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Abstract—A new real-time compression method for electrocardiogram (ECG) signals has been developed based on the wavelet transform approach. The method is specifically adaptable for packetized telecardiology applications. The signal is segmented into beats and a beat template is subtracted from them, producing a residual signal. Beat templates and residual signals are coded with a wavelet expansion. Compression is achieved by selecting a subset of wavelet coefficients. The number of selected coefficients depends on a threshold which has different definitions depending on the operational mode of the coder. Compression performance has been tested using a subset of ECG records from MIT-BIH Arrhythmia database. This method has been designed for real-time packetized telecardiology scenarios both in wired and wireless environments.

Index Terms—Compression, electrocardiogram (ECG) coder, electrocardiogram (ECG) transmission, telecardiology.

I. INTRODUCTION

TELECARDIOLOGY is considered one of the most mature and successful e-Health applications. This is demonstrated by the large amount of telecardiology projects that have been carried out in the last years [1]–[4]. There are two different ways in which electrocardiogram (ECG) data can be transmitted in a telecardiology environment: real-time and store-and-forward (prerecorded) transmission. Store-and-forward ECG transmission has been widely used in earlier telecardiology systems where real-time ECG interpretation and/or supervision was not crucial. An example of store-and-forward ECG transmission would be that of a General Practitioner (GP) recording an ECG at the patient’s home transmitted to a cardiologist for analysis and interpretation. Although store-and-forward ECG transmission has been extensively used due to its simplicity and efficiency, there are more critical scenarios where real-time ECG transmission is required, if not essential. Emergency situations reveal the critical application of such real-time wireless telecardiology systems. Several studies have shown that 12-lead ECG performed within an ambulance increases the critical available time to administer thrombolytic therapy effectively, stopping a heart attack in progress and preserving heart muscle function [5]. Although store-and-forward ECG transmission is also possible from the ambulance to the hospital, real-time ECG monitoring by a cardiologist in a hospital would be more convenient because it allows a reduction in the time needed for patient’s evaluation once it arrives to the hospital. These effects are specially crucial in cases where the transportation time is long [6], [7].

During the last years, the increasing popularity of Internet has made that the TCP/IP protocol stack has been implemented in the network and transport layers in almost every communication network [8]. Wireless networks which formerly were implemented as circuit-switching have migrated to packet-switching implementing the TCP/IP protocol stack [9]. This is especially the case of third generation (3G) wireless networks. However, the recent advances in 2.5/3G wireless communications are not paralleled in similar advances on using dedicated ECG packetization schemes for real-time telecardiology applications and no study yet has addressed the issues of packet transmission dedicated for telemedical systems.

The development of efficient real-time compression methods for ECG data transmission in limited bandwidth wireless networks such as the General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) systems is crucial for a wider use of such wireless telemedical services in healthcare systems. It is well known that in order to use the available network bandwidth in an efficient way, a compression algorithm is required. ECG compression is a well-studied topic. In the last decade, many ECG coders have been presented [10]–[12]. Nevertheless, most of these studies direct their efforts in store-and-forward compression, leaving real-time operation as a possible future application without giving any specific results in this area. To the authors knowledge no study yet is reported yet on wavelet compression scheme suitable for packetized (wired or wireless) telecardiology applications. Although in [13] a real-time ECG transmission system is described, ECG data is transmitted without compression and with no detail about packetization issues.

In this paper, we present a new real-time ECG coding scheme compatible with packetized telecardiology applications. The method is based on the wavelet transform of aligned beats. Each beat is compressed to target a desired bit rate or a reconstruction error, depending on the operational mode. Finally, a packet is built to transport the coded beat to the receiver.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II the compression method is presented. The simulation results and the discussion of the performance of the method in a packetized transmission environment are shown in Section III. Finally, conclusions are reported in Section IV.
II. COMPRESSION METHOD

The compression method is structured in four stages:

i) preprocessing: including baseline removal, QRS detection and noise measure;

ii) template subtraction;

iii) wavelet transform: coefficient selection and coding schemes;

iv) data packetization.

A general scheme of the compression method is shown in Fig. 1. The description of each block in the process is given as follows.

A. Preprocessing: Baseline Removal, QRS Detection, and Noise Measure

ECG signals usually contain very low frequency components originated by noncardiac sources such as respiration and relative movements of electrodes and skin. As real-time operation is required, a simple ECG baseline estimation method is used: a third-order Butterworth low-pass filter (cut-off frequency equal to 0.5 Hz) used in forward/backward directions to avoid phase distortion. After this estimation, baseline is subtracted from the ECG signal.

For QRS detection a previously developed algorithm based on the wavelet transform is used [14]. The ECG is segmented into beats aligned from their QRS fiducial points. A beat is defined beginning at 250 ms prior to its QRS fiducial point. Every beat has a dyadic length by padding with the beat last sample amplitude. If the ECG signal has more than one lead, each lead is independently processed along the coding. Once a beat has been detected and segmented from the multilead ECG signal, it is represented as a multilead beat vector. If a QRS is not detected within an interval equal to the wavelet transform length (the dyadic length commented previously), the ECG is segmented at this time and the acquired signal is passed to the next stage.

Noise power in each beat is estimated as the power remaining after high-pass filtering (cutoff frequency equal to 20 Hz) in the repolarization interval. This measure will be useful to set up the compression threshold.

B. Template Subtraction

A template (which is a multilead beat vector as well) is subtracted to the multilead beat vector, yielding a multilead residual signal. The method makes use of a dynamic template database which is created, modified and updated in real-time using the new acquired beats. The first template stored in the database is the first available multilead beat vector of the signal after being coded. Then, for each new acquired beat the normalized correlation coefficient [15] is calculated between the QRS complex interval (defined from 100 ms prior the QRS fiducial point to 100 ms after it) and every template in the template database. This coefficient is only computed on one lead because beat morphology changes usually appear in a congruent way in every lead. The template assigned to be subtracted to the multilead beat will be the one with the largest normalized correlation coefficient, unless this value is low (below 0.8); in that case, a new multilead beat template is created and added to the database with the current coded multilead beat vector (as it will be reconstructed in the receiver). In order to take into account beat morphology variations, multilead beat templates are updated after being used 10 times for beat subtraction. This number was heuristically determined (tests showed that an increase in the updating frequency did not lead to a relevant increase in the performance). The new template is calculated as the running average of the current coded multilead beat vector and the old template. It is worthy to note that the coded signal is used for template updating and thus no additional information is needed for the updating process in the receiver.

When a block coming from a nondetected QRS event has to be coded, a special template is used. This template is a constant zero value (noted as zero template). In this way, signals coming from disconnection events are coded as pure residuals since their associated template has a constant zero value. There is no need for a special treatment for these events: they simply use the zero template as associated template.

C. Wavelet Transform (WT): Coefficient Selection and Coding Schemes

The residual signals as well as beat templates are coded by means of a Coiffet wavelet expansion [16] [see an example
in Fig. 2(a)]. Other wavelets were initially considered (such as biorthogonal 9/7 [12], [11]) but no superior performance was found with them. Each lead is processed independently, as commented previously. Compression is achieved by selecting a subset of wavelet coefficients and coding them in an efficient way. The coefficients are sorted by absolute amplitude order and the number of coefficients to be transmitted is selected according to a threshold defined depending on the operational mode [see Fig. 2(b)].

The number of selected (transmitted) coefficients is defined by a threshold. In this method two different operational modes are permitted: variable bit rate (VBR) and constant bit rate (CBR). When the system operates in VBR mode, the threshold, measured in $\mu$V [see Fig. 2(b)], is defined to achieve a fixed Root Mean Square (RMS) reconstruction error by rejecting the smallest coefficients until their accumulated power reaches the threshold.

A variable number of coefficients are needed to achieve the fixed RMS error since the residual signal morphology varies beat-to-beat. In our implementation, the VBR mode presents two operational modalities depending on how the threshold is established. It can be setup to achieve a desired constant reconstruction error within a signal interval (VBR with constant threshold). The other option is to change beat-to-beat the threshold in order to remove white noise from it. It is wellknown that white noise presents a uniform distribution in any domain while ECG signal energy is concentrated in a small group of coefficients with low frequencies. Thus, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) can be improved by selecting the coefficients with largest amplitude from the lower scales of the wavelet transform. If the noise level increases, the number of WT coefficients should be decreased in order to avoid spending bit rate for noise coding. Then, in this mode, the number of WT coefficients is selected in order to assure that the power of the rejected coefficients equals the noise power estimated in the preprocessing stage. This is the optimum point for white noise removal as it is shown in Fig. 3.

To obtain the curves shown in Fig. 3, noisy ECG signals were simulated by adding zero-mean white noise with several noise levels (10, 20, 30, and 40 $\mu$V) to resting ECG records (noise level below 2 $\mu$V, so we call them noiseless signals). The sampling frequency was 256 Hz with a resolution of 16 bits per sample. The compression threshold has been ranged from 0 $\mu$V (all the coefficients are transmitted) to 5 $\mu$V above the added noise power. The optimum threshold for which the RMS is minimum is reached when the power of the discarded coefficients equals the power of the noise added. Therefore, there is no advantage on increasing the subset of signal coefficients transmitted beyond the value defined by the optimum threshold since if new coefficients are added, an increase in the reconstruction noise will be obtained. Note that RMSerror in Fig. 3 is calculated between the reconstructed signal (which has been compressed from the noisy signal) and the noiseless signal. Thus, when all the coefficients are used to code the noisy signal (threshold = 0 $\mu$V), the reconstruction error is equal to the added noise (as it can be seen in Fig. 3) and not equal to 0 $\mu$V as it would be obtained if the error is calculated between the noisy signal and the reconstructed signal. Since a subset of coefficients is removed, this process leads also to signal compression. The threshold is thus beat-to-beat automatically updated according to the measured noise level.

If the operation mode is CBR, the threshold, which is defined in bits per second (bps), is set up to achieve a constant bit rate [see Fig. 2(b)]. The number of bits used for coefficients coding ($b_c(i)$) (without considering the header information) is calculated beat-to-beat in the following way:

$$b_c(i) = \text{bps}_d \cdot \text{rr}(i)$$

where $\text{bps}_d$ is the desired transmission rate and $\text{rr}(i)$ is the RR interval of the $i$th beat in seconds. Once $b_c(i)$ is obtained, the number of coefficients transmitted will be that one which after coefficient coding fits the number of bits.
available [Fig. 2(b)]. After the thresholding process, coefficients are sorted by order to return to the original coefficient sequence.

The WT coefficients orders and amplitudes need to be coded for each signal (residuals and templates). Since the power spectral density of the ECG signal has a smooth low-pass shape, the energy distribution at each scale of the wavelet transform will be similar for different beats and it concentrates in a few number of coefficients which amplitude decreases sharply, as shown in Fig. 2(b). It also makes that the relevant WT coefficients on each ECG block appear very close in the order sequence within a wavelet scale [see Fig. 2(a)]. The use of the first difference in a vector containing the orders of the selected coefficients makes that the proximity within scales of the orders of the relevant coefficients will lead to low values, that can be much more efficiently coded. Thus, the first difference of the selected coefficients orders (denoted as jumps [17]) can be coded with a Huffman code in a highly efficient way.

The histogram of the jumps when the number of selected WT coefficients is equal to 20 is shown in Fig. 4(b). This figure has been obtained using a population of more than 50 ECG records from different databases. Small jumps values have much higher probability than large values [Fig. 4(b)]. Fig. 4(a) shows the probability evolution of the small jumps when varying the number of selected WT coefficients. For a better understanding of Fig. 4 consider the following example. Let amp = [123, 52, −231, 20, 76, 29, −78] be the amplitudes of a hypothetical subset of selected coefficients for one beat and ord = [1, 2, 3, 10, 12, 13, 24] be their orders. Therefore, jumps will be jumps = [1, 1, 7, 2, 1, 11]. The number of selected coefficients in this example is 7. The histogram of the jumps values will be hist = [3, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1] and the translation of this histogram to probabilities will be prob = [0.5, 0.166, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.166, 0, 0, 0, 0.166]. If we repeat this process for every beat in the ECG signal always selecting 7 coefficients for transmission and we average the results, we will obtain a similar figure to Fig. 4(b) but for 7 coefficients instead for 20. If we repeat again this process but now we vary the selected coefficients from 1 to 512 (the WT length) and we represent for each subset of selected coefficients the mean probability values obtained for jumps from 1 to 4, we will obtain the figure shown in Fig. 4(a). In this way, Fig. 4(b) is a transversal cut for 20 coefficients of Fig. 4(a).

Variability of the histograms within the training records was very small. Although different number of selected coefficients would need a different PDF to optimally store the jumps, the histogram corresponding to 20 WT coefficients was chosen for the Huffman code [see Fig. 4(b)]. The mean number of bits to code an order using the Huffman code varies depending on the number of selected coefficients [as it is suggested by the evolution of jump probabilities in Fig. 4(a)]. For example, when 20 coefficients are selected, an average of 3.15 bits are used instead of the 9 bits that would be necessary if a PCM coder would be used (WT length equal to 512).

![Fig. 4. Jumps histogram. (a) Evolution of the histogram from different jump values. (b) Histogram of the jumps for 20 selected coefficients.](image)

Finally, an adaptive pulse code modulation (APCM) coder is used for coefficients amplitudes. The number of bits is selected to assure a fixed quantization noise level for the amplitudes coefficients coding and varies beat-to-beat depending on the coefficients maximum amplitude.

D. Data Packetization

For the packetized communication purposes, an ECG data packet is generated each time a beat is coded thus enabling real-time transmission. There are two kinds of data that can be sent inside a packet: templates and residuals. The protocol data unit (PDU) used in both of them for transmission is illustrated in Fig. 5.

The PDU consists of the following fields.

- **Template index**: The index of the template used in template subtraction. This field is set to 0 when a new template is coded in the PDU and to 1 if the zero template is being used. Other numbers represent templates in the template database. The number of bits used in this field varies depending on the number of templates in the database. Decoder knows the maximum size at any time by counting the number of templates received.
- **Number of leads**: The number of coded ECG leads transmitted inside the data packet.
TABLE I
AVERAGE PERFORMANCE RESULTS IN CBR MODE FOR THE MIT DATASET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target data rate (bps)</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>550</th>
<th>850</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieved data rate lead 1</td>
<td>248 ± 25</td>
<td>549 ± 57</td>
<td>849 ± 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved data rate lead 2</td>
<td>247 ± 26</td>
<td>550 ± 56</td>
<td>850 ± 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved RMS lead 1</td>
<td>25.3 ± 7.4</td>
<td>13.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved RMS lead 2</td>
<td>22.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>11.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>8.5 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Number of coefficients for lead $j$ ($j = 1 : n$): The number of coefficients selected to code lead $j$.
- Number of bits to code coefficients amplitudes in lead $j$ ($j = 1 : n$): The number of bits used in the APCM coder to code the amplitudes for lead $j$.
- Coefficients amplitudes for lead $j$ ($j = 1 : n$): The APCM coded amplitudes of the wavelet coefficients selected for lead $j$. The number of amplitudes is equal to the value written in the field Number of coefficients for lead $j$.
- First coefficient order for lead $j$ ($j = 1 : n$): The first coefficient order coded with a PCM coder.
- Jumps for lead $j$ ($j = 1 : n$): The coded jumps of the wavelet coefficients selected for lead $j$.
- RR interval: The number of samples between QRS complexes used to reconstruct the original beat duration.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The MIT-BIH Arrhythmia database [18], which has been extensively used to evaluate ECG compression methods, has been used for performance evaluation. We have selected a subset of 11 two-leads records (100, 101, 102, 103, 107, 109, 111, 115, 117, 118, 119) of 10 min duration. We will refer to them simply as the database. The sampling frequency is 360 Hz and the resolution is 11 bps. Average rate-distortion results on the data set were calculated for VBR and CBR modes. RMS ($\mu V$) as well as transmission rate (bps) have been calculated for each beat.

A. CBR Mode

Simulation results have shown that in the application of the CBR mode to the MIT dataset, the method adjusts the transmission rate in a very accurate manner (see Table I). The standard deviation depends on the variability of RR interval because bit rate is adjusted every beat but in any case this deviation is small compared with the mean value. Note that target data rate cannot be exactly achieved due to steps of 1 bit are not possible since coefficients need more than one bit to be coded.

When a compression method is evaluated, a visual inspection of the resultant ECG data by a specialist to verify the clinical acceptability of the data is also very important. An excerpt of ECG signal containing an ectopic beat (record 109) and the reconstructed signal in CBR mode are shown in Fig. 6(a) and (c), respectively.

CBR mode can be very useful when the transmission rate has to fit to the available bandwidth in the communications network. Rate can be controlled in an accurate way guaranteeing the desired transmission rate.

B. VBR Mode

1) VBR Mode With Constant Threshold: In VBR mode with constant threshold the results of the applied method not only adjust very well to the RMS mean distortion beat-to-beat but also present a very small RMS variance. These results can be seen in Table II. They have been obtained averaging the results from all the records in the database. The reconstructed signal from record 109 can be seen in Fig. 6(b).

When a high quality reconstructed signal is needed, the VBR mode with constant threshold can be used. The reconstruction error can be controlled with high precision permitting to achieve low reconstruction error in an accurate manner.

2) VBR Mode With Variable Threshold: In this mode, the threshold is adjusted according to the noise level measured beat-to-beat in the preprocessing stage. Table III shows the results obtained when applying the VBR mode with variable threshold to the database. The first column shows the ECG record being tested. Columns 2 and 5, respectively, show the noise power measured in the first and second leads of the record, columns 3 and 6 show the reconstruction error obtained and columns 4 and 7 show, respectively, the transmission rate of the coded signal.

For a better understanding of the potential of this mode, the results in graphical format when the VBR mode with variable threshold is applied to record 101 lead 1 are shown in Fig. 7. The beat-to-beat measured noise in record 101 is illustrated in Fig. 7(a). Note that in this case, this value is used as threshold.
TABLE III
VBR MODE WITH VARIABLE THRESHOLD PERFORMANCE FOR THE TESTED MIT DATASET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record</th>
<th>Lead 1 Noise (µV)</th>
<th>Lead 1 RMS (µV)</th>
<th>Lead 1 Bits per beat</th>
<th>Lead 2 Noise (µV)</th>
<th>Lead 2 RMS (µV)</th>
<th>Lead 2 Bits per beat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>408 ± 93</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>513 ± 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>558 ± 136</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>427 ± 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>63.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>16.7 ± 3.2</td>
<td>282 ± 103</td>
<td>19.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>18.5 ± 6.6</td>
<td>397 ± 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>377 ± 74</td>
<td>11.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>12.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>264 ± 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>56.1 ± 8.3</td>
<td>34.1 ± 4.6</td>
<td>375 ± 89</td>
<td>9.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>28.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>338 ± 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>15.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>14.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>440 ± 111</td>
<td>10.5 ± 7.6</td>
<td>10.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>556 ± 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>15.5 ± 4.0</td>
<td>16.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>367 ± 108</td>
<td>11.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>12.2 ± 8.7</td>
<td>480 ± 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>17.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>16.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>218 ± 73</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>342 ± 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>15.2 ± 3.1</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>213 ± 64</td>
<td>16.2 ± 13</td>
<td>15.8 ± 14</td>
<td>243 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>20.1 ± 7.0</td>
<td>19.7 ± 7.0</td>
<td>375 ± 163</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>14.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>402 ± 176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. VBR mode with variable threshold for record 101. (a) Measured noise. (b) Reconstruction error (VBR mode with variable threshold). (c) Bits per beat.

Fig. 8. Comparison between original signal (record 101) and reconstructed signals in VBR mode. (a) Original signal (record 101). (b) Received signal in VBR with constant threshold (th = 15 µV). (c) Received signal in VBR with variable threshold.

VBR mode with variable threshold can be very useful indeed. One reason is that by removing white noise beat-to-beat from the ECG signal, the bits used for coding are dedicated only to signal coefficients thus cleaning the signal at the same time that compression is achieved. In a normal clinical practice, the noise introduced in the acquisition of the ECG is not usually below 10 µV, leading this value to a compression factor high enough to be useful for compression purposes (see Table III, for an example in MIT-BIH database). Another important reason for using the VBR mode with variable threshold is that it prevents the transmission rate from increasing dramatically when a beat is contaminated with high power noise. This effect can be appreciated in Fig. 8. Beats from 2 to 4 are contaminated with a high power noise. Working in VBR mode with constant threshold [see Fig. 8(b)], the bit rate increases dramatically in order to maintain the reconstruction error fixed by the threshold. If the operation mode is VBR with variable threshold, the increase in the noise will lead to an increase in the threshold, thus preventing this dramatic increase in the transmission rate [see Fig. 8(c)]. Using VBR with variable threshold we can avoid to spend bits in noise coding. Also note that in this mode, the user do not have to care about setting the compression threshold manually to obtain a good quality reconstructed signal suitable for diagnosis.

C. Clinical Evaluation

Strategy VBR with variable threshold has been clinically evaluated using the database. We have selected only this strategy because it represents a novelty from other compression methodologies. The approach adopted in the clinical evaluation was the proposed in [19]. In this paper, a weighted mean opinion score (WMOS) error was defined as the average of two MOS error tests. The first one is a blind test which analyzes both the original and reconstructed signals individually (each one is shown in a different sheet of paper). Interpretation of different ECG signal parameters (P and T waves, QRS complex, ST level and abnormal beats) is requested to the cardiologist. The second one is a semi-blind test which compares the original and reconstructed signals together (both are in the same sheet of paper). In this test, the cardiologist provides an evaluation of the similarity between original and reconstructed signals. In our implementation, 10 s of both leads were considered for evaluation. Thus, the VBR mode with variable threshold was applied to the database (both leads) and the reconstructed signals (as well as the original) were evaluated following the described procedure by
two cardiologists from different hospitals. Table IV shows these results. The first row presents the name of the evaluated records. The second one shows the initial sample of the interval being evaluated. Final sample is the initial sample plus 3600 (10 s). The WMOSerror value can be seen in the third row. Finally, the forth row shows the clinical interpretation of the WMOSerror value as shown in Table V of [19]. Both leads of the records are evaluated jointly because cardiologists point out that both of them are needed to give a diagnosis. The lower the WMOSerror, the better the quality of the reconstructed signal. Attending to the clinical evaluation, the quality of the reconstructed signals was very good in all the records except 107 where the quality was good, thus validating the method for clinical use.

D. Real-Time Performance

Usually, the maximum number of leads an ECG signal can present is 8 (extra leads in a 12-lead ECG record are calculated from the original 8). Therefore, we have used 8-leads ECG records to evaluate the execution times of the coding and decoding processes. Since we have been working with the MIT-BIH database, we have created 8-lead records from those of the database replicating both leads four times. Each record of the database was coded/decoded separately as it would be done in a real-time operation. CBR mode with a high threshold (th = 1200 bps) was used because its thresholding process is more time demanding than VBR mode. Average execution times were obtained for the database and the maximum times among them are reported in Table V. Results are given in milliseconds required to code 1 second of original signal.

Results of Table V clearly show that even for a PC with a Pentium II 300 MHz processor with 256 MB of RAM there is no problem for achieving real-time functionality. The programming language used for the coder was C because of its efficiency.

IV. Conclusion

This paper presents a new wavelet-based ECG packetized compression method with two operational modes, CBR and VBR. The method is particularly suitable for real-time telecardiology applications. In CBR mode, the output bite rate is approximately constant. This mode is useful when dealing with networks whose available bandwidth is small and well defined, such as 2.5 G wireless networks. In VBR mode, the output data rate varies but the reconstructed error is bounded. VBR can be performed in two operational modalities. VBR mode with variable threshold adjusts automatically beat-to-beat the threshold according to the measured noise in the beat. VBR with constant threshold maintains the threshold value through a time interval and it is set up by the user. The compression method has been tested on records from MIT-BIH Arrhythmia database, showing an accurate behavior when working in both modes. VBR mode with variable threshold has been clinically evaluated in the WMOSerror scale obtaining results classified as good and very good.
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### TABLE IV
**CLINICAL EVALUATION OF VBR MODE WITH VARIABLE THRESHOLD USING WMOSerror**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>101</th>
<th>102</th>
<th>103</th>
<th>104</th>
<th>105</th>
<th>106</th>
<th>107</th>
<th>108</th>
<th>109</th>
<th>111</th>
<th>115</th>
<th>117</th>
<th>118</th>
<th>119</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial sample</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>47180</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>95200</td>
<td>27250</td>
<td>91060</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>202822</td>
<td>202822</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMOSerror</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE V
**MAXIMUM EXECUTION TIMES FOR THE CODING AND DECODING PROCESS IN DIFFERENT PCs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PC characteristics</th>
<th>Coding</th>
<th>Decoding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centrino 1.4 GHz 512 MB RAM</td>
<td>20 ms.</td>
<td>3 ms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentium II 300 MHz 256 MB RAM</td>
<td>90 ms.</td>
<td>16 ms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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