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Surely it’s just Linear Algebra, why change anything?

There’s a lot of change underway at Kingston

Building programmes.

Revised Academic Framework:

- 30 credit modules,
- rationalised courses,
- greater emphasis on feedback,
- fewer summative assessments…

Pegg, Ann (2013). ‘We think that’s the future’: Curriculum reform initiatives in higher education. HEA.
Aims?

- **Coverage**
  - Introductory Linear Algebra:
    - Matrices, *Gaussian Elimination*, Eigenvectors

- **Efficient and Effective Engagement**
  - over 4 weeks, ~100 students,
  - despite timetable & classroom constraints
  - using appropriate techniques/tools
    - eAssessment
    - Matlab
The “flipped” classroom approach?

- Hopes
  - Changing this
  - Into this?

- Fears
  - Engagement is lost
  - Chaos ensues!

© lipmag.com
It’s not exactly new…


- “The More I Lecture, The Less I Know If They Understand.” 6th February 2014 (online)

  “The lecturer is prone to self-deception … egocentrism and confirmation bias”
What did we do?
Partial Flip + eAssessment.

- Partial as there was no structured offline interaction
- Formative eAssessment
  - with a miniscule marks incentive (~1%) to encourage students to self-test
  - leading to summative eAssessment
How did we use eAssessment?
Why use Numbas?

- Formative eAssessment

- Numbas (Newcastle & mathcentre.ac.uk)

- Random parameters encourage students to
  learn the *method*
  rather than
  learning the *question*
Stage 1: Advance material

- Gapped notes (Word & PDF) with separate formative eAssessment

**Gaussian Elimination**

How do we solve a 2x2 system of linear equations?

**Example 4**

\[
\begin{align*}
2x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4 \quad (1) \\
4x_1 - 3x_2 &= 1 \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

Which operations did we use?

We added a multiple of equation (1) to the equation (resulting equation by a non-zero constant to get the solution for \(x_2\)). None of these operations changed the solution.

**Exercises**

Use Gaussian elimination to solve the following linear systems:

1. \[
\begin{align*}
x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 &= 4 \\
x_1 - x_2 + x_3 &= 5
\end{align*}
\]

2. \[
\begin{align*}
2x_1 + 4x_2 - x_3 &= -5 \\
x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3 &= -9 \\
4x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 &= 9
\end{align*}
\]

3. \[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -5 & 1 \\
10 & 0 & 20 \\
5 & 0 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_3
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
7 \\
6 \\
4
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Formative eAssessment using Numbas with feedback

Inverse of a $2 \times 2$ matrix

Suppose $M$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix and $\det(M) = \Delta \neq 0$.

Then $M$ is invertible and:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{\Delta} & -\frac{b}{\Delta} \\ \frac{c}{\Delta} & \frac{a}{\Delta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Applying this to these examples we obtain:

b)

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

c)

$$B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{28} & \frac{1}{28} \\ \frac{28}{28} & \frac{28}{28} \end{pmatrix}$$
Matlab & fractions

- Matlab makes (some) answers easy
  …even the ones requiring rational input
  …but then they’re learning Matlab too :-)

- However key methods like Gaussian Elimination aren’t so badly affected
Stage 2: In-class discussion.

- Topics for further discussion were identified by electronic voting.

Which of the following is the best answer to why (3x2) and (2x2) matrices can't be added to, or subtracted from, one-another?

A. Their numbers of elements is not equal.
B. Their dimensions are not identical.
C. Their dimensions are not compatible, e.g. \((n \times m)\) and \((m \times p)\).
D. I don't know.

Which of the following is the best answer to why (3x2) and (3x2) matrices can't be multiplied together?

A. Their numbers of elements is not equal.
B. Their dimensions are not identical.
C. Their dimensions are not compatible, e.g. \((n \times m)\) and \((m \times p)\).
D. I don't know.
Did students prepare for class?

- Little prep-work, but evidence in marks is blurred by spread of student A-level experience.

Who's prepared for this class?

- A. Me! I read the notes ahead of time...
- B. Me! I did Matrices at A-Level and I've made sure I can remember it...
- C. Me! I got a textbook and have read some of it...
- D. Not me! I haven't done anything (I assume you'll cover it all?)
- E. Pardon? I didn't know we had to prepare for class...

![Bar chart showing percentage of students prepared for class.]
From this you should find:

\[ z = \] 

d) From the second row of the reduced matrix you find an equation involving only \( y \) and \( z \) and using your value for \( z \) we find:

\[ y = \] 

Then using the first row we have the equation:

\[ x + 3y + 3z = 1 \]

Using this you can now find \( x \):

\[ x = \]
Formative Participation

- Formative engagement, e.g. numbers doing quizzes 1–4 and/or doing well.
Summative assessment

- **Not a cohort effect!**
- **E.g. Calculus module scores**
  - 2013 = 72%
  - 2014 = 75%
Fatigue?

- Gaussian Elimination question
  - 30 discrete parts
  - Flipped group drops-out quicker
  - *but* scores better

![Student attempts and scores by question part](image.png)
Confounding factors

- Matlab encourages surface learning?
- Too high expectations?
- Test fatigue?
Lessons

- Scaffolding to smooth the transition into a flipped approach
  - Managing student expectations and assessment literacy
- Investigate confounding factor (test fatigue) in our measure of success
- Link eAssessment directly to preparatory material
- Turn “gapped notes” into interactive e-resources?
Questions

- Is the “flipped classroom” appropriate
  - for Linear Algebra and Matlab?
  - for mathematics in general?
  - in higher education?
  - for 1st year?
Thanks for listening

- With thanks to
  - Bill Foster, Christian Perfect, Anthony Youd from Newcastle University for Numbas
  - Michael Grove from Birmingham University for HESTEM