should be integrated with pharmacy services	0	0	0	0	0
Social media changes the way patients and pharmacists interact ¹	0	0	0	0	0
Social media takes too much time to communicate with patients ¹	0	0	0	0	0
Social media may improve patients' knowledge ¹	0	0	0	0	0
Social media may cause patients to challenge pharmacists' knowledge ¹	0	0	0	0	0
Better guidelines should be provided to help guide the pharmacist on the use of social	0	0	0	0	0

media

1. Shcherbakova, N and Shepherd, M.; Community pharmacists, internet and social media: An empirical investigation, Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, 10 (2014) p. e75-e85.

E	314.	If a social media page was created and maintained by healthcare professionals, would you recommend this to customers for health
		advice? (Please tick ONE option)
	Yes	□ No (Go to question B20)

	B15.		Which of the following health promotion topics do you think this page would be beneficial for? (Please tick ALL options that apply)					
	Smoki	ing cessation		Physical activity				
		ol awareness		Cancer				
		ıl health		Diabetes				
		t management		Antibiotic awareness				
	Other	· ·						
If '	Other' plea	se state:						
	B16.	Would you be willing t page? (Please tick ONE		nealth advice onto a social media				
	Yes			No (Go to section C)				
П	163		Ц	TVO (GO to section G)				
	B17.		d you prefer to	input this advice onto a social				
		media page?						
		(Please tick ALL option	s that apply)					
	Text		Video	□ Pictures				
	Blog		Other	- Hotares				
If '	Other' plea	se state:						
	B18.	Would you expect a for (Please tick ONE option		on for this additional service?				
	Yes			No				
If '	Yes', pleas	e estimate how much per i	information entry.					
	B19.	How often would you b information? (Please tid		ate your patients on health related				
	More	than twice daily		1-2 times per day				
		nes a week		1-2 times per day				
		han once a week		per				

Unless you have been directed to answer B20. please now go to Section C

B20.	Please specify why you would r by healthcare professionals. (Please tick ALL options that ap		mmend a social media page run
	o not understand how to use vial media		Liability and accountability
□ I an	n concerned about patient fidentiality		I do not perceive a benefit to using social media
	n concerned about the language		doing books modic
□ Oth			
If 'Other' pl	ease state:		
	C. The use of mobile health appl	lications	(apps) by pharmacists
	u have access to a smart phone or ta ck ONE option)	ablet dev	vice in your pharmacy?
□ Yes	3	_ <	No
	u recommend any mobile health app NE option)	os to pa	tients for health advice? (Please
□ Yes	s (Please specify which then continue t	o C4.)	□ No (Continue to C3.)
If yes, plea	se specify which:	Y	
is the	haven't previously recommended a reason for this? ck ALL options that apply)	any mob	ile health apps to patients, what
□ Not	aware of any mobile health apps		
	n't trust mobile health apps		
	n't feel confident using mobile health ap ver thought to suggest it	ops myse	elf
□ Nev			
If 'Other' pl	ease state:		
	stomers ever ask to discuss health i app? (Please tick ONE option)	informat	ion they have found on a mobile
□ Yes	;		No (Continue to question C5)
If you answ	vered yes to C4., was the information the	hey foun	d reliable?
Which mob	oile health applications, if any, have cus	stomers	referenced?

C5. Please answer the following questions using the scale provided:

	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree
Mobile health apps have the potential to become an established tool in pharmacy service delivery	0	0	0	0	0
Mobile health apps need to be used more at my workplace when delivering pharmacy services	0	0	0	0	0
Mobile health apps may improve patients' quality of life	0	0	0	0	0
Mobile health apps should be integrated within pharmacy services	0	0	0	0	0
Mobile health apps change the way patients and pharmacists interact	0	0	CO	0	0
Mobile health apps may improve patients' knowledge	0	0	0	0	0
Mobile health apps may cause patients to challenge pharmacists' knowledge	0	0	0	0	0
Better guidelines should be provided to help guide the pharmacist on the use of mobile health apps	0	0	0	0	0

	a mobile health app existed of of the control of th	created and maintained by healthcare o your customers?
	Yes	□ No (Continue to question C8)
	hich of the following health peneficial for? (Please tick ALL o	topics do you think this app would be t apply)
	Smoking cessation	Physical activity
	Alcohol awareness	Cancer
	Sexual health	Diabetes
	Weight management	Antibiotic awareness
	Other	
If 'Oth	er' please state:	

Unless you have been directed to answer C8. please now go to section D

C8. Please specify why you would not recommend a mobile health app maintained by
healthcare professionals. (Please tick ALL options that apply) □ I do not understand how to use □ Liability and accountability
□ I do not understand how to use □ Liability and accountability mobile health apps □ I do not perceive a benefit to
□ I am concerned about patient using mobile health apps
confidentiality Too many mobile health apps
□ I am concerned about the language available, not sure which to
barrier recommend
□ Other
If 'Other' please state:
D – Demographics
D1. What is your gender? (Please tick ONE option)
□ Male □ Female □ Not stated
D2. Which age category are you in? (Please tick ONE option)
□ Under 24 years □ 24-35 years □ 36-45 years
□ 46-55 years □ 56-65 years □ 66-75 years
□ Over 75 years □ Not stated
D3. How would you describe your ethnicity? (Please tick ONE option)
□ White □ White Other □ Mixed
□ Indian □ Pakistani □ Bangladeshi
□ Other Asian □ Black Caribbean □ Black African
□ Not stated
If 'other', please specify:
D4. How long have you been qualified as a pharmacist? (Please tick ONE option)
□ 1-2 years □ 3-6 years □ 7-10 years
□ 11-20 years □ 21-30 years □ >30 years
D5. Which type of community pharmacy do you work in predominantly?
(Please tick ONE option)
□ Independent □ Small multiple (2-10 pharmacies)
□ Large multiple (greater than 10 pharmacies) □ Other
If 'other', please specify:
D6. Please state the first part of the post code of the pharmacy you work in:
The researcher is conducting interviews following the results of these surveys; can you be
contacted to take part in these?
□ Yes (Please include contact details below) □ No
Email address:
Telephone number:

APPENDIX 2 – Interview Schedule

Good morning/afternoon, my name is xxx, from ... University. Thank you for agreeing to give your time for this interview as a follow up to your completion of the survey "*Pharmacist perceptions of the use of social media as a tool in health promotion*." This interview should take no longer than 20 minutes.

What do you think the role of the pharmacist in public health is?

Service delivery, advice giving, sign posting

Tell me about any public health initiatives/services you have been involved in or have offered in the last year.

Public health campaigns, local initiatives, smoking cessation, weight loss

How do you decide what public health services to offer?

PNA reports, personal interest, asked for by public

How do you make the public aware of the public health services you offer?

Word of mouth, leaflet, email, social media

What is the format of delivery of your public health services?

Face-to-face, telephone, email

What resources do you use when delivering a service? Where do you signpost patients for further advice?

Leaflets, guidelines e.g. NICE, websites

How do you evaluate the impact of the public health services you deliver?

Surveys, focus groups, record health outcomes

What other public health services do you think pharmacists can potentially make a significant contribution to? And why?

Drug misuse, sexual health, physical health

What help or support do you think could be given to pharmacists to help them in their public health role more broadly?

Training, more remuneration, better trained staff

What barriers are preventing you from delivering more public health services?

Lack of time, lack of support staff, lack of patient interest

What communication methods do you use when interacting with patients?

Face-to-face, telephone, email, text messaging, social media

Do you use social media? If yes, which platforms do you use?

Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, SnapChat, How often do you use social media?

For what purpose do you normally use social media?

Connecting with family and friends, connecting with colleagues, connecting with patients

What are your views on the use of social media as a tool in health promotion?

Positive, negatives, opportunities, barriers

Have patients ever approached you to discuss health-related information they have viewed on social media? If yes, was the information they viewed evidence-based and accurate?

Give an example of an interaction you have had with a patient

Can you describe any time you have contacted or been contacted by a patient on social media?

What was the nature of the communication? Was health advice given? Was the patient directed to other health social media pages?

What barriers would prevent you from providing health advice to patients on social media?

Liability concerns, lack of time, lack of social media awareness, lack of confidentiality

If a health promoting social media page was created and maintained by healthcare professionals would you signpost patients to this? If yes, for which health topics do you think this would be most useful? If not, why not?

Can you give any examples of when you think a page like this would be particularly useful?

What further training would you need in order to use social media as a tool in health promotion?

How to use social media, how to maintain professional boundaries on social media, how to effectively communicate with patients on social media

Would you have any further suggestions or comments regarding this topic that have not been covered in this interview? If so, what are they please?

Thank you very much for taking the time to meet with me and answer these questions.

Abbreviations

CP = Community pharmacist

CPCF = Community Pharmacy Contractual Framework

HCP = Healthcare professionals

HLP = Healthy Living Pharmacies

IBM = International Business Machines

MH apps = Mobile health applications

ONS = Office of National Statistics

PH = Public health

PIS = Participant Information Sheet

SM = Social media

TAM = Technology Acceptance Model

UK = United Kingdom